Cenovus Energy Inc. Tucker Thermal Project - Directive 054 Report Commercial Scheme Approval No. 9835 2021 Update

June 30, 2022





# Advisory

This presentation contains information in compliance with:

Alberta Energy Regulator Directive 054 - Performance Presentations, Auditing, and Surveillance of In Situ Oil Sands Schemes

This document contains forward-looking information prepared and submitted pursuant to Alberta regulatory requirements and is not intended to be relied upon for the purpose of making investment decisions, including without limitation, to purchase, hold or sell any securities of Cenovus Energy Inc.





# Subsection 4.1 1 Introduction





# **Project Overview**

- AER Commercial Scheme Approval No. 9835
- Reservoirs Clearwater, Grand Rapids and Colony
- API Bitumen 9-10°
- First Steam August 20, 2006
- First Production November 29, 2006
- Field Facilities:
  - Six well pads, infield pipelines and central pump station
- Central Plant:
  - Emulsion treating
  - Water Treatment
  - Steam Generation
  - Utilities





# Regional Stratigraphy

Marginal marine deposits consisting of stacked incised valleys and shoreface deposits



село/из



# Subsection 4.2 2-7 Subsurface





### **Production Plot: Historical**







селоуия

© 2022 Cenovus Energy Inc. June 30, 2022

#### SAGD Net Pay Isopach Map - Clearwater





#### Bottom Water Isopach Map - Clearwater





## SAGD Net Pay Isopach Map – Lower Grand Rapids





### Bottom Water Isopach Map – Lower Grand Rapids



селоүцş

## SAGD Net Pay Isopach Map – Colony



# Geomechanical Data/Analysis

Capping Shale Properties											
Well Pad	Capping Shale Issues to date	Capping shale Fracture Pressure Exceeded	Shale Depth (m)	Measured Fracture Gradient (kPa/m)	Measured Fracture Pressure (kPa)	Fracture Regime					
CN	No	No	305	20.0	6,100	Horizontal					
GA	No	No	357	19.9	7,120	Horizontal					
Clearwater	No	No	426	21.8	9,280	Horizontal					

Sand Properties									
Well Pad	Sand Depth (m)	Measured Fracture Gradient (kPa/m)	Measured Fracture Pressure (kPa)	Fracture Regime					
GA	375	17.0	6,360	Vertical					
Clearwater	446	16.0	7,140	Vertical					

Note:

CN – Colony

GA – Lower Grand Rapids A



# Seismic

- No new seismic acquired during the reporting period
- 3D seismic was acquired in three (3) programs:
  - 2005
  - 2007
  - 2013
- 4D seismic was acquired in 2018
  - Previous programs in 2010, 2012, and 2014





# Representative Structural Cross-Section - Clearwater





© 2022 Cenovus Energy Inc. June 30, 2022

# Representative Structural Cross-Section – Lower Grand Rapids, Sparky





# Representative Structural Cross-Section – Upper Grand Rapids, Colony





## Average Reservoir Characteristics and OBIP

CLEARWATER	OBIP (X10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	Thickness (m)	PhiE (Φ)	So	Viscosity (cP @ 20°C)	Original Pressure (kPa)	Original Temperature (°C)	Depth (m)	Vertical Permeability (mD)	Horizontal Permeability (mD)	
Approval Area	80.5	44	0.31	0.57	50,000- 1,000,000 3,200 16		16	440	1,800 3,000		
Operating	40.9	46	0.32	0.57	50,000- 1,000,000	3,200 16		440	1,800	800 3,000	
LOWER GRAND RAPIDS	OBIP (X10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	Thickness (m)	PhiE (Φ)	So	Viscosity (cP @ 20°C)	Original Pressure (kPa)	Original Temperature (°C)	Depth (m)	Vertical Permeability (mD)	Horizontal Permeability (mD)	
Approval Area	5.7	26	0.28	0.54	100,000- 300,000	2,600	14	370	1,300	1,800	
Operating (Pad GA)	2.1	38	0.29	0.54	100,000- 300,000	2,600 14		370	1,300	1,800	
COLONY	OBIP (X10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	Thickness (m)	PhiE (Φ)	So	Viscosity (cP @ 20°C)	Original Pressure (kPa)	Original Temperature (°C)	Depth (m)	Vertical Permeability (mD)	Horizontal Permeability (mD)	
Approval Area	2.8	10	0.30	0.79	25,000	2,500	12	305	2,400	4,000	

Notes:

So – Oil saturation

OBIP – Original Bitumen in Place

Calculation: OBIP interval: Top of Formation  $\rightarrow$  oil water contact

OBIP = Area x Thickness x  $\Phi$  x S<sub>0</sub>



### **Reservoir Parameters and Recovery Factors**

Well PAD		Thickness	Area	Pad Volume <sup>1</sup>	Average Permeabilit y	So	PhiE	DBIP	OBIP	Recovery to Date 12/31/2021	Recovery Factor to 12/31/2021	Estimated Ultimate Recovery	Ultimate Recovery Factor
		(m)	(10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>2</sup> )	(10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	(mD)			(10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	(10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	(10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	(%)	(10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	(%)
Pad A	A Infills and Replacement (16 well pairs)	30	880	30.6	3000	0.56	0.32	5.5	6.7	2,079	37.8	2.8	50
	A original (8 well pairs)	7	640										
	B West (8 well pairs)	37	640		3000	0.57	0.32	7.3	7.9	1,430	19.6	3.7	50
Pad B	B North (4 well pairs)	8	320	39.8									
	B North Infills (3 well pairs)	40	345										
Pad C	C West (8 well pairs)	36	640	53.8	3000	0.6	0.32	10.3	13.1	2,708	26.3	5.2	50
	C North Original <sup>2</sup> (4 well pairs)	10	320										
	C East (8 well pairs)	43	640										
	D East (15 well pairs)	43	660	28.1	3000	0.61	0.32	5.5	6.2	2,095	38.1	2.8	50
Pad D	D North (8 well pairs)	36	330	11.8	3000	0.61	0.33	2.4	2.8	467	19.5	1.2	50
	D West (15 well pairs)	31	578	17.9	3000	0.63	0.32	3.6	4.2	491	13.6	1.8	50
Clearwater Total (97 well pairs)								34.6	40.9	9,270	26.8	17.3	50
Pad GA (6 well pairs)		30	355	10.6	1800	0.62	0.30	2	2.1	659	33.0	1.0	50
Pad CN (6 well pairs + 7 infill)		13	502	6.5	4000	0.82	0.29	1.6	1.6	995	62.2	1.0	65
Tucker Total (109 well pairs + 7 infill)								38.2	44.6	10,925	28.6	19.3	51

Note:

Developable Bitumen In Place (DBIP) – Volume x So x Phi-E (Thickness defined from top of pay to 8% bitumen weight or producer level where wells are below 8% bitumen weight) Original Bitumen In Place (OBIP) - Top of Formation → oil water contact

<sup>1</sup> Due to rounding of values, the calculated values may not equal the individual values presented in the table

<sup>2</sup> Pad C North future development not included in DBIP. The DBIP is equal to 1.1X10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>3</sup>



RGE 4W4

# **Co-Injection Information**

- November 2020 started NCG Co-injection in the Clearwater Formation
- NCG injected into wells D32S, D34S and D36S
- Cumulative NCG injection is 4,146×10<sup>3</sup> standard m<sup>3</sup> as per the reporting period
- The average NCG injection concentration is approximately 1.5%
- NCG injected is fuel gas (methane)
- To date, the data has indicated that NCG coinjection has a positive impact on steam oil ratio (SOR) in the Clearwater Formation



# Subsection 4.3 8 Surface





# Central Processing Facility - Plot Plan





# **Facility Modifications**

• No facility modifications conducted during the reporting period



# Annual and Design Throughput Comparison







# Subsection 4.4 9-12 Historical and Upcoming Activity





# Suspension and Abandonment Activity

• No well pad abandonments or suspensions occurred during the reporting period



# Regulatory Applications and Approvals

Act	Application Number	Description	Approval Date		
OSCA	1934682	Clearwater Development Amendment (Pad H)	2021-12-07		



# **Operational Changes**

Material Operational Changes

• No material changes to facility capacity

Pilot Updates

- No significant reduction observed on gas consumption. Emission reduction was attributed to start-up of CN11 (Colony) without a rod pump unit. The two (2) Hydraulic Gas Pumps (HGPs) were down for approximately three (3) months due to operation and reliability challenges.
- Mid-April resumed Non-Condensable Gas (NCG) co-injection to D32S, D34S and D36S. Site equipment was unable to provide reliable steady pressure supply, the NCG injection location had to be switched from long tubing (mixing with steam) to short tubing injection depending on ambient temperature and pressure supply. This resulted in poor NCG distribution to subsurface.



# **Compliance History**

- Reportable Incidents
- AER Contravention report Edge Reference No. 0386722
  - December 30, 2021 Steam drain line on above ground pipeline (AGP) froze and leaked steam
  - Release contained in drip tray; repairs made to valve to stop leak. Re-insulated line to prevent freezing
  - Report closed by the AER
- Self-Disclosures
- No self-disclosures recorded during the reporting period
- Compliance
- All conditions of AER License F-32143 as well as all scheme approvals for the Project were met during the reporting period
- All conditions of the EPEA approval 147753-01 as amended were met during the reporting period



# **Future Plans**

Planned Activity (a)

Not applicable – see note below

#### Expected AER Applications (c)

Not applicable – see note below

Note: As of January 31, 2022, Tucker Thermal Project was divested; the OSCA and EPEA Approvals and all applicable licenses are in the process of being transferred to the new asset owner. As of December 31, 2021, all potential sustaining/development well pads have been approved by the AER.



### Monitoring Update – OSCA Approval Clause 14b Well 102/03-28-064-04W4

- Thermocouple string malfunction; repaired
- Minimal temperature increase over the past seven (7) years; low risk to neighboring non-thermal compatible well





### Monitoring Update – OSCA Approval Clause 14b Well 103/02-28-064-04W4

- Thermocouple string malfunction; repaired
- Temperature observed within drainage pattern is considered reasonable





### Monitoring Update – OSCA Approval Clause 14b Well 102/07-28-064-04W4

• No temperature changes observed during the reporting period





# Questions

#### please contact us

Cenovus Energy Inc. 225 - 6 Ave SW PO Box 766 Calgary, Alberta T2P 0M5 Telephone: 403.766.2000 Toll free in Canada: 1.877.766.2066 Fax: 403.766.7600 **cenovus.com** 



