AER 2018 Directive 54 Annual Presentation

BOLD IDEAS FOR ENERGY



Panny LEAD Pilot Experimental Scheme Approval No. 12283C

Dec 10, 2018

CONFIDENTIAL



Agenda

<u>Subsurface</u>

- 1. Background
- 2. Geoscience
- 3. Drilling & Completions
- 4. Artificial Lift
- 5. Instrumentation
- 6. 4-D Seismic
- 7. Scheme Performance
- 8. Future Plans

<u>Surface</u>

- 1. Facilities
- 2. Measurement & Reporting
- 3. Water
- 4. Environmental
- 5. Compliance
- 6. Future Plans



Subsurface



Background

Background



The Panny LEAD (Low-Pressure Electro-Thermally Assisted Drive) Pilot project was conducted in the W1/2-34-094-07W5 Panny area within the Peace River Oil Sands Area. The pilot surface location was at 13-34-94-7W5. This pilot project evaluated the potential of a low-pressure process that utilizes downhole electric heaters combined with water and/or solvent injection to recover bitumen from the Bluesky formation. This Bluesky formation has an overlying gas cap that had been produced, and so exhibits depleted pressure. This technology has the potential to be commercialized in both the Panny area as well as many other bitumen/heavy oil reservoirs in Alberta.

Location



• In the Peace River Oil Sands Area

Panny Map





Panny Map





LEAD Pilot Stage 1 CHS – Objectives / Scope

PERPETUAL ENERGY

- Primary Objective:
 - Collect significant pressure, temperature, and oil production data in response to conductive heating to tune simulator assumptions and geological understanding
- Secondary Objective:
 - Obtain experience operating electrical resistance heaters
- Scope:
 - Install heater in existing 4-34 Hz well
 - Drill 2 observation wells and install pressure & temperature instrumentations
 - Install onsite electrical generator
 - Use all existing production equipment currently on-site
 - Conduct minimum 3 heating & production cycles as required to obtain necessary data
- Reduce bitumen viscosity using electric cables and possibly injection of water/solvent
- · Have concurrent production of bitumen and associated gas cap
- Understand lateral and vertical heat conduction and convection
- Demonstrate commercial production and ultimate recovery factor
- Gather data for accurate numerical simulation to optimize commercial process

Regulatory Work



- Experimental Recovery Scheme Approval
 - July 24, 2014: Obtained Experimental Scheme Approval No. 12283
 - Full LEAD Pilot design
 - November 13, 2014: Experimental Scheme Amendment Submitted for LEAD Pilot Stage 1
 - Updated to included Stage 1 and Stage 2 LEAD Pilot designs
 - September 28, 2016: Experimental Scheme Approval 12283B
 - Approval to inject C5+ solvent
 - May 26, 20117: Experimental Scheme Approval 12283C
 - Extended confidentiality and validity to July 24, 2019

• EPEA

- June 2, 2014: Obtained AER EPEA Approval No. 299681-00-00
- September 29, 2014: Received a 'No Objection Letter' from the AER for LEAD Pilot Stage 1 design
- February 2, 2015: Groundwater Monitoring Program Proposal approved by AER
- Facility Licence
 - Facility considered a Single Well Bitumen Battery, and therefore required no facility licence
- Injection Well Approval
 - August 5, 2015: Obtained D51 Class IV Injection Well Approval for 100/04-34-094-07W5 horizontal well (a condition of the Experimental Scheme Approval for heater operation) Approval No. 12283
 - September 21, 2016: Obtained D51 Class III & IV Injection Well Approval for 100/04-34-094-07W5 horizontal well (a condition of the Experimental Scheme Approval for heater operation) Approval No. 12283B (as amended)



Geoscience

Panny Resource





High Estimate

983.0

245.8

25.0%

Net Bitumen Pay Map

11.6 m

* 12.0m

CI 2m





*

Bitumen Structure Map





Base Bitumen Structure Map



Wilrich Isopach – Top of Bluesky





100/13-34-094-07W5/00 - Type Well







100/13-34-094-07W5/00 - Type Well/Core





Overall rare to moderate low angle, planar to wavy mud laminations.

Panny Bluesky Reservoir Overview

Depth =	315 mTVD						
Porosity =	27%						
Oil saturation =	67%						
Temperature =	11°C						
Density =	11° API						
Viscosity =	50,000 cP at 11°C average						
Horizontal permeability =	1,400 mD						
Vertical permeability =	900 mD						
Gas cap =	Avg 3m, up to 6m						
Bitumen pay =	Avg 12m, up to 16m						
Gas cap pressure =	500 kPaa						
Bitumen pressure =	~1,200 kPaa (gradient exists						

TALLEAST DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A



Observation Wells





Bitumen leg divided into 2 gross reservoir quality facies: F1 and F3 (F3U and F3L). 20

Core Analysis Summary – Reservoir Facies



100/06-34-094-07W5/00	Facies	Calc So	Calc Sw	Pore Vol So	Pore Vol Sw	Kh Air mD	Kv Air mD	Ky Harm Ave.	Kv/Kh	Porosity Measured	Porosity Calc	Thin Section	Grain Size
BLUESKY	F-5												
	F-2	0.08	0.62	0.11	0.89	1076	774	699	0.72	0.30	0.23		
BLUESKY GAS	F-2A	0.25	0.52	0.32	0.68	47	20	20	0.42	0.26	0.21		
	F-2	0.16	0.55	0.22	0.78	1852	1224	773	0.66	0.31	0.24		
	F-3U	0.52	0.27	0.66	0.34	1692	1130	894	0.67	0.31	0.26	0.19	Mf+L-Mf
BLUESKY	F-3L	0.56	0.26	0.68	0.32	1091	654	515	0.60	0.31	0.27	0.21	L-Mf
	F-1	0.49	0.33	0.60	0.40	710	440	76	0.62	0.30	0.26	0.18	Uvfs

102/12-34-094-07W5/00	Facies	Calc So	Calc Sw	Pore Vol So	Pore Vol Sw	Kh Air mD	Kv Air mD	Kv Harm Ave.	Kv/Kh	Porosity Measured	Porosity Calc	Thin Section	Grain Size
	F-5	0.00	0.92	0.00	1.00	0	0	0	0.40	0.19	0.18		
BLUESKY	F-5A	0.01	0.88	0.02	0.98	7	4	4	0.53	0.19	0.17		
BLUESKY GAS	F-2	0.19	0.57	0.25	0.75	275	148	109	0.54	0.26	0.21		
	F-4	0.24	0.51	0.31	0.69	584	407	135	0.70	0.29	0.23		
	F-2	0.22	0.51	0.30	0.70	1327	851	363	0.64	0.32	0.25		
BLUESKY	F-3U	0.55	0.27	0.66	0.34	1850	1074	506	0.58	0.31	0.27	0.24	Mf+L-Mf
	F-3L	0.54	0.28	0.66	0.34	937	555	233	0.59	0.32	0.28	0.19	L-Mf
	F-1	0.53	0.30	0.64	0.36	608	282	186	0.46	0.31	0.27	0.19	Lf

F- 5	Transgressive sand; greenish glauconitic
F- 5A	Sand, sshy; interbedded sands/shales
F- 4	f gr sandstone, abundant aggregated carbonaceous, muddy laminae; some high angle cross beds
F- 3U	medium grained sandstone, thin (cm) clay laminae or banded layers of large clay clasts, siderite, chert, bi- directional structures
F-3L	lower medium grained sandstone, thin (cm) clay laminations or banded layers of large clay clasts, slight increase of detrital clay, siderite, chert
F-2	Massive fg sands tone w/ rare clay laminates; may be burrowed (F-2A: mottled, burrowed, distorted)
F-1	Sandstone w/ common linclined clay laminations, minor late stage patchy Ferroan Dolomite

- Bluesky oil F-3 facies (primary bitumen target) Averages:
 - Porosity = 27%
 - Horizontal permeability = 1,400 mD
 - Vertical permeability = 900 mD
 - Oil saturation = 67%

- Bluesky facies based on petrographic and core data
- Chert Arenite Lithology excellent reservoir rock. Minor, localized, non extensive shale partings and laminae seen near base of Bluesky sand. Localized minor shale clast blebs.
- Slight coarsening upward sequence observed. Grain size range: V Fine - Fine at base (facies F1)
 Fine- Lower Medium (facies F3, targeted bitumen leg)
- Best reservoir permeability / porosity relationship in facies F3.

Core Analysis Summary – Porosity vs Permeability



PERPETUAL ENERGY

Rock Classification







Porosity Relationships





Mineralogy



COMPANY: Perpetual Energy Inc. WELLS: 100/06-34-094-07W4 & 10			ic. & 102/12	-34-094-07	W5M		FILE: FORMATION: FIELD:		GR 24899 2015 Bluesky Panny			
			Mine	eralogy C	Calculated	TABLE I from Th	4 in Sectio	n Modal .	Analysis			
GR Sample Jf	Quartz (%)	Feldspar (%)	Dolomite (%)	Calcite (%)	Siderite (%)	Pyrite (%)	Clay (%)	Glauc (%)	Phos (%)	CD (%)	HM (%)	Grain Density Calculated (kg/m3)
68-001	71.6	1 44	23	0.3	1.3	0.3	15.6	3.3	tr	0.3		2676
GR-002	64.0	5.5	3.7	0.7	1.3		21.8	1.7		1.3		2675
68.003	73.9	2.9	2.7	tr	0.3	1.0	17.3	2.0	1. 200	1.0		2660
GR-003	68.8	4.8	4.3	0.7	0.3	4	20.1	1.0	1.00	-0.0	tr	2672
GR-004	61.9	2.6	7.7	4.0	0.3		22.2	1.0	•	-0.0		2672
	70.0	1 24	70	07			16.4	1.0	10 1	0.3	tr	2661
GR-006	70.9	5.4	1.0	0.7	0.3	tr	20.8	3.3	0.3	0.3		2682
GR-007	67.4	0.2	1.3	0.7	-0.0	tr	22.8	0.3		8.7	tr	2612
GR-008	58.7	4.5	4,5	0.7	0.3	tr	21.7	1.3	tr	tr	tr	2675
GR-009	65.4	4.9	12.3	2.0		0.3	16.5	0.3		1.0	-	2686
GR-010	63.4	4,1	12.5	2.0		-			- meneral area			
Glauc (%) - Glauconite Phos (%) - Phosphate								HM (%) - Hea	vy Minerals			

Reservoir Sensitivity



Reservoir Sensitivity

- High sensitivity and incompatibility with HCL, HF acid systems.
- Slight to moderate sensitivity to water based fluids clay sloughing and fines migration.
- Slight to moderate potential for permeability reduction related to fines migration.
- Moderate potential for scale formation with water production.
- Moderate potential for clay expansion.
- Potential for sand migration and production.

Viscosity





Viscosity gradation observed.



Drilling and Completions

Stage 1 CHS Test Layout





Horizontal Well Completion



Observation Well Drilling – 12-34-94-7W5





PEOC Panny 12-34-94-7W5

- Spudded Feb 18, 2015; Rig released Feb 24, 2015.
- Drilled surface, ran 219.1mm (9 5/8") J-55 ST&C surface casing set at 118m.
- Drilled out with directional tools, KOP at 213m, build hole angle to 7° to core point at 301.4m
- Cored from 301.4m to 342m and had 99.6% core recovery :
 - Cut core #1 and then RIH with survey tools to take ranging shot
 - Cut core #2 and then RIH with survey tools to take ranging shot
 - Cut cores #3-6, RIH with directional drilling BHA and Range to 4-34
- Drilled to 348.5m (0.5m from TD) when well lost mud circulation, cured losses:
 - Bit was 8.5m into the Paleozoic, 0.5m away from planned TD
- Open hole logged.
- Ran 114.3mm (4.5") L-80 SLHT casing with sensors, cemented with Thermal-40 cement with 4.0 m3 returns.
- Final ranging shows 3.58m separation.

Observation Well Drilling – 6-34-94-7W5





PEOC Panny 6-34-94-7W5

- Originally licenced as 5-34-94-7W5.
- Spudded Feb 25, 2015; rig released Mar 3, 2015.
- Drilled surface hole and kicked-off directional at 100mMD; landed surface casing at 165mMD.
- Drilled out with directional tools and build hole angle to 9° by core point at 299.7m
- Cored from 299.7m to 341.2m and had 99.4% core recovery:
 - Cut core #1 and then RIH with survey tools to take ranging shot
 - Cut core #2 and then RIH with survey tools to take ranging shot
 - Cut cores #3-6, RIH with directional drilling BHA and Range to 4-34
- Lost mud circulation in Paleozoic, cured losses.
- Open hole logged.
- Ran 114.3mm (4.5") L-80 SLHT casing with sensors, cemented with Thermal-40 cement.
- Cement briefly lost circulation, top filled cement ~50m
- Ran cement bond long good cement.
- TD crossed into LSD 6-34; well license amended.
- Final ranging shows 3.01m separation.



Artificial Lift

Horizontal Well Completion







Reciprocating pump utilized. No issues experienced with artificial lift system. 38 m3/d peak rates @ 350 kPaa sandface pressure.



Instrumentation

Horizontal Well Completion



Observation Wells

- 9 5/8" surface, 4 1/2" production casing
- · Ranged wells to land close to horizontal well
 - Obs 6-34: 3.01m separation
 - Obs 12-34: 3.58m separation
- Cored entire Bluesky/Gething formation
 - Avg 100 core sample points per well
 - Petrology lab work
- Log with typical log suite plus CMI log on one well
- All instrumentation clamped to outside of production casing and cemented-in-place
- 7 pressure and 19 temperature points per well
- Solar powered RTU for data recording, download with USB stick weekly







4-D Seismic

No 4-D Seismic



No seismic / microseismic utilized directly for this project.



Scheme Performance

CHS Pilot Summary



- LEAD Pilot Stage 1: Cyclic Heating Stimulation (CHS) Test -
 - First heat on Oct 14, 2015
 - First production on Mar 1, 2016
 - Cycle 1 Produced for 6 weeks , followed by 2 week temperature falloff
 - Cycle 2 Heated for 6 weeks, then produced
 - Cycle 2 Produced while heating.
 - Cycle 3 Solvent injection; aborted due to asphaltene deposition.
 - Toluene soak and clean out
 - Cycle 4- Produced while heating
 - Heater off May 06, 2017
 - Excellent data collected during heating phase, production phase and shut-in
 - Positive production results
 - Incorporate data into refined reservoir model to evaluate additional pilot work and commercial designs

Operations Overview – Observation Wells



- Observation wells
 - Drilled March 2015
 - 100% of sensors are working (52 total)
 - Measurements recorded hourly
 - Thermocouples have allowed accurate reservoir thermal conductivity tuning in the model
 - Reservoir thermal conductivity higher than previously assumed
 - Pressure gauges have provided important insight into vertical and horizontal permeabilities
 - Reservoir pressures took ~3 months post-drilling to equalize

Lessons Learned

- Reservoir
 - Seven pressure sensors per observation well have provided necessary insight into the nonlinear bitumen pressure gradient
 - Pressure response during heating has been less than expected, and pressure depletion during production has also been less than expected
 - significant upside which has contributed to production outperforming expectations
 - Observation well thermocouples have allowed improved thermal conductivity estimates
 - Heater operation during production ("flow assurance") appears to increase production rates significantly, and enables continued heating of the reservoir during production cycle
 - shortens heating cycle since continue to heat the reservoir during production

Future Plans



Pilot was terminated May 06, 2017 after failure of the second heater. Perpetual continuing to gather pressure and temperature data at the observation wells. No further production is anticipated.

This is the final presentation for this pilot.



Surface



Facility 13-34-094-07W5



Facility Process Flow Diagram



- Emulsion goes directly to 750 bbl production and sales tanks
- Treating of oil to pipeline spec (<0.5% BS&W) is achieved through demulsifier injection near the wellhead and tank heating to temperatures of 60-80°C

Facility Site Plan







Facility Photos





Facility – MCC Building







Measurement and Reporting

Measurements





Measured Data – Production and Observation wells



kPaa kPaa kPaa, bubble tube Tank height, m Prorated from spin cut Meter, m3/d Thermocouples, DTS – Deg C

ocation
/leter, m3/d
Aeter, A
Aeter, V
Calculated, W
Neasured

All acquired data were stored in the ClearScada

100/12-34-094-07W5 & 100/06-34-094-07W5 – Observation WellsReservoir PressureERE, kPaaReservoir TemperaturesERE and Thermocouples – Deg C

4-34 Hz Response – Heater Section Temperatures



- The primary operating constraint was the fiber optic DTS temperature limitation 300°C maximum
- Secondary operating constraint was intermediate casing temperature 140°C maximum during production
- · Even with active hot spots, average temperatures increased slowly over time
- The DTS measured heater temperature with heaters on, and sandface temperature with heaters off. Simulation assumes sandface temp; difference between the two is primarily the fluid in the wellbore acting as an insulator

Production Summary





- Water cuts obtained from spin cut averaged ~5% BS&W with only trace sand
- · Running the heaters at maximum power within constraints during production contributed to increased performance
- · The majority of the trucked-out oil met pipeline specifications without the need for diluent

Obs Well Pressure Response – Since First Heat





- P4 sensors horizontally across from the 4-34 Hz well showed good response to heating and production but higher than modelled pressures after the first cycle of heating.
- Sensors above and below showed pressure responses that suggest baffling or compartmentalization
- Slow pressure decline deemed related to stronger production performance than originally expected



Bitumen Treatment Successfully produced sales spec oil with existing facility process

Water Treatment No water injection or water treatment required for CHS test Phase 1

Gas Conservation Power generation utilized natural gas from the Panny gas field

Measurement and Reporting



Production Volume: Fluid rate measured daily based on tank levels. Oil and Water prorated from spin cut of well sample.

Injected volume:

Volume of injected fluid measured using supply tank meter.



Environmental

Proximity to Peace River





Obtained and complied with EPEA approvals.



Compliance

Regulatory Update



- Experimental Recovery Scheme Approval
 - July 24, 2014: Obtained Experimental Scheme Approval No. 12283
 - Full LEAD Pilot design
 - November 13, 2014: Experimental Scheme Amendment Submitted for LEAD Pilot Stage 1
 - Updated to included Stage 1 and Stage 2 LEAD Pilot designs
 - September 28, 2016: Experimental Scheme Approval 12283B
 - Approval to inject C5+ solvent
 - May 26, 20117: Experimental Scheme Approval 12283C
 - Extended confidentiality and validity to July 24, 2019
- EPEA
 - June 2, 2014: Obtained AER EPEA Approval No. 299681-00-00
 - September 29, 2014: Received a 'No Objection Letter' from the AER for LEAD Pilot Stage 1 design
 - February 2, 2015: Groundwater Monitoring Program Proposal approved by AER
- Facility Licence
 - Facility considered a Single Well Bitumen Battery, and therefore required no facility licence
- Injection Well Approval
 - August 5, 2015: Obtained D51 Class IV Injection Well Approval for 100/04-34-094-07W5 horizontal well (a condition of the Experimental Scheme Approval for heater operation) Approval No. 12283
 - September 21, 2016: Obtained D51 Class III & IV Injection Well Approval for 100/04-34-094-07W5 horizontal well (a condition of the Experimental Scheme Approval for heater operation) Approval No. 12283B (as amended)



• The Panny CHS Pilot Project was conducted in compliance with all conditions of approvals and associated regulatory requirements.



Future Plans

Future Plans



Pilot was terminated May 06, 2017 after failure of the second heater. Perpetual continuing to gather pressure and temperature data at the observation wells. No further production is anticipated.

This is the final presentation for this pilot.