THE ALBERTA ENERGY REGULATOR PROCEEDINGS ID NO. 417

IN THE MATTER OF the Regulatory Appeal by

Michael Judd of the AER's decision to approve

Application No. 31097955 and issue

Pipeline Licence No. 62559 to

Pieridae Alberta Production Ltd.

on August 16, 2021

(Regulatory Appeal 1935549)

AER PROCEEDING

VOLUME 2

Calgary, Alberta November 20, 2024

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		
3	Description	Page
4		
5	November 20, 2024 Morning Session	105
6	Opening Remarks	106
7	PAUL KUNKEL, ERIN MACZUGA, KEN SCHEIRER,	109
8	DARRELL ARCHIBALD, BRIAN DEW, BRAD FOOTE,	
9	JACQUELINE REDBURN, Affirmed	
10	LUC SIMON, Sworn	
11	Direct Evidence of the Pieridae Alberta	109
12	Production Ltd. Witnesses	
13	M. Sawyer Cross-examines the Pieridae Alberta	139
14	Production Ltd. Witnesses	
15	Submissions by M. Sawyer	150
16	Submissions by D. Naffin	151
17	Ruling	153
18	M. Sawyer Cross-examines the Pieridae Alberta	155
19	Production Ltd. Witnesses	
20	Submissions by T. Myers	159
21	Submissions by M. Sawyer	160
22	Submission by T. Myers (Reply)	162
23	Submissions by M. Sawyer (Reply)	164
24	Submissions by T. Myers (Reply)	165
25	Ruling	166
26	M. Sawyer Cross-examines the Pieridae Alberta	167

_		
1	Production Ltd. Witnesses	
2		
3	November 20, 2024 Afternoon Session	209
4	Discussion	210
5	PAUL KUNKEL, ERIN MACZUGA, KEN SCHEIRER,	214
6	DARRELL ARCHIBALD, BRIAN DEW, BRAD FOOTE,	
7	JACQUELINE REDBURN, Previously Affirmed	
8	LUC SIMON, Previously Sworn	
9	Discussion	223
10	Discussion	231
11	Submissions by T. Myers	250
12	Submissions by M. Sawyer	251
13	Submissions by T. Myers (Reply)	255
14	Ruling	256
15	B. Kapel Holden Cross-examines the Pieridae	267
16	Alberta Production Ltd. Witnesses	
17	The Panel Questions the Pieridae Alberta	281
18	Production Ltd. Witnesses	
19	Discussion	287
20	Certificate of Transcript	291
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

		1
1	EXHIBITS	
2	Description	Page
3		
4	EXHIBIT 223.1 - 2024-11-20 Judd AQ 14 -	214
5	Photographs	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
I		

1	Proceedings taken at Govier	Hall, Calgary,
2	Alberta	
3		
4	November 20, 2024	Morning Session
5		
6	C.L.F. Chiasson	Hearing Commissioner
7	H. Robinson	Hearing Commissioner
8	S.F. Mackenzie	Hearing Commissioner
9		
10	B. Kapel Holden	Counsel for the Panel
11	D. Ogunyemi	Counsel for the Panel
12	O. Chijioke	Counsel for the Panel
13	D. Brezina	AER Counsel
14	K. Gibson	AER Counsel
15	A. Lewis	AER Staff
16	T. Wheaton	AER Staff
17	E. Arruda	AER Staff
18	A. Stanislavski	AER Staff
19	N. Hymers	AER Staff
20		
21	D. Naffin	For Pieridae Alberta
22	T. Myers	Production Ltd.
23	T. Machell	
24		
25	M. Sawyer	Representative for
26		Michael Judd

1	
1	R.M. Johanson, CSR(A) Official Court Reporter
2	A. Vidal, CSR(A), RPR, RMR Official Court Reporter
3	
4	(PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 9:01 AM)
5	Opening Remarks
6	THE CHAIR: Please be seated.
7	So good morning to everyone. I'm glad to
8	see it's not snowing so far this morning and
9	that. Welcome back to the hearing.
10	So a few reminders before we get started on
11	the main business of the day. As we mentioned
12	near the close yesterday, we've been advised
13	that there will be a test the Province is
14	planning to run a test of the Alberta Emergency
15	Alert system at 1:55 this afternoon.
16	Now, tied in with that reminder is the
17	reminder that everyone have their electronics,
18	their phones, their computers, anything else
19	they've got that's electronic that could be
20	making noise, that you have them turned to
21	silent, please. So I'm not certain how much an
22	emergency alert test may come through if you've
23	got your devices on silent, but there is a
24	possibility that we may get disrupted shortly
25	at that time so just so that everyone is aware.
26	The other thing that we wanted to remind

1	people of before we start is that this hearing
2	is being video cast on the internet, and so
3	anyone in the hearing room, whether you're
4	actively participating in the hearing or in the
5	audience, may be captured on the video cast.
6	So if you have concerns about that, please
7	approach Ms. Arruda, our hearing coordinator,
8	and you can discuss it with her.
9	So are there any matters that we need to
10	discuss before we proceed? No? All right.
11	Thank you.
12	So today we are proceeding with direct
13	evidence and then cross-examination and
14	potentially redirect of Pieridae's witness
15	panel.
16	So, Mr. Naffin, Mr. Myers, we'll turn it
17	over to you.
18	T. MYERS: Thank you, and good
19	morning, Commissioners. It's my pleasure this
20	morning to introduce the witness panel for
21	Pieridae.
22	The members of the witness panel are as
23	follows and I'll start closest to the Panel
24	in the front row. So closest to you in the
25	front row is Mr. Erin Maczuga, senior
26	regulatory advisor with Pieridae; next to him

1	is Mr. Paul Kunkel, chief commercial officer
2	with Pieridae; Mr. Ken Scheirer is next to him.
3	He's the commercial engineering manager with
4	Pieridae; Mr. Darrell Archibald, production
5	superintendant with Pieridae; and rounding out
6	the front row is Mr. Luc Simon, integrity
7	inspector with Pieridae.
8	And then in the second row closest to the
9	Panel, again, is Ms. Jacqueline Redburn, team
10	lead national sciences with Trace Associates.
11	Beside her is Mr. Brad Foote, ERP operations
12	manager with Behr Integrated Solutions. And
13	finally Mr. Brian Dew, manager pipeline
14	integrity and engineering services with Acuren.
15	And then behind them there are a couple of
16	support folks, but they won't be providing
17	testimony today.
18	The curriculum vitae for Mr. Kunkel,
19	Mr. Archibald, Mr. Maczuga, and Mr. Simon are
20	each located at Tab 1 of Pieridae's written
21	submission, which is Exhibit 134.02. The CVs
22	for Mr. Dew and Mr. Foote are located at Tab 2
23	of Pieridae's written submission, which is
24	Exhibit 134.03. And updated CVs for
25	Mr. Scheirer and Ms. Redburn are located at
26	Tab 2 of Pieridae's supplemental submission,
I	

1	which is Exhibit 199.01.
2	If I could ask madam court reporter to
3	please swear or affirm the witnesses as they
4	indicate.
5	PAUL KUNKEL, ERIN MACZUGA, KEN SCHEIRER,
6	DARRELL ARCHIBALD, BRIAN DEW, BRAD FOOTE,
7	JACQUELINE REDBURN, Affirmed
8	LUC SIMON, Sworn
9	THE CHAIR: So, Mr. Myers, one
10	thing I just realized as we were going through
11	this, just as a reminder again to everyone,
12	because we have a lot of people and a lot of
13	microphones in play, the system will only
14	manage four microphones open at one time. So
15	as much as possible, if you can remember to
16	turn off your microphones after you've used
17	them, and I think they're all labelled. The
18	red light means "on". So if you see the red
19	light, you know your mic is on. Thank you.
20	Direct Evidence of the Pieridae Alberta
21	Production Ltd. Witnesses
22	T. MYERS: Thank you,
23	Commissioner Chiasson.
24	I'm now going to ask each member of
25	Pieridae's witness panel to provide a brief
26	description of their background, their

1 position, and their role with respect to the 2 subject matter of this proceeding. 3 T. MYERS: Mr. Kunkel, I'll 0 begin with you. Can you please describe your 4 background, position, and role with respect to 5 6 this proceeding. 7 P. KUNKEL: Good morning. Мγ name is Paul Kunkel, and I'm the chief 8 commercial officer at Pieridae Energy. 9 10 joined Pieridae just over a year ago on 11 September 1st, 2023. With nearly 30 years of 12 experience in the energy sector, I have worked 13 in both the oil and gas and power segments. 14 My background includes executive roles in 15 upstream and midstream oil and gas companies, as well as a leadership role at a global 16 17 management consulting firm. I specialize in corporate strategy, corporate finance, and risk 18 management, as well as commercial operations 19 20 such as mergers and acquisitions, commodity marketing, and trading. 21 22 I hold a bachelor of commerce degree with a specialization in finance, and I'm also a CFA 23 24 charterholder. Today I'm here on the witness 25 panel to discuss Pieridae's company policy and the broader necessity for the subject pipeline. 26

1	Q	Thank you, Mr. Kunkel.
2		Mr. Scheirer, can you please describe your
3		background, position, and role with respect to
4		this proceeding.
5	A	K. SCHEIRER: Good morning. My
6		name is Ken Scheirer. I'm a registered
7		professional engineer with APEGA and have
8		nearly 20 years experience in the oil and gas
9		industry, primarily in upstream natural gas
10		development and production operations in
11		Alberta and British Columbia with considerable
12		experience in sour gas operations.
13		I currently hold the position of commercial
14		engineering manager at Pieridae Energy where my
15		primary focus is on expanding our third-party
16		gas processing midstream business. I am also
17		involved in assessing and pursuing new business
18		development and commercial opportunities for
19		the company.
20		A critical aspect of my role is ensuring
21		that projects meet all design, safety, and
22		engineering standards, as well as regulatory
23		requirements to maintain our commitment to
24		operational excellence and compliance.
25		For the purposes of this proceeding, I am
26		here to discuss aspects related to the design

1		and construction of the pipeline as well as the
2		calculation of the emergency planning zone. In
3		my previous role as projects and development
4		engineering manager at Pieridae, the project
5		manager overseeing this pipeline project
6		reported directly to me. Thank you.
7	Q	Thank you, Mr. Scheirer.
8		Moving to you, Mr. Archibald. Can you
9		please describe your background, position, and
10		role with respect to this proceeding.
11	A	D. ARCHIBALD: My name is Darrell
12		Archibald, and I'm a professional engineer
13		registered with APEGA with 18 years of sour gas
14		operations and engineering technical
15		experience.
16		I have worked at multiple sour gas sites in
17		various technical and operational roles. I was
18		the field supervisor at Waterton 2014 to 2018,
19		and I've been a superintendant since 2018; so
20		for Shell and now Pieridae. I'm responsible
21		for the safe safe operation of the subject
22		pipeline and all pipelines, facilities, plant
23		and field, for the Waterton operations.
24	Q	Thank you, sir.
25		Mr. Maczuga, I'm going to move back to you.
26		Can you please describe your background,
I		

1 position, and role with respect to this 2 proceeding. 3 E. MACZUGA: Good morning. Α Мγ name is Erin Maczuga, and I hold a bachelor of 4 laws and science degree from Victoria 5 6 University of Wellington. As Pieridae's senior regulatory advisor, I report to Mr. Kunkel and provide leadership and 8 expert advice on regulatory and compliance 9 I've been with Pieridae for 10 matters. 11 approximately two years. 12 Prior to joining Pieridae, I worked at the 13 Alberta Energy Regulator for about 12 years. 14 began my career in the corporate enforcement section of the ERCB and later played a key role 15 in standing up the Alberta Energy Regulator 16 where I became the director of compliance and 17 enforcement within the environmental and 18 operation performance branch, and, finally, I 19 20 worked in the regulatory development area. 21 In this proceeding I'm here to speak to 22 Pieridae's public consultation efforts relating to this application. 23 Thank you. 24 And rounding out the front row, Mr. Simon, can 25 I please ask you to describe your background, 26 position, and role with respect to this

1		proceeding.
2	A	L. SIMON: Certainly. I've
3		been in the oil and gas industry for 37 years.
4		A college diploma in welding engineering
5		technology in Northern College in Kirkland
6		Lake, Ontario. I've been involved with
7		inspection and integrity of various companies,
8		eventually ending up in Waterton at the complex
9		in 2001 and have been employed there since then
10		with specific involvement in the pipeline
11		integrity management programs, materials and
12		coating selections, corrosion control,
13		extensive experience with managing integrity of
14		HDPE-lined pipelines and the evolution of the
15		inspection technology for these traditionally
16		non-inspectable pipelines. My role currently
17		is the same. I manage the pipeline integrity
18		for the subject pipeline. Thank you.
19	Q	Thank you.
20		T. MYERS: Commissioners,
21		Pieridae is putting Mr. Dew, Mr. Foote, and
22		Ms. Redburn forward as experts in this
23		proceeding in the following areas. We're not
24		seeking that they be formally qualified as
25		such, but I wanted to provide a bit of a
26		background on their expertise.

1		Ms. Redburn is being put forward as an
2		expert in the areas of environmental assessment
3		and the mitigation of environmental impacts
4		associated with pipeline construction.
5		Mr. Foote is being put forward as an expert in
6		the areas of emergency response planning and
7		emergency planning zone calculation. And
8		Mr. Dew is being put forward as an expert in
9		the areas of pipeline operations and pipeline
10		integrity management.
11		In addition to describing their roles on
12		the witness panel, I'll ask each of these
13		expert witnesses to provide a brief summary of
14		their qualifications and experience in these
15		areas.
16	Q	T. MYERS: Ms. Redburn, we'll
17		start with you. Can you please summarize your
18		relevant qualification and expertise as they
19		relate to the areas of environmental assessment
20		and the mitigation of environmental impacts
21		associated with pipeline construction.
22	A	J. REDBURN: Good morning. My
23		name is Jacqueline Redburn, and I am the team
24		lead of the national sciences team at Trace
25		Associates. I hold a bachelor of science in
26		botany and a master's in environmental design

1		and environmental science. I have 16 years of
2		experience working as a professional biologist
3		with technical expertise in vegetation and
4		wetland ecology and experience conducting
5		biophysical assessments and supporting
6		regulatory applications. I have previous
7		experience as an expert witness.
8		My role on the witness panel is is a
9		result of Trace preparing the 2017
10		environmental assessment for Shell Canada,
11		preparing the 2021 environmental assessment and
12		environmental protection plan included in the
13		pipeline application, as well as the updates to
14		these documents in 2023 and 2022 assessing
15		environmental aspects of the project and
16		designing and implementing environmental
17		protection and mitigation measures since the
18		pre-application phase and working with northern
19		resource analysts, the environmental monitor on
20		the subject pipeline, to implement the
21		environmental protection plan during
22		construction of the subject pipeline.
23	Q	And, Ms. Redburn, are you willing and able to
24		fulfill the duty of an independent expert by
25		providing fair, objective, and nonpartisan
26		evidence in this proceeding?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Thank you.
3		Mr. Foote, can you please summarize your
4		relevant qualifications and expertise as they
5		relate to the areas of emergency response
6		planning and emergency planning zone
7		calculations.
8	A	B. FOOTE: Good morning. My
9		name is Brad Foote. I am the ERP operations
10		manager for Behr Integrated Solutions. I bring
11		over 24 years of experience in emergency
12		services and emergency management with 12 years
13		specifically in the energy industry focusing on
14		emergency response planning. I have developed
15		emergency response plans and programs for
16		various clients to meet regulatory requirements
17		in Alberta, BC, and Saskatchewan.
18		My educational background includes criminal
19		justice policing along with NFPA 10-01 and
20		10-02 firefighter accreditations. I hold
21		numerous certifications in incident command
22		system and hazmat operations.
23		My expertise encompasses emergency
24		management frameworks, regulatory compliance,
25		and project management for emergency response
26		programs. Additionally, I possess skills in
ı		

1		supervisory roles, business planning, public
2		consultation, and the development and
3		facilitation of emergency response exercises.
4		I have received extensive training and
5		certifications in emergency management and
6		public safety through the Alberta Emergency
7		Management Agency and Public Safety Canada.
8		In mid-2022 I was assigned to Pieridae's
9		ERP portfolio as project manager. Since then I
10		have managed the preparation and submission of
11		annual updates to their corporate and Waterton
12		area emergency response plans, including the
13		development of the Waterton 61 site-specific
14		ERP for the subject pipeline.
15		Behr has also conducted emergency planning
16		zone calculations for the subject pipeline as
17		part of the update and development of
18		associated ERPs. Behr will commission and
19		operate proprietary software for Pieridae to
20		implement mass notifications and incident
21		response.
22	Q	Mr. Foote, are you willing and able to fulfill
23		the duty of an independent expert by providing
24		fair, objective, and nonpartisan evidence in
25		this proceeding?
26	A	Yes.

1	Q	Thank you.
2		Mr. Dew, can you please summarize your
3		relevant qualifications and expertise as they
4		relate to the areas of pipeline operations and
5		pipeline integrity management.
6	A	B. DEW: Good morning. My
7		name is Brian Dew. I'm the manager pipeline
8		and integrity services for Acuren. I'm a
9		graduate of the University of Alberta from the
10		materials engineering program. I'm a
11		registered professional engineer with APEGA
12		with over ten year's experience in upstream oil
13		and gas pipeline and pressure vessel integrity
14		and regulations.
15		I've worked for owner-operators where I
16		worked with and helped manage the integrity of
17		sour gas pipeline systems, lined pipeline
18		systems, and other liquid and gas pipeline
19		systems across Alberta, BC, and Saskatchewan.
20		While consulting with Acuren, I have supported
21		clients with the creation and implementation of
22		pipeline integrity management programs,
23		pipeline risk assessments, engineering
24		assessments for pipeline changes, and I
25		function as an instructor for pipeline code and
26		regulatory courses.

1		For the purposes of this proceeding, I
2		having provided the following support to
3		Pieridae: implementation of Pieridae's
4		integrity management program, the engineering
5		assessment and its revisions for the resumption
6		of the downstream tie-in pipeline system was
7		completed under my supervision and review, and
8		I've provided technical support and general
9		industrial best practices. Thank you.
10	Q	And, sir, are you willing and able to fulfill
11		the duty of an independent expert by providing
12		fair, objective, and nonpartisan evidence in
13		this proceeding?
14	A	Yes.
14 15	A Q	Yes. Thank you.
15		Thank you.
15 16		Thank you. Commission members, if you'll bear with me,
15 16 17		Thank you. Commission members, if you'll bear with me, I'll have the members of the the panel adopt
15 16 17 18		Thank you. Commission members, if you'll bear with me, I'll have the members of the the panel adopt the evidence as it's been filed on the record
15 16 17 18 19		Thank you. Commission members, if you'll bear with me, I'll have the members of the the panel adopt the evidence as it's been filed on the record of this proceeding. I'll save Ms. Kapel Holden
15 16 17 18 19 20		Thank you. Commission members, if you'll bear with me, I'll have the members of the the panel adopt the evidence as it's been filed on the record of this proceeding. I'll save Ms. Kapel Holden from having to do the same through her
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Thank you. Commission members, if you'll bear with me, I'll have the members of the the panel adopt the evidence as it's been filed on the record of this proceeding. I'll save Ms. Kapel Holden from having to do the same through her questions.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Thank you. Commission members, if you'll bear with me, I'll have the members of the the panel adopt the evidence as it's been filed on the record of this proceeding. I'll save Ms. Kapel Holden from having to do the same through her questions. Mr. Kunkel, Pieridae's written evidence in
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Thank you. Commission members, if you'll bear with me, I'll have the members of the the panel adopt the evidence as it's been filed on the record of this proceeding. I'll save Ms. Kapel Holden from having to do the same through her questions. Mr. Kunkel, Pieridae's written evidence in this proceeding consists of the following:

1	response to statement of concern 31920 dated
2	April 5th, 2021, which is located at PDF pages
3	150 through 174 of Exhibit 2.02; Pieridae's
4	response to SOC 31921 also dated April 5th,
5	2021, located at PDF pages 175 through 181 of
6	Exhibit 2.02; Pieridae's response to the AER's
7	Supplemental Information Request Number 1 dated
8	April 12th, 2021, which is located at PDF pages
9	182 through 361 of Exhibit 2.02; Pieridae's
10	response to the AER's SIR Number 2 dated
11	May 7th, 2021, located at PDF pages 364 through
12	423 of Exhibit 2.02; Pieridae's response to the
13	AER's SIR Number 3 dated June 18th, 2021,
14	located at PDF pages 435 through 497 of
15	Exhibit 2.02; Pieridae's corporate emergency
16	response plan dated October 2022 located at
17	Exhibit 90.02; Pieridae's Waterton 61
18	site-specific ERP dated September 7th, 2022,
19	located at Exhibits 90.03 and 102.02;
20	Pieridae's pipeline integrity management
21	program manual dated August 1st, 2021, which is
22	located at Exhibit 90.05; Pieridae's responses
23	to the information requests submitted by
24	Mr. Judd on September 13th, 2023, which are
25	located at Exhibits 124.02 through 124.18;
26	Pieridae's responses to the IRs submitted by

```
1
         the AER panel dated December 11th, 2023, which
 2
         are located at Exhibits 129.02 through 129.18;
 3
         Pieridae's written submission dated
         December 20th, 2023, which is located at
 4
         Exhibits 134.01 through 134.06; Pieridae's
 5
 6
         Waterton area ERP dated October 2023, which is
         located at Exhibit 190.2; Pieridae's Waterton
         complex core ERP dated October 2023, which is
 8
         located at Exhibit 190.3; Pieridae's
 9
10
         supplemental submission dated October 31st,
11
         2024, located at Exhibit 199.01; the pipeline
12
         licence amendment pertaining to the subject
13
         pipeline licence located at Exhibit 216.3; and
14
         all correspondence and submissions filed by
         Bennett Jones on behalf of Pieridae on the
15
         record of this regulatory appeal proceeding.
16
17
              With that list, Mr. Kunkel, have you either
         reviewed these materials or were these
18
19
         materials prepared by you or under your
         direction?
20
21
         P. KUNKEL:
     Α
                               Yes.
22
         And do you have any corrections that you'd like
         to make at this time?
23
24
         No.
              I do not.
     Α
25
         Are these materials accurate, to the best of
     O
26
         your knowledge and belief?
```

1 Α Yes. They are. 2 And do you adopt these materials as the written 3 evidence of Pieridae in this proceeding? 4 Α Yes. 5 Thank you. 0 6 Ms. Redburn, a shorter list, but the written evidence of Trace in this proceeding consists of the following: 8 Trace's 9 environmental assessment dated February 17th, 10 2021, located at PDF pages 37 through 110 of 11 Exhibit 2.02; Trace's environmental protection 12 plan dated February 17th, 2021, located at PDF pages 111 through 135 of Exhibit 2.02; 13 14 Pieridae's responses to IRs 58 through 64 and 66 through 79 submitted by Mr. Judd, which are 15 located at PDF pages 62 through 70 and 72 16 17 through 87 of Exhibit 124.02; Pieridae's response to IR 1.1 submitted by the -- by the 18 AER panel located at PDF pages 1 through 4 of 19 20 Exhibit 129.02; Trace's original environmental 21 assessment dated August 14th, 2017, located at 22 Exhibit 129.03; Trace's EA update dated September 13th, 2023, which is at 23 24 Exhibit 124.17; Trace's updated EPP dated 25 November 1st, 2022, located at Exhibit 134.04; 26 Trace's response to the report prepared by

- 1 Mr. David Mayhood dated December 14th, 2023,
- which is located at Exhibit 134.05; and the
- 3 Northern Resource Analysts' environmental
- 4 monitoring report dated October 2023, which is
- 5 located at Exhibit 134.06.
- 6 Ms. Redburn, have you either reviewed these
- 7 materials or were these materials prepared by
- 8 you or under your direction?
- 9 A J. REDBURN: Yes.
- 10 Q And do you have any corrections that you'd like
- 11 to make at this time?
- 12 A Yes. I would like to correct a minor edit in
- 13 Exhibit 124.17. The reference to
- 14 September 3rd, 2023 on PDF page 8 under
- 15 Section 7.3 should be September 1st, 2023.
- 16 Q And with that correction, Ms. Redburn, are
- 17 these materials accurate, to the best of your
- 18 knowledge and belief?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 O And do you adopt these materials as your
- 21 evidence in this proceeding?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 O Mr. Foote, the written evidence of Behr in this
- 24 proceeding consists of the following:
- 25 Pieridae's Directive 071 ERP application form
- located at Exhibit 90.04; Pieridae's responses

1		to IRs 2834 through 49 and 51 through 57
2		submitted by Mr. Judd, which are located at PDF
3		pages 27, 33 through 53 and 55 through 61 of
4		Exhibit 124.02; Pieridae's Waterton complex ERP
5		major exercise reports dated August 18th, 2021,
6		and November 24th, 2022, which are located at
7		Exhibit 124.16; the ERCB H2S input page for the
8		subject pipeline, which is located at
9		Exhibit 124.15; Pieridae's responses to IRs 3.1
10		and 3.2 submitted by the AER panel, which are
11		located at PDF pages 16 through 23 of
12		Exhibit 129.02; and Pieridae's responses to the
13		further IRs submitted by the AER panel dated
14		November 1st, 2024, which are located at
15		Exhibits 201.02 through 201.07.
16		Mr. Foote, were these materials prepared by
17		you or under your direction?
18	A	B. FOOTE: Yes.
19	Q	And do you have any corrections that you'd like
20		to make at this time?
21	A	Yes. Under paragraph 50 of Pieridae's written
22		submission, which is Exhibit 134.01, that
23		paragraph states that: (as read)
24		Pieridae elected not to deviate from
25		the default settings of the ERCB H2S
26		model as such deviations are generally

1		intended to reduce the size of the
2		EPZ. Pieridae did deviate from those
3		default settings in two instances:
4		The first instance, the ESD valve
5		closure time, once triggered, was
6		reduced from 60 seconds to 10 seconds.
7		And the second instance, the ESD valve
8		low pressure trigger was reduced from
9		1,500 kPa to 1,000 kPa. Both of these
10		changes were made in order to align
11		with ERCB H2S inputs with the actual
12		operating parameters for the pipeline.
13	Q	Thank you, sir.
14		And with that correction, are these
15		materials accurate, to the best of your
16		knowledge and belief?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	And do you adopt these materials as your
19		evidence in this proceeding?
20	А	Yes.
21	Q	Mr. Dew, the written evidence of Acuren
22		consists of the engineering assessment dated
23		August 30th, 2022, located at Exhibit 124.05;
24		the engineering assessment dated April 4th,
25		2022, located at Exhibit 129.08; and the
26		engineering assessment dated October 31, 2022,

- which is located at Exhibit 129.09.
- Were these materials prepared by you or
- 3 under your direction?
- 4 A B. DEW: Yes.
- 5 Q And do you have any corrections that you would
- 6 like to make at this time?
- 7 A No.
- 8 Q Are these materials accurate, to the best of
- 9 your knowledge and belief?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And do you adopt these materials as your
- 12 evidence in this proceeding?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Okay. Thank you, Panel Members.
- Mr. Kunkel, we'll turn to you. I
- 16 understand that you've prepared a brief opening
- 17 remark or opening statement. I'd ask you to
- 18 deliver those remarks now.
- 19 A P. KUNKEL: Thank you very much.
- 20 Good morning, Commissioner Chiasson and
- 21 Panel Members. As indicated earlier, my name
- is Paul Kunkel, and I'm the chief commercial
- officer at Pieridae Energy. Along with the
- other witnesses on Pieridae's panel, I'm
- 25 pleased to be here on behalf of Pieridae to
- 26 present its case as to why regulatory

applications' decision to issue Pipeline
Number 62559 should be confirmed.

As Pieridae has shown in its written evidence and will further demonstrate over the course of this hearing, Pieridae has the ability to safely construct, operate, and maintain the subject pipeline in satisfaction of all applicable regulatory requirements.

Pieridae is an integrated upstream and midstream energy company focused on the development, production, and processing of both sweet and sour natural gas and natural gas liquids. Pieridae owns and operates three major sour gas processing complexes in Alberta, including Caroline, Jumpingpound, and Waterton. The subject pipeline is a key addition to Pieridae's sour gas pipeline network which feeds production into the Waterton complex.

Pieridae values its relationships with all stakeholders and is committed to conducting its operations in a manner that minimizes impacts to both the environment and the lives of those who live and work in the areas where Pieridae operates. Pieridae fulfills its commitment through its compliance in applicable regulatory requirements and its implementation of sound

1 internal programs and procedures. Pieridae 2 endeavours to construct, operate, and maintain all its assets to a high standard. The subject 3 pipeline is no exception. 4 5 The pipeline is required to transport 6 production which ultimately provides feedstock to the Waterton complex. Pieridae in its operations, which will include this pipeline, 8 9 are important and provide economic benefits to 10 the community. Pieridae's municipal tax 11 contributions represent approximately 12 35 percent of the tax base in the MD of Pincher 13 Creek Number 9, and Pieridae is proud of its 14 involvement with the community, including the financial support it provides for community 15 In general, community support for 16 initiatives. 17 Pieridae is high. Pieridae's position is that regulatory 18 19 applications was correct in issuing the 20 pipeline licence. Pieridae's position and the 21 decision of regulatory applications are based 22 on comprehensive environmental assessments and mitigation measures, detailed technical 23 24 evaluations, and rigorous emergency planning documents, each of which were prepared by 25 26 independent experts and which support the

conclusion that the subject pipeline will be 1 2 properly constructed, maintained, and operated 3 by Pieridae. This conclusion is further supported by the extensive written evidence 4 that Pieridae has prepared and prefiled in this 5 6 proceeding as well as oral testimony that will be given by members of Pieridae's witness panel during this hearing. 8 9 I will now touch briefly on the four issues 10 within the scope of this hearing. First, 11 regarding the determination of the emergency 12 planning zone for the subject pipeline. 13 Pieridae engaged qualified experts to perform 14 calculations based on field-proven dimensions, 15 actual operating parameters, and other pipeline-specific information to ensure that 16 17 all results were accurate. These calculations were also performed in multiple iteration using 18 the AER's ERCB H2S model and were carried out 19 in compliance with Directive 071. The EPZ also 20 21 correctly accounts for the reduction in volume 22 due to the addition of an internal liner which 23 provides a complete explanation for the smaller 24 EPZ size as compared to Shell's previous 25 application of the same pipeline. 26 Second, regarding Pieridae's emergency

1 preparedness and public protection measures. 2 Pieridae has developed robust emergency 3 response plans which incorporate a variety of response mechanisms that are tailored to 4 5 specific types of incidents. With respect to 6 sour gas release in particular, Pieridae's ERP contains detailed procedures for identifying the source of a release, locating and 8 communicating with individuals in the affected 9 10 area, and implementing measures to protect 11 human and health -- human health and safety. 12 Pieridae also has all the equipment needed to effectively implement these procedures, 13 14 including gas monitors, breathing equipment, and 4X4 vehicles. 15 Third, in regarding the construction and 16 17 operation of the pipeline, Pieridae has designed to construct the pipeline in 18 accordance with all regulatory requirements and 19 20 industry standards. With respect to the 21 ongoing monitoring maintenance of the pipeline once it's operational, Pieridae will have 22 access to realtime data regarding the condition 23 24 of the pipeline and will ensure the ongoing 25 integrity of the pipeline through regular 26 inspections and other preventative measures

established by the pipeline integrity 1 2 management program. 3 Fourth, regarding the potential effects of Pieridae has the pipeline on the environment. 4 already proven the effectiveness of its 5 6 environmental monitoring and protection efforts as the pipeline was successfully constructed last fall with essentially zero environmental 8 9 impact. Pieridae's construction of the 10 pipeline employed horizontal directional 11 drilling, a method which is widely accepted as 12 industry best practice to avoid the potential 13 of any impacts to fish in particular. 14 Successful construction of this project is an exact -- excellent example of Pieridae's 15 dedication to doing things right and should 16 17 give the Panel confidence in Pieridae's ability to safely operate and maintain the pipeline in 18 19 the years to come. 20 To summarize, Pieridae has provided detailed reliable evidence with respect to each 21 22 of these four issues, and this evidence leads to the conclusion that the pipeline licence was 23 24 properly issued. It is clear that regulatory

issuing the licence to Pieridae, and Pieridae

applications made the correct decision in

25

26

1		respectfully asks that the regulatory
2		applications decision to issue Pipeline Licence
3		Number 62559 to Pieridae be confirmed. Thank
4		you.
5	Q	Thank you, Mr. Kunkel.
6		Mr. Scheirer, can you please comment on
7		Pieridae's recent construction of the subject
8		pipeline from an operational perspective.
9	A	K. SCHEIRER: Yes. Thank you.
10		Construction of the pipeline was
11		successfully completed in late November of
12		2023. Very minimal clearing was required for
13		the construction due to the majority of the
14		construction activities occurring on existing
15		right-of-ways. A small amount of clearing was
16		required for a temporary workspace at the north
17		end of the pipeline right-of-way as well as a
18		narrow path above the HDD section to allow for
19		tracking and monitoring of the HDD boring
20		activities. This construction-clearing
21		activity was completed in early December of
22		2022 to minimize environmental and wildlife
23		impacts.
24		Mechanical construction activities
25		commenced in September of 2023. A majority of
26		the pipeline, approximately 370 metres, was

1		constructed via HDD to minimize potential
2		environmental impacts on the area, including a
3		creek crossing. The HDD bore was executed
4		successfully with no impact to the environment.
5		The remainder of the pipeline was constructed
6		and installed via traditional trenching
7		methods.
8		The installed pipeline was successfully
9		hydrotested twice, once before and once after
10		the HDPE liner was installed. The pipeline was
11		constructed on schedule due to the dry
12		conditions encountered during construction and
13		there being no unexpected delays or issues
14		during these construction activities. Thank
15		you.
16	Q	Thank you, sir.
17		And, Ms. Redburn, can you please provide
18		your comments on Pieridae's recent construction
19		of the subject pipeline from an environmental
20		perspective.
21	A	J. REDBURN: Project planning,
22		which included appropriate construction timing
23		and using existing rights-of-way and an HDD for
24		pipeline construction along with the
25		implementation of mitigation measures outlined
26		in the EPP and developed on-site as needed. It

virtually eliminated the impacts of pipeline 1 construction on the environment. 2 3 A wildlife sweep and a kickoff meeting were conducted prior to construction, and as a 4 5 result, an amphibian salvage and the relocation 6 of the HDD entry point were undertaken to avoid impacts to wildlife and an ephemeral watercourse during construction. 8 9 During the HDD there was no increase in 10 water turbidity in the cross small permanent 11 watercourse, and during activities along the 12 pipeline access, the natural drainage within 13 the cross to ephemeral watercourses was 14 maintained and not impacted because of the use of matting and other mitigation measures. 15 As a result, there were no impacts to fish 16 and amphibians or their habitats which 17 demonstrates the success of project planning 18 outlined in the EA and EPP and implementation 19 20 of construction mitigation measures, along with the effectiveness of the HDD to avoid 21 22 environmental impacts. Thank you, Ms. Redburn. 23 24 Mr. Scheirer, back to you. Can you please 25 discuss how the design and operating parameters 26 specific to the subject pipeline will ensure

1		that Pieridae is able to operate it safely.
2	A	K. SCHEIRER: Yes. The pipeline
3		was designed in accordance with the AER
4		Pipeline Rules and CSA Z662. It is designed to
5		have a maximum operating pressure of
6		13,000 kilopascals, or kPa. The design
7		incorporates a 7.1 millimetre pipe wall
8		thickness which is approximately 40 percent
9		greater than the required minimum wall
10		thickness of 5.08 millimetres. The expected
11		operating pressure will be less than 1,500 kPa,
12		only a fraction, approximately 12 percent of
13		the maximum allowable operating pressure.
14		The pipeline design incorporates an
15		internal expanded high-density polyethylene or
16		HDPE liner to protect the pipeline against
17		internal corrosion. Pigging facilities were
18		also installed on the pipeline to allow for
19		both maintenance activities as well as in-line
20		inspections to occur to investigate the
21		integrity of the pipeline throughout its
22		operation lifetime.
23		The 10-7 facility is equipped with pressure
24		control valves and emergency shutdown valves to
25		control the operating pressure of the pipeline
26		and protect it from potential overpressure. In

1		our opinion, we believe the design of the
2		pipeline is overly conservative given its
3		intended operations and Pieridae's operating
4		integrity practices that are in place. Thank
5		you.
6	Q	Thank you, sir.
7		Mr. Kunkel, can you please comment on the
8		incremental cost of operating and maintaining
9		the subject pipeline in the context of
10		Pieridae's existing operations in the Waterton
11		field as well as more broadly relative to
12		Pieridae's Foothill assets.
13	A	P. KUNKEL: Thank you very much. I'm
14		happy to address that question.
15		The incremental costs of operating the
16		pipeline and maintaining the pipeline are not
17		significant at all. In fact, Pieridae operates
18		and maintains approximately 3,500 kilometres of
19		pipelines and an additional 610 metres of
20		pipeline in an area where we already have
21		significant operations. It has a very small
22		impact on the cost in an incremental manner.
23		In fact, production transported through the
24		pipeline will improve system efficiency, cost
25		structure, and overall economics for the area.
26		Also, Pieridae has already constructed the

1		pipeline, including the HD	D portion, developed
2		the associated ERP and PP	and other key
3		documents needed to safely	operate and maintain
4		the pipeline, and has paid	for all of these
5		items in full. Thank you.	
6	Q	Thank you, sir.	
7		T. MYERS:	Commissioner
8		Chiasson, that concludes P	ieridae's direct
9		examination, and the Pierio	dae witness panel is
10		now available for question	ing. Thank you.
11		THE CHAIR:	Thank you,
12		Mr. Myers. Thank you, pane	el.
13		This does seem a litt	le early for a break.
14		Mr. Sawyer, are you prepare	ed to proceed, or
15		would you like a short brea	ak to prepare?
16		M. SAWYER:	Good morning
17		excuse me. Good morning,	Commissioners. I am
18		prepared to go, but I I	did have a question.
19		I'm excuse me. I am sc	heduled to wrap up at
20		stand by one second. O	h, wrong day. I'm
21		scheduled to wrap up at 2:	30 according to
22		schedule.	
23		THE CHAIR:	Yeah.
24		M. SAWYER:	So if I start early
25		now, do I still get to run	to 2:30?
26		THE CHAIR:	I think we can

```
1
         play it -- I think we can play that by ear,
 2
         Mr. Sawyer, and --
 3
         M. SAWYER:
                                            It's a fair
                                     Okay.
 4
         question, but I appreciate --
                                          I understand
 5
         THE CHAIR:
                                     No.
 6
         your question.
                          I think we can play that by ear
         because I recognize that, to some extent, it
         was a rather arbitrary allocation in making the
 8
 9
         schedule with respect to how much
10
         cross-examination time for this panel as
11
         compared to the regulatory applications panel.
12
         So there I think -- I would say that on its
         face there is flexibility there for you.
13
14
         M. SAWYER:
                                     So having said that,
15
         I'm ready -- I'm to go if it pleases the
         commission.
16
17
         THE CHAIR:
                                     Yes.
                                           Let's get you
         to start, then, and I am thinking that we will
18
         look, say, in about 45 minutes or so
19
20
         potentially. We'll check in with you then in
21
         relation to taking our morning break.
22
         please proceed.
23
         M. Sawyer Cross-examines the Pieridae Alberta
         Production Ltd. Witnesses
24
25
         M. SAWYER:
                                   Good morning, panel.
26
         I'm going to start off just with some general
```

- 1 questions, and we'll see how we go.
- 2 Can you just confirm for me that -- and for
- 3 the record that Pieridae is the licence holder
- 4 for Licence 62559.
- 5 A P. KUNKEL: Yeah. Pieridae Alberta
- 6 Production Limited is the licence holder.
- 7 Q Thank you.
- 8 And can you confirm for me the purpose of
- 9 that pipeline is to transport gas from the
- 10 Waterton 61 wells to an interconnect with an
- 11 existing Shell Pipeline 23800?
- 12 A K. SCHEIRER: Yes. That is
- 13 correct. It would connect to 23800. Segment
- 14 65 to be exact.
- 15 Q Yes. Thank you for that.
- 16 Can Pieridae confirm that in Exhibit 201.4
- 17 at PDF page 3 Pieridae states that: (as read)
- 18 The licence pipeline runs from
- 19 Waterton 161 to the junction of 612
- with a total of 3,310 metres.
- 21 Can you confirm that that's what your -- you
- 22 stated in that report.
- 23 A Sorry. Can you specify the document
- page again, please, or could that be brought up
- 25 on the monitors?
- 26 O So that would be Exhibit 201.4, PDF page 3.

- 1 A And what was the question again, please?
- 2 Q Excuse me?
- 3 A I'm sorry. What was your question on that
- 4 page? What was the date that you were
- 5 asking --
- 6 Q What -- what I'm trying --
- 7 A -- to confirm?
- 8 Q -- to get at is in that statement you have
- 9 indicated that the pipeline is 3,310 metres
- 10 long, and I just want you to confirm that that
- is what your report states.
- 12 A I do see the -- the length there of
- 3,310 metres. I believe now that that is an
- 14 error. That should read -- it should actually
- read 610 metres. Given the as-constructed
- 16 length, that would've probably represented the
- 17 effective length that comes out of the ERCB H2S
- 18 model.
- 19 Q Okay. Thank you for that, sir.
- 20 Just to confirm, though, you've indicated
- 21 that is an error?
- 22 A On this attachment, yes --
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A -- it is.
- 25 O Moving along. You've stated that when it is --
- and this would be in Exhibit 0002.02 at page --

1		PDF page 364. You've stated that when your
2		pipeline is interconnected with the varied
3		2,380 pipeline, it would have a total length of
4		nine 2.96 kilometres and that that was the
5		length that was used in the ERCB H2S model to
6		calculate emergency response areas; is that
7		correct?
8	А	Sorry. Could you refer to that document again,
9		please, and bring it up on the monitors.
10		M. SAWYER: I believe it's
11		0002.02, page 364.
12		THE CHAIR: Mr. Sawyer, perhaps
13		if we could get you to slow down a little bit
14		with the document references. It'll make it a
15		little easier for our staff to bring it up.
16		M. SAWYER: Okay.
17		THE CHAIR: Thank you.
18	Q	M. SAWYER: Mr. Scheirer, what
19		I'm trying to get at with this question is to
20		confirm the the combined length of the
21		subject pipeline and the existing Shell
22		pipeline to the 612 junction is 2.96 kilometres?
23	A	K. SCHEIRER: That is incorrect
24		now now in with our understanding. At
25		the time when that when the original model
26		was run, there was some uncertainty as to the

1 actual alignment of the downstream lines in --2 I believe it was July of 2022. We had 3 surveying crews do line locates and -- and -like, a -- a line locate survey to determine 4 5 the -- the correct alignment of those lines, 6 and that was then corrected. 7 And what was the total combined length, then, 0 8 sir? 9 The total combined length? Just one second, 10 please. I believe it is 3.28 kilometres. 11 12 And did you correct that number in your 0 application? 13 14 Not in the application, no. That correction -that understanding of the downstream alignment 15 was determined after the application had been 16 17 made and the licence had been approved. So was that correction done on the record of 18 O 19 this proceeding? 20 Yes. You would see -- just one second. Α 21 get you to the most recent documents. 22 So Exhibit 201.7, that is the ERCB H2S 23 batch file CSV. That totals -- it provides 24 the -- the individual segments that make up the

complete pipeline. In that file it provides

for the total length of the continuous pipeline

25

26

that would consist of Licence 62559 Segment 1 1 2 and the downstream lines, Licence Number 23800, 3 Segments 65, 64, 63, and 62. Thank you for that, sir. 4 0 5 So my question to you is, when you look at 6 those two numbers -- and what you've given us is the final number -- how do you reconcile that with -- with the -- the notion that your 8 9 pipeline application is for a 640-metre 10 pipeline? Why are you adding on the -- the 11 length of the connecting pipeline into your 12 calculations? 13 It's a great guestion. The reason we include Α 14 the downstream segments is because as 15 constructed and as they connect, there are no line break valves or -- or any other physical 16 17 device to separate those lines. They are effectively one continuous internal volume from 18 the 10-7 location where the Waterton 61 wells 19 20 are and the downstream 6-12 compressor site. 21 Thank you. Q 22 Moving along. Is there any other party other than Pieridae that has a working interest 23 in the Waterton 61 wells? 24 25 Α No. 26 D. NAFFIN: I hesitate to rise,

```
1
         Madam Chair. Of course, we're here to talk
 2
         about the subject pipeline in this proceeding.
 3
         We're not here to talk about specific licencing
         of any other facilities, including the
 4
         Waterton 61 well; so Pieridae objects to our
 5
 6
         moving beyond the scope of this proceeding.
         Thank you.
         THE CHAIR:
                                     So, Mr. Sawyer,
 8
 9
         we'll -- for now, we'll give you space because
10
         we recognize that this -- that this does
11
         interconnect and that it may affect some of the
12
         regulatory steps in it; so we will give you
         some space at this stage, but, again, as --
13
14
         we're focusing on the licence for this line and
15
         the issues as we set.
                                     Thank you for that.
         M. SAWYER:
16
17
         M. SAWYER:
                                   Next question.
                                                    In
         your February 19th, 2022, application to the
18
         AER to construct the pipeline, did you
19
20
         reference the Shell Pipeline 23800 in that
21
         application?
22
         K. SCHEIRER:
                                   I'm not sure.
     Α
                                                   Ι
         believe we probably did in the -- in some of
23
24
         the correspondence with stakeholders.
25
         don't -- I'm not familiar on the application
         itself it listed a downstream connecting
26
```

- 1 pipeline.
- 2 Q Thank you for that.
- 3 Can you confirm that the existing Shell
- 4 Pipeline Licences 2380, Segment 62, 63, 64, and
- 5 65 are currently filled with nitrogen?
- 6 A I believe they are filled with air currently.
- 7 Q And thank you for that.
- 8 Were they -- when they were suspended in
- 9 2003, were they not filled with nitrogen at
- 10 that time?
- 11 A Actually -- I'm sorry. If I can make a
- 12 correction. In -- they would have been purged
- with nitrogen previously in 2003. My confusion
- was in regards to the newly constructed line.
- 15 We did not purge it with nitrogen after it was
- 16 constructed. It's --
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A -- purged with air, so ...
- 19 Q Okay. So my question with respect to the
- 20 existing line -- and it was filled with
- 21 nitrogen.
- 22 A In 2003 it was purged with nitrogen, yes.
- 23 O And is it not filled with nitrogen now?
- 24 A It should be. However, they're now --
- 25 A L. SIMON: I'll -- I'll add to
- that. We attempted to do an in-line inspection

- on the Licence 62, 63, 64, and 65 in
- November -- or -- sorry -- October 2023. So it
- 3 was pigged with fluids, and then it was pushed
- 4 out with field gas and flared off to zero
- 5 pressure and then blinded.
- 6 Q So, Mr. Simon, to be clear, up until that point
- 7 in the history, it was filled with nitrogen?
- 8 A Prior to that it was nitrogen in that pipeline.
- 9 Q Thank you for that.
- 10 Could one of you tell me what -- what is
- 11 the purpose of filling an out-of-service
- 12 pipeline with nitrogen?
- 13 A This is a standard procedure to -- to
- 14 discontinue a pipeline to make it inert or safe
- 15 to the public.
- 16 Q And -- and having an inert gas in the pipeline,
- 17 what would you expect to see in terms of a
- 18 corrosion environment in that pipeline?
- 19 A Typically, in a bare steel pipeline, there
- 20 would be no corrosion activity because it's
- 21 inert gas.
- 22 Q But -- but, Mr. Simon, this is not a bare steel
- 23 pipeline, is it?
- 24 A That is correct, sir. There is an HDPE liner
- in that pipeline as well.
- 26 Q So if you would answer the question in the

1		context of this being a line pipeline, what
2		would you expect in terms of the corrosion
3		environment in a pipeline that's out of service
4		and filled with nitrogen?
5	A	One second, please.
6		The expectation is the same as a bare steel
7		line. So into it's an inert gas that you
8		have in the pipeline, and you shouldn't expect
9		any corrosion to occur in that time period.
10	Q	And so, Mr. Simon, as a layperson, I would
11		interpret that as meaning that you shouldn't
12		have corrosion occurring in that pipeline?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	In its application Pieridae included a copy of
15		the ERCB Decision 2013-009, and that was at
16		Exhibit 002.02, page 480. And in that decision
17		the board stated and this is in the context
18		of the 2007 pipeline failure of Screwdriver
19		Creek. The board stated: (as read)
20		The board requires Shell to continue
21		to conduct internal inspections of the
22		Carbondale pipeline system once every
23		six months using the Russell tool.
24		So my question to you is since Pieridae
25		purchased the Foothills assets in 2019, has
26		Pieridae continued to conduct internal

1		inspection of the Carbondale pipeline system
2		once every six months using the Russell tool?
3	A	So the that pipeline, with it being a
4		Shell-licenced pipeline prior to Pieridae's
5		purchase of these acquisitions in 2019, were
6		following Shell's program at that point in
7		time. We've been in communication with the AER
8		annually with our inline inspection results,
9		our corrosion monitoring program, our annulus
10		pressure information, and the ILI part of that
11		would have been conducted as per their plans
12		and approvals from the AER on any changes to
13		that plan. So the frequency may have changed
14		over time with Shell's ownership.
15	Q	Thank you for that, Mr. Simon. Does Pieridae
16		have documentation that was authorized by the
17		board?
18	A	Yes, that is correct. There is communication
19		between myself and the AER, both Shell and
20		Pieridae on the frequency.
21	Q	And would Pieridae undertake to provide a copy
22		of that authorization?
23		D. NAFFIN: So, Madam Chair, I'm
24		trying to be patient. We've been going here
25		for, I'd estimate, 15 or 20 minutes. We've
26		heard very little about the subject pipeline.

1	We're into a separate licenced pipeline. As
2	I've said, I've been hesitant to rise. Now we
3	have a request for an undertaking of an
4	operational matter on a completely separate
5	licenced pipeline, so I don't think that's an
6	appropriate undertaking to ask of this panel in
7	the context of this hearing which the Panel has
8	repeatedly advised relates to four issues on
9	the subject pipeline.
10	THE CHAIR: So, Mr. Sawyer, can
11	you tell the Panel about what the relevance
12	would be of what you've just asked for to the
13	Licence 62559?
14	M. SAWYER: I'd be happy to,
15	Panel.
16	Submissions by M. Sawyer
17	M. SAWYER: On the question of
18	relevancy, you know, we we have a causal
19	chain of events here. We have upstream gas
20	supply at the at the wells, we have the
21	pipeline that's the subject of this regulatory
22	review, and we have the downstream pipelines
23	that receive that gas. And a reasonable person
24	would look at this and say that the the
25	the condition with respect to either emergency
26	response, operating the pipeline within

regulatory requirements, or effect on the 1 2 environment, it's reasonable that we would look 3 and say, Well, what's the condition of the downhill stream pipeline. 4 Now, Pieridae stated their view, it's not 5 6 relevant. But I would point out that in their original application, they referenced this In their application they have 8 pipeline. provided three versions of a Waterton 9 10 reactivation report engineering report, and --11 and -- in their application. And in doing their ERCB H2S calculations, they've used the 12 13 volume of gas that's in those segments of 14 pipelines to -- to come up with the results. 15 I mean, I'm not a lawyer, you know, Madam Chairman, but a reasonable person would say, 16 17 Absolutely, it's relevant. And so I'm not going to go too far down that road, but my line 18 of questioning is to demonstrate that that 19 20 pipeline is a problem. And -- and -- and if we rule it as irrelevant, you know, there's 21 22 probably 500 pages of evidence on Pieridae's 23 record that they've submitted that deals 24 specifically with this pipeline, and I ought to 25 be able to question them on that. 26 Submissions by D. Naffin

So, Madam Chair --1 D. NAFFIN: 2 or -- pardon me. Not Madam Chair. 3 Commissioner Chiasson, my apologies. few things in response to that. 4 5 First of all, this purported causal chain I 6 don't think is compelling in that where does So we're going to have the upstream that end? wells, the subject pipeline, the downstream 8 connecting pipeline all the way to the Waterton 9 10 complex potentially by Mr. Sawyer's rationale make all of that relevant to this proceeding, 11 12 which is simply not the case. 13 So, indeed, again, there was clear scoping 14 direction for this proceeding. We're dealing with this subject pipeline and this licence and 15 the four issues that have been raised, so I'd 16 17 suggest this causal chain business can be dispensed with, with all due respect to -- to 18 19 my friend, Mr. Sawyer. And, again, it's a 20 separate licenced pipeline facility that is 21 subject to all of the requirements associated 22 with that licence, all of the AER scrutiny, and everything else that comes to bear on that, and 23 24 it's not relevant to the subject pipeline. With the potential exception, if Mr. Sawyer 25 26 wants to talk about the gas values using the

1	HP the EPZ calculations, for example as
2	Mr. Scheirer spoke to this morning, you noticed
3	I didn't jump up at that point because that is
4	one of the hearing issues in scope. So,
5	indeed, if there is passing relevance to issues
6	associated with the subject pipeline, I think
7	those are fair questions. When we're into a
8	detailed analysis and assessment of a separate
9	licenced facility, I think that's out of scope
10	and not an appropriate question and certainly
11	not an appropriate undertaking request of this
12	panel. And I haven't had myself or one of my
13	colleagues count up the page numbers that
14	Mr. Sawyer referenced, but 500 sounds awfully
15	high to me and might be a shade of hyperbole.
16	But in any event, I'll I think you
17	understand where I'm coming from. Thank you.
18	THE CHAIR: So, Mr. Sawyer,
19	Mr. Naffin, thank you. We've heard what you
20	both have to say. I would like to consult with
21	my colleagues now.
22	(ADJOURNMENT)
23	Ruling
24	THE CHAIR: Please be seated.
25	So having considered what we've heard from
26	everyone, what the Panel has determined is that

1	we will uphold Pieridae's objection in relation
2	to the undertaking requesting production of
3	correspondence or records as between Shell
4	and/or Pieridae and the AER in relation to
5	monitoring conditions that were originally set
6	through ERCB 2019-009.
7	Mr. Sawyer, if you so desire, you are open
8	to ask about what the current monitoring
9	frequency may be on that, but the Panel does
10	not see the relevance in relation to in
11	relation to the history of conditions that may
12	have been imposed on the licences related to
13	the assets connecting into the into the
14	the line that's covered by the 62559 licence
15	and the validity of that licence. So let's
16	proceed.
17	M. SAWYER: Thank you, Madam
18	Chairman. I I'm not entirely sure I
19	completely understood what you said. So
20	with with respect to my ability to question
21	on the Shell receiving pipeline, what was your
22	answer?
23	THE CHAIR: Mr. Sawyer, my
24	understanding is that you asked Pieridae to
25	for an undertaking to provide the
26	correspondence record back and forth between

Pieridae and/or Shell and the AER/ERCB in 1 2 relation to the monitoring conditions that 3 would have been imposed through the ERCB 2013-009 decision that you referenced. 4 5 correct there? 6 M. SAWYER: Yes, that's correct. THE CHAIR: And so we've said that we will not -- we will not allow that 8 9 undertaking. 10 M. SAWYER: Okay. Thank you. 11 M. Sawyer Cross-examines the Pieridae Alberta Production Ltd. Witnesses 12 13 K. SCHEIRER: Excuse me. Tf T Α 14 may, for a second. I'd like to correct 15 something or provide some clarification on a previous line of questioning. 16 17 You had asked in regards to the length of the subject pipeline shown on Document 201.4. 18 19 That is your -- or -- sorry -- our ERP tables. 20 You'd asked around the length being 21 3,310 metres, and I said that was an error. 22 That was actually corrected and clarified. 23 Exhibit 216.2 it correctly states the licenced Thank you. 24 length of 610 metres. 25 0 M. SAWYER: Gentlemen, can you tell me has Pieridae conducted a blind major 26

1 exercise with respect to the pipeline -- with 2 respect to a pipeline failure scenario? 3 D. ARCHIBALD: We included our Α previous ERPs from 2021, 2022. I do not recall 4 5 a blind on a pipeline, but we have done 6 tabletops on pipeline. 7 Right. And, sir, you understand when I say 0 "blind", I mean an exercise where your staff 8 9 are not informed ahead of time that you're 10 going to have an exercise. Is that your 11 understanding of the word? 12 That is my understanding. Like, most --Α Thanks for that. 13 0 14 -- of our ERPs would be blind that way. have -- limited people would understand the --15 16 the scope of it -- just to test the team 17 appropriately. Thank you. 18 Okay. One of the commitments that was contained 19 in the ERCB decision 2013-009 was to include 20 21 Mr. Judd's tent camp on the ERP maps. Can 22 Pieridae confirm that it has not included 23 Judd's tent camp on its EPZ maps?

not familiar with his tent camp in the ERP.

We -- I'm

I'm not familiar with that request.

have engaged with Mr. Judd on different

24

25

26

Α

1		occasions in the around our emergency
2		response planning, and I'm not aware of that
3		being provided. I I do remember in the
4		records it being discussed, but I've never seen
5		where it was actually supplied.
6	Q	But you can confirm that it's not on your maps
7		currently?
8	A	I do not know where it would be on the map.
9	Q	In Pieridae's letter to Judd dated
10		October 18th, 2024 and that's Exhibit 191.2
11		Pieridae indicated that the final engineering
12		reports containing results of the in-line
13		inspection for pipeline licences 23800 is not
14		complete. Can you provide an update on whether
15		that report is completed.
16	А	K. SCHEIRER: At this time we have
17		not been able to successfully run a subsequent
18		ILI on the downstream lines. The Russell NF
19		or RFT tool is a kind of a one-of-a-kind
20		technology that Shell developed with Russell
21		for specifically for HDPE line pipelines. I
22		believe there is only one physical ILI tool
23		that matches the required ID of this pipeline
24		system.
25		When we attempted to run the ILI late in
26		2023, the tool failed. It had it turned out

1		to have some electrical malfunctions. We
2		attempted to repair they attempted to repair
3		the tool. We thought it was fixed. We
4		subsequently tried to run the ILI tool again,
5		and it failed again. So Russell is working on
6		fixing that tool so that we can run an ILI
7		before we would operate those lines.
8		So that would be our next steps. It would
9		be to when the tool is ready and they have
10		crews available to run it, we would look to run
11		the ILI to confirm our understanding of the
12		downstream integrity and from there address the
13		integrity with any necessary repairs prior to
14		operating.
15	A	D. ARCHIBALD: And and I guess
16		to add to that, you know, any of the pipelines
17		in our system, you know, the subject pipeline
18		or any of my pipelines, we have a statement of
19		fitness process at Pieridae. We require them
20		to be inspected. We have to confirm. We have
21		to verify the integrity of that pipeline before
22		it is maintained in service or brought into
23		service. We need to test our safeguards,
24		
		update our documents.
25		update our documents. We are committed to communicate any of
25 26		

1		pipelines. Pieridae has not changed any of our
2		integrity work on these line pipelines since
3		the transition from Shell. You know, I believe
4		this does demonstrate our due diligence and how
5		serious we take public safety.
6	Q	Thank you for that, sir.
7		Moving on. In the same letter, Pieridae
8		indicated that it has applied for and received
9		approval for reactivation of the pipeline.
10		That's referring to the Shell pipeline. Can
11		Pieridae indicate when it applied and when it
12		received approval for the reactivation of
13		Line 23800 and undertake to provide Judd with a
14		copy of the application and approval number.
15		Submissions by T. Myers
16		T. MYERS: Commissioner
17		Chiasson, further to the objections that
18		Mr. Naffin made earlier, it would be our view
19		that the reactivation application or any
20		
20		correspondence or any information related to
21		correspondence or any information related to that separately licenced facility is not
21		that separately licenced facility is not
21 22		that separately licenced facility is not relevant to the subject pipeline.
21 22 23		that separately licenced facility is not relevant to the subject pipeline. Moreover, Mr. Sawyer has already requested

1	Panel had noted prior to the commencement of
2	this hearing, there was a deadline of 4 PM last
3	Friday to bring any motions on any matters. We
4	didn't see any motion requesting a direction
5	from the Panel that that information be
6	provided.
7	So our position would be that it's not an
8	appropriate question to be asked on the basis
9	of relevance, and it's not an appropriate
10	undertaking request for this panel.
11	Submissions by M. Sawyer
12	M. SAWYER: I find it ironic
13	that they would raise the question of not
14	filing a motion when, in fact, it was Bennett
15	Jones that that came up on the first morning
16	with a motion. But let's let's go past
17	that.
18	Let's just if we can, Madam Chair, let's
19	kind of try to address this, like, head-on, and
20	the question is: Is the downstream-receiving
21	pipeline relevant in this application with
22	respect to one or more of the scoping issues
23	that have been set out? And Judd's proposition
24	is that absolutely it is. It's the receiving
25	pipeline, and in the absence of that pipeline,
26	there would be no need for the pipeline

1 application. And our position would be that -- that 2 3 on -- on -- first, that point, it's a necessary condition for this pipeline to go ahead 'cause, 4 you know, Pieridae has provided no other 5 6 options in terms of how they're going to get the gas into Junction J. This is their option. They've included it in their H2S analysis. 8 9 They've included multiple references to it in 10 their application. They have witnesses on this 11 panel who are here specifically to speak to 12 that work. 13 And so I would like a ruling. Is it 14 'Cause otherwise we're going to stop 15 and go through this entire proceeding on this 16 question. So my -- our -- Judd's position is 17 that it is relevant to one or more of the scoping issues, and -- and I'd like to get a 18 19 clear resolution to that question. 20 THE CHAIR: So what you're asking, Mr. Sawyer, so that the Panel is clear, 21 22 is you're asking us to make a general ruling essentially saying that information broadly in 23 24 relation to the connecting line is relevant to 25 the determination that we have to make in 26 relation to Licence 62559?

1	M. SAWYER: I would I would
2	think it's narrower than that. What I would
3	say is that any information that Pieridae has
4	filed on their own volition in support of their
5	application ought to be fair game for my
6	cross-examination.
7	Submission by T. Myers (Reply)
8	T. MYERS: I'd just like to
9	clarify one thing because it's come up a couple
10	of times now with reference to information that
11	Pieridae has filed in support of its
12	application. None of the information that
13	Mr. Sawyer is talking about with respect to
14	23800 or the integrity work that's been
15	performed on that pipeline was filed in support
16	of the pipeline licence application. It was
17	filed in responses to information requests from
18	the Panel and in response to information
19	requests from Mr. Sawyer.
20	So I wouldn't necessarily characterize that
21	as being on our own volition. Mr. Dew is the
22	person that prepared much of that that work.
23	He's here to speak to it certainly, but his
24	primary purpose is to speak to pipeline
25	integrity management practices which, in our
26	submission, should be focused on the subject

1 pipeline. In terms of a broad ruling around the 2 3 relevance of Line 23800 or the downstream segments that the subject pipeline will connect 4 5 to, I don't think you can make such a ruling. 6 We acknowledge that there are certain aspects of that downstream line that may be relevant to the issues within the scope of the hearing. 8 You heard Mr. Naffin refer to the fact that the 9 10 volumes in that downstream line have gone into 11 the EPZ calculations. 12 We acknowledge that that has some relevance 13 to the issues within the scope of the 14 proceeding, but our view would be that requests for undertakings related to reactivation 15 16 applications related to that pipeline extend 17 well beyond the issues that are within the scope of the proceeding. 18 And moreover, I note, as we just heard from 19 Mr. Scheirer, Pieridae does not intend to 20 21 operate that line or the subject pipeline until 22 it can do so safely, until it confirms the integrity of that pipeline. 23 So to get into the 24 weeds on what exactly has been done with that 25 downstream pipeline I don't think is productive 26 or relevant to the issues that are within the

1	scope of this hearing.
2	THE CHAIR: Mr. Sawyer, can you
3	explain to the Panel how information about
4	reactivation of the connecting line would
5	assist us in making a determination on whether
6	to confirm, vary, suspend, or revoke
7	Licence 62559?
8	Submissions by M. Sawyer (Reply)
9	M. SAWYER: Thank you for that
10	opportunity.
11	In the absence of the Shell downstream
12	pipeline and it I will say in the absence
13	of the upstream well Waterton wells, there
14	would be no need for this pipeline, and having
15	the downstream pipeline in a condition that can
16	be operated safely and in compliance with the
17	AER regulations, you know, all of the things
18	that are relevant to the four scoping issues,
19	if if if that can't happen, then there's
20	no need for the subject pipeline. It's it
21	would be essentially a ghost a pipeline to
22	nowhere.
23	So the other thing is that a lot of the
24	information has been filed you know, for
25	example, the multiple copies of the Waterton
26	pipeline reactivation report, yes, they were

1	filed in response to IRs from the Panel, but
2	they have filed they're on the record of
3	this. And so I think the the fact that that
4	pipeline is a necessary no. Let me back
5	up that that pipeline can be operated safely
6	is a necessary condition to having the subject
7	pipeline licence dealt with one way or the
8	other.
9	And and so if we're not planning to
10	introduce any new evidence. All of my
11	questions about that pipeline are directly
12	based on, for the most part, the reactivation
13	report and some of the correspondence which is
14	on the record of these proceedings.
15	Submissions by T. Myers (Reply)
16	T. MYERS: And I'll be brief.
17	I think, you know, what Mr. Sawyer has just
18	said is confirmation that you can't make a
19	broad, sweeping ruling on this issue because
20	he's now suggesting that he wants to ask
21	questions in relation to material that's been
22	filed on the record in this proceeding.
23	There is no objection to that. The witness
24	panel is here and prepared to speak to those
25	questions, but that's a lot different than his
26	request for an undertaking in relation to our

1	licence reactivation application that was filed
2	and approved by the the AER.
3	So, again, I think we're going to have to
4	deal with this on a case-by-case basis as it
5	comes up. As I said, we're prepared to
6	acknowledge that there are certain aspects of
7	that downstream line that are relevant to the
8	issues here, but I don't think we can say
9	that that line 23800 and every aspect of it
10	is relevant to all of the issues in this
11	proceeding.
12	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you.
13	We've heard from you both. We'll step out
14	to have a discussion on this.
15	(ADJOURNMENT)
16	THE CHAIR: Thank you. Please
17	be seated.
18	Ruling
19	THE CHAIR: So our understanding
20	coming out of this is that we are making two
21	determinations here. One is that we uphold the
22	objection that was made by Pieridae to
23	Mr. Sawyer's request for an undertaking to
24	provide documentation related to reactivation
25	application and decision in relation to the
26	connect the line that connects to that

1		the subject pipeline is connected to.
2		We have also determined that we will not
3		make a broad ruling as requested by Mr. Sawyer
4		with respect to the relevance of the connecting
5		pipeline. It will be open to Mr. Sawyer to ask
6		questions on any materials that have been filed
7		by Pieridae in this proceeding as long as they
8		are focused on the hearing issues and the
9		subject licence that is the subject matter of
10		this regulatory appeal, so Licence 62559.
11		So just tied in with that, we note that we
12		are anticipating lunch break at noon, and so we
13		will continue to that vein. So please proceed.
14		M. Sawyer Cross-examines the Pieridae Alberta
15		Production Ltd. Witnesses
16	A	K. SCHEIRER: If I could make a
17		clarification or a comment on a previous line
18		of questioning.
19		Mr. Sawyer, you had asked us if we had
20		to confirm that Mr. Judd's tent camp was not on
21		our our ERP map to which we did confirm that
22		it's not there. In the document that you
23		referenced, the 2013-009 ruling, which is in
24		Exhibit 2.02, PDF page 491, the commitments by
25		Shell Number 4, it says: (as read)
26		Shell will contact Mr. Judd for an ERP

1		update and include Mr. Judd's tent
2		camp in the ERP.
3		If you further in that report on page 487,
4		paragraph 78, I will just read the I believe
5		it's the last sentence of that paragraph:
6		(as read)
7		If Mr. Judd is not willing to indicate
8		where he might be found on his land
9		and the activities engaged in, it is
10		unreasonable to criticize Shell for
11		not doing enough to ensure his safety.
12		In our consultations with Mr. Judd for ERP
13		updates, he has never provided the location of
14		the tent camp, so we've been unable to put it
15		on the map. Thank you.
16	Q	M. SAWYER: Moving along. Also
17		in the October 18th, 2024, letter, Pieridae
18		stated it: (as read)
19		Has elected to not to proceed
20		finalizing an engineering report at
21		this time due to market and
22		operational considerations.
23		What are the market and operational
24		considerations that would cause Pieridae to
25		delay the finalization of that engineering
26		report?

1	A	P. KUNKEL: From the market
	Α	
2		considerations, as you know, as you alluded to
3		yesterday, there has been a reduction in gas
4		prices particularly through the spring and
5		summer. And although this is an economic
6		project for us and we would like to proceed
7		with that, we made the decision to delay it
8		through the summer during this low pricing
9		period. You'll note that prices have already
10		started to increase. I think this morning they
11		were close to \$1.75, so making this project
12		more economic. So we did delayed it through
13		a small period of time through that low price
14		environment.
15	Q	And the operational considerations?
16	A	D. ARCHIBALD: I think, just to add
17		to what Mr. Kunkel said, is this year, we
18		executed a significant turnaround at the
19		Waterton plant, significant use of resources,
20		people, central support and at site, and we
21		were able to successfully execute our
22		turnaround here. It was a 60-day turnaround in
23		September, October.
24	Q	So Pieridae has filed several versions of the
25		Acuren engineering report, the react
26		Waterton pipeline reactivation;

```
Exhibits 129.08, 124.05, and 129.09,
 1
 2
         respectively.
 3
              In the October 31st, 2022 report -- that's
         the Exhibit 129.09 -- it's stated on page 6
 4
         that in 2003, 117 internal corrosion-related
 5
 6
         metal loss locations were found with up to
         90 percent well loss, and these locations
         were -- corrosion locations were cut out,
 8
         repaired, and the pipeline was filled with
 9
10
         nitrogen, and the pipeline was subsequently
11
         suspended.
12
              Then in 2017 the pipeline was inspected
13
         again in anticipation of the reactivation, and
14
         a total of 11 localized wall loss indications
         were found, one larger corrosion location, and
15
         a set of 24 other anomalies were found.
16
17
         those identified areas of corrosion repaired
         subsequent to the 2017 inspection?
18
19
         I. STMON:
                                   So you're correct in
     Α
         your assessment of the ILI results indicating
20
         11 wall loss features to a maximum wall loss of
21
22
         20 percent.
                      The EA that was produced by Acuren
23
         recommended that we do a verification date on
24
         one of those features which was completed.
25
         So my question, Mr. Simon, was were those
26
         identified areas of corrosion repaired?
```

```
1
         they repaired, or have they been repaired?
 2
         We are still assessing the condition of that
 3
         pipeline, but those have not been repaired, no.
         I think that --
 4
 5
         P. KUNKEL:
                              Mr. Sawyer, if I may.
     Α
                                                      Ι
 6
         think it's important to point out that any type
         of work to repair the line, to assess the line,
         or any decisions with regards to operating that
 8
         line still need to be made. We -- we certainly
 9
10
         wouldn't start that line if it wasn't
         appropriate to do so, both from a health and
11
12
         safety perspective or a regulatory perspective.
13
              I would like to say previously that you
14
         also characterized this line as being our only
                  I think it would be safe to say we
         option.
15
         have other infrastructure in the area, and we
16
17
         have other options with regards to how we treat
         this line, including repairing or replacing.
18
         So I just wanted to clarify the
19
20
         characterization that we do have other options
         that we would assess, but this would be our
21
22
         primary means at this time.
         Thank you for that.
23
              Moving along. Pieridae, in its
24
25
         December 11th, 2023, letter -- and that's
26
         Exhibit 129.01, and that was in response to the
```

1		Panel's information requests disclosed the
2		testing of Pipeline 23800, the three set forth
3		segments, was only partially complete and that
4		three verification digs were performed and that
5		these verification digs have shown reduction in
6		wall thicknesses since 2017 in-line inspection
7		to a maximum of 47 percent. So my question for
8		Pieridae is where on the Pipeline 23800 did
9		those verification digs occur, and what was the
10		total length of the pipeline that was subject
11		to those verification digs?
12	A	L. SIMON: They're so the
13		our attempt to inspect that pipeline in 2023
14		was unsuccessful due to the tool, so we are
15		still assessing that pipeline which will
16		include an additional inspection to provide us
17		with more information.
18	Q	Sure. Thank you for that, Mr. Simon. But that
19		wasn't my question.
20		My question was where on Pipeline 23800 did
21		those verification digs that you reported
22		occur, and what was the total length of the
23		pipeline that was subject to those verification
24		digs?
25	A	We completed two additional digs in addition to
26		the recommendations from Acuren. They were on
l		

1 lined Segment 63. 2 0 And --3 Α And the exposed pipe was just for us to be able to do our job as far as the extent. 4 20 metres in each excavation site. 5 6 Okay. So the total length would be how much --O how many metres? K. SCHEIRER: 8 Α Sorry. If I could 9 interject there and provide some further 10 clarification. 11 So as you had brought up in Pieridae's IR 12 response to the AER -- that would be 2.4 -- we 13 said Dig 1. There were three digs listed which -- with the wall losses there. 14 initial dig that we did was close to 15 Junction J; so close to the downstream end of 16 17 the segment of line that will not be brought back into service. The other two digs that 18 19 happened afterwards, as Mr. Simon mentioned, 20 are on Segment 63. 21 The total exposed pipe, Mr. Simon, if you 22 could comment on how much pipe was actually 23 inspected in those digs. We would have 24 L. SIMON: Α 25 exposed approximately 2 metres of pipe on each

side for a full inspection of those areas, of

26

```
1
         each dig site.
 2
         So if you had five digs, that would be
 3
         20 metres, roughly?
         It depends on what we're looking for, but the
 4
     Α
 5
         ones that we have done, that's what we'd --
 6
         Okay.
     O
         -- took care about.
 8
     0
         Thank you for that.
 9
         THE CHAIR:
                                     Mr. Sawyer, just
10
         before you launch on to your next question, a
11
         request for both you and the members of the
12
         witness panel who are responding.
                                             For the
         clarity of our record, what we'd request is
13
14
         that -- we're hearing a lot of this letter,
         this date, this -- this date, this -- this
15
                If you're responding and you have it at
16
         date.
17
         hand, can you also refer to the exhibit number?
         Because part of what I will point out is that
18
         the Panel will be relying on the transcript
19
20
         and, frankly, you will make our lives much
21
         easier post-hearing if you've got the -- if
22
         we've got those references on the record.
         I'm not looking to disrupt any of you, but if
23
24
         you have it to hand, if you can include that in
25
         your questions and in your responses.
26
         you.
```

Madam Chairman, for 1 M. SAWYER: your information, I have provided with the 2 3 court -- the court reporter with a written list of all my questions all referenced with exhibit 4 5 numbers, so I appreciate your comments. 6 you. M. SAWYER: Moving along. 0 So you did two additional verification digs. 8 was the results of those two digs? 9 10 Α K. SCHEIRER: The results are 11 listed again in -- it would be 129.02 in a 12 response to IR 2.4 -- 2.4(c), to be exact. 13 Dig 2 was 45 percent wall loss, and Dig 3 was 14 27 percent wall loss, as indicated on the 15 record. 16 Thank you. 0 17 I have some questions for Mr. Drew. Mr. Drew, can you confirm that you're 18 professionally responsible for the three 19 20 Waterton reactivation reports? And that would be Exhibits 129.08, 124.05, and 129.09 21 22 respectively. 23 B. DEW: First, Mr. Sawyer, 24 I'd like to correct you. It's Mr. Dew, D-E-W, 25 not "Drew". 26 0 Sorry.

- 1 A And I am professionally responsible for the
- three reports that you have listed.
- 3 Q Okay. And you can confirm, sir, that the
- 4 October 31st is the final report, or are there
- 5 more recent reports?
- 6 A The October 31st report, Revision 2, is the
- 7 final version.
- 8 Q Now, I see the iron ring on your finger, sir;
- 9 so I'd like to ask the question. As an
- 10 engineer, what do you consider significant
- 11 corrosion to be?
- 12 A It's a very open-ended question, Mr. Sawyer.
- 13 Significant corrosion is based on pipeline
- risk, on approach, consequence, likelihood of
- failure, what's the service. So there is no
- direct definition of significant corrosion.
- 17 Q So as -- as a layperson, you know, give me some
- help here. You know, we've seen numbers thrown
- around here like 20 percent, 40 percent, up to
- 20 90 percent wall loss. In terms of wall loss
- 21 percentage -- as a -- you're talking to a
- 22 layperson here -- what -- what -- where on that
- 23 spectrum would it start to become significant
- 24 corrosion?
- 25 A Again, it really depends on how your pipeline
- is operating, on the sizing and the morphology

1 of the corrosion, large areas of general You know, a smaller wall loss is 2 corrosion. actually more detrimental if it's large areas. 3 And if you have a very small area like a 4 pinhole, which Mr. Judd was referring to 5 6 yesterday -- a number of the failures were pinholes -- you can have pinholes up to 80 percent wall loss that still hold pressure, 8 9 which is why your codes with CSA Z662 allow you 10 to assess corrosion anywhere from 10 to 11 80 percent wall loss to determine whether it is 12 detrimental to the pipeline and considered a 13 defect. 14 Okay. Could you tell us what the 'T' minimum 0 for the -- the Pieridae pipeline is. 15 The minimum thickness is dependent on which 16 Α 17 segment you're looking at and as well where -where on the pipeline you are. 18 calculate it out. If you look at 19 Exhibit 129.09 --20 21 Excuse me, sir. I -- I was referring to the --Q 22 the -- the Pieridae -- the subject pipeline of 23 this hearing, not to the Shell existing 24 pipeline. 25 So that T minimum would've been filed with the 26 calculations, which I was not involved with.

So I will have to --1 2 Q Okay. 3 -- pass that to either Mr. Scheirer or Α Mr. Simon. 4 5 Okay. Well, I'm going to skip that question, 0 6 then, and ask you what's the T minimum for the 7 existing Shell pipeline? So the T minimum, depending on road crossings, 8 Α 9 location, factor that's used -- the 10 T minimum -- and, again, this is referencing 11 just holding pressure, not individual related 12 corrosion pits -- is anywhere from 4.23 millimetres to 5.08 millimetres as 13 14 provided in Table 3, which is page 9 of the PDF of Exhibit 129.09. 15 K. SCHEIRER: If I could add to 16 Α 17 that, the -- those numbers are also confirmed in Exhibit 129.10 on page 1, which is the wall 18 thickness calculation -- CSA Z662 pipeline 19 20 calculation sheet for the subject line, and it does confirm the same 4.23 millimetres for Z662 21 22 calculation and 5.08 for the Alberta pipeline 23 regulations. And I would like to 24 B. DEW: Α 25 highlight, Mr. Sawyer, those T minimum 26 calculations are for the design pressure.

1		it comes to evaluating corrosion, we typically
2		use ASME modified B31G, which looks at how the
3		stresses get distributed in the pitting, and
4		that will allow you to look at it and
5		potentially qualify up to 50 or 60 percent wall
6		loss as still safe to operate the pipeline
7		with.
8	Q	And the way you would accomplish that would be
9		to lower the operating pressure?
10	A	No. With the operating pressure, as is with
11		design, even up to 60 percent, 70 percent wall
12		loss may be acceptable based on the area of
13		wall loss that's occurring.
± <i>3</i>		wall lobb chac b occurring.
14	Q	Thank you for that.
	Q A	
14		Thank you for that.
14 15		Thank you for that. D. ARCHIBALD: And and I think
14 15 16		Thank you for that. D. ARCHIBALD: And and I think that's important to highlight because the
14 15 16 17		Thank you for that. D. ARCHIBALD: And and I think that's important to highlight because the subject pipeline we're talking about, we're
14 15 16 17		Thank you for that. D. ARCHIBALD: And and I think that's important to highlight because the subject pipeline we're talking about, we're operating it at 10 percent of the design
14 15 16 17 18 19		Thank you for that. D. ARCHIBALD: And and I think that's important to highlight because the subject pipeline we're talking about, we're operating it at 10 percent of the design pressure, and when, you know and we're
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Thank you for that. D. ARCHIBALD: And and I think that's important to highlight because the subject pipeline we're talking about, we're operating it at 10 percent of the design pressure, and when, you know and we're actually we've tested this line
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Thank you for that. D. ARCHIBALD: And and I think that's important to highlight because the subject pipeline we're talking about, we're operating it at 10 percent of the design pressure, and when, you know and we're actually we've tested this line hydrotested it to almost equal to the shut-in
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Thank you for that. D. ARCHIBALD: And and I think that's important to highlight because the subject pipeline we're talking about, we're operating it at 10 percent of the design pressure, and when, you know and we're actually we've tested this line hydrotested it to almost equal to the shut-in tubing head pressure plate. Everything on this
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Thank you for that. D. ARCHIBALD: And and I think that's important to highlight because the subject pipeline we're talking about, we're operating it at 10 percent of the design pressure, and when, you know and we're actually we've tested this line hydrotested it to almost equal to the shut-in tubing head pressure plate. Everything on this system is very robustly designed, and to

1 Thank you for that. 0 2 In the Waterton pipeline reactivation 3 report, again, Exhibit 129.09, on page 2, the (as read) 4 report states: No in-service failures have occurred 5 6 on all pipelines. What pipelines are you referring to? The "all pipelines" is 8 Α B. DEW: 9 referring to the pipelines that are the subject 10 of the report. Not to the whole Waterton field 11 but just subject to the report. 12 And you -- of course, you can confirm, sir, 13 that those segments that you're referring to 14 are part of the larger Shell Carbondale system? 15 They are part of the larger system. Α Correct. And, sir, are you -- you can confirm that you 16 17 are aware that the Carbondale piping system has been plaqued by internal corrosion problems 18 that have resulted in at least four known 19 20 pipeline failures and releases of H2S? 21 So I'd like to correct. I wouldn't say it's Α 22 been plagued by internal corrosion problems. There have been previous failures in the 23 24 That is correct, and it is public system. 25 record. 26 Now, in -- in your report, it's stated during 0

1		the HDPE liner installation, which would've
2		occurred in 2003, an ILI was conducted, and the
3		pipeline failed to hydro test. All repairs
4		were completed prior to installation of the
5		HDPE, which involved cutting out all defects
6		over 25 percent.
7		So my question to you is: That statement
8		implies that internal corrosion of less than
9		25 percent was left unrepaired; is that
10		correct?
11	А	So based on the documentation provided to us by
12		Pieridae that was completed while it was
13		operated by Shell, that was the information
14		given to us. And I would like to point out the
15		ILI that was done at the time. All of these
16		inspections do come with a range of error.
17		So they're not a direct inspection. It's
18		indirect. They will provide wall loss
19		that's the typical standard is plus or minus
20		10 percent 80 percent of the time. So there
21		may be some features that aren't captured,
22		which could be why there are features currently
23		in the line that Pieridae is investigating.
24	Q	Thank you for that.
25		And appreciating that it was under Shell's
26		watch, but do you know how many locations that

- were found to have corrosion of less than
- 2 25 percent that were left unrepaired?
- 3 A I would have to leave that up to Mr. Simon as
- 4 he was involved with all those repairs.
- 5 A L. SIMON: I'd have to get back
- to you on an exact amount, but anything less
- 7 than 25 percent was cut out, and that was in
- 8 the bare steel before lining.
- 9 Q Sorry. I just wondered -- you said anything
- 10 more than --
- 11 A Sorry. Greater than 25 percent.
- 12 Q Okay. I would appreciate it --
- 13 A Sorry.
- 14 Q -- if you can get back to me with that,
- 15 Mr. Simon.
- 16 A So you're looking for the amount of features?
- 17 O How many locations were left that had less than
- 18 25 percent corrosion --
- 19 A D. ARCHIBALD: Are you asking for
- an undertaking on the line that's not subject
- 21 to the hearing.
- 22 T. MYERS: Madam Chair, I
- appreciate Mr. Simon's willingness to provide
- the response to begin with. I don't know how
- 25 helpful the information is at the end of the
- 26 day. We've heard at least once -- I think more

1		from the Pieridae witnesses that they're not
2		intending to operate the downstream line or the
3		subject pipeline until they've addressed the
4		integrity-related issues that are identified
5		and known on that downstream line or until they
6		find another option that's suitable in
7		accordance with all applicable requirements.
8		So I don't know that the number of specific
9		repairs that have been performed from 2007 or
10		2003 until present on that downstream line,
11		which is, again, not the subject of this
12		hearing, is all that helpful when the answer
13		and the evidence we've heard is that it's not
14		going to be operated until they can do so
15		safely in accordance with applicable regulatory
16		requirements.
17		M. SAWYER: Madam Chairman, I'll
18		just move along. I don't need to deal with
19		that.
20	Q	M. SAWYER: So in that same
21		report at page 5 and, again, that's 129.09
22		the report states: (as read)
23		The threat of internal corrosion is
24		considered low. No history of leaks
25		due to internal corrosion have been
26		reported for these pipelines.

1		And so my question for you, sir, is in light of
2		the widespread corrosion issues that have been
3		on the Carbondale pipeline as a whole, and in
4		light of the 117 locations that had
5		corrosions that had required repairs, why
6		how can you conclude that the threat of
7		corrosion on that pipeline is low?
8	A	B. DEW: So we concluded the
9		threat of corrosion on that pipeline or on the
10		four subject pipelines of the report was low
11		based on work that had been completed by Shell
12		at the time by completing the repairs, bringing
13		an HDPE liner in, and since the time of the
14		repairs and the installation of the liner, the
15		pipeline had not operated at that point.
16		So the risk for remaining internal
17		corrosion or future internal corrosion would be
18		considered low as the liner system is a
19		recognized mitigation for corrosion.
20	Q	Okay. But if we consider what we know about
21		the failure history of the Carbondale pipeline
22		system, is that still an accurate statement?
23	A	It's still an accurate statement. The failure
24		history that has previously occurred is
25		something that Shell worked on diligently,
26		especially after the 2007 incident, to better

1 their integrity management program and bring it 2 to a level that they can safely operate these 3 pipelines, and since 2007 and with documentation that's been presented, they were 4 5 managing the pipelining system as a whole quite 6 successfully. When you say "quite successfully", you mean O that they have had no failures since 2007? 8 They have not had any failure since 2007 9 Α related to internal corrosion. 10 11 So the failure in 2007 -- well, let me back up. 12 You've said in this report that -- that having a liner reduces the risk to -- I said -- I 13 14 think you said no risk. It reduces the risk for internal 15 Not no risk. Α corrosion. 16 17 So on the same Exhibit 129.09 on page 6, you (as read) 18 wrote: 19 Upon resumption, no internal corrosion 20 growth is expected since the pipeline is internally coated with an HDPE. 21 22 So when I say "no risk" -- I mean, when I read "no" -- "no" and I sort of think -- well --23 24 well, in a statistical sense, that would mean 25 zero or -- or, you know, no corrosion at all, 26 and you're attributing that to the fact that

```
1
         it -- it has an HDPE liner on it.
                                             Is -- is
 2
         that an accurate statement from an engineering
 3
         point of view, no growth of corrosion?
         So you're not expecting a corrosion growth
 4
 5
         to -- corrosion growth to occur with that
 6
         system in place with proper operational
         practices, which Shell and Pieridae do have.
 8
         And -- and, of course, you -- you are aware of
     O
 9
         the 2007 pipeline failure which occurred on a
10
         pipeline that was lined with HDPE liner;
11
         correct?
12
         I am aware of it, and that's why I answered
13
         saying that with proper operational practices.
         The failure in 2007 was a new failure to
14
15
         industry. It had not occurred before, and it
         was related to the use of methanol continuous
16
17
         injection into the system.
                                      Shell worked to
         correct that and developed ways to help monitor
18
19
         the system and have proven out those monitoring
20
         methods are working to the point where they can
21
         demonstrate that the lined pipelines are safe
22
         for continuous operation.
         Sir, are you aware of other pipelines not
23
         related to the Waterton field that have failed
24
25
         in the industry of -- that have -- have HDPE
26
         liners on them?
```

1	A	There are failures to other lines with HDPE
2		liners. That does occur, and it is usually
3		related to operational practices.
4	Q	So I just want to understand. If it if it's
5		relating to operational practices and your view
6		is that there it's a low risk and no
7		internal corrosion is expected, I mean, those
8		are pretty definitive statements. If you look
9		at that in the context of these four segments
10		of existing pipeline which have a history of
11		corrosion, which was repaired, it was
12		subsequently lined with a liner filled with
13		nitrogen, and it's been suspended for 20 years,
14		a repaired pipeline that would not have an
15		expectation of corrosion, and yet fast-forward
16		to 2017 and 2023, we're finding corrosion when
17		it's filled with nitrogen. How do you explain
18		that?
19	А	K. SCHEIRER: Mr. Sawyer, I think
20		I can answer your question there. To
21		paraphrase, I believe you were asking why, you
22		know, with an HDPE liner it's in installed
23		on the downstream lines, why was there
24		continued corrosion growth? Where did that
25		come from?
26		So it's worth to point out prior to so
I		

1	in 2003 when the HDPE liner was installed on
2	the system after those repairs, the pipeline
3	was was not put into service. It was not
4	needed at the time. In 2007 operations
5	Shell operations found that there was pressure
6	on that line. The isolation was was was
7	improper, so it wasn't properly isolated. At
8	the time they went to de-pressure the line,
9	found that there was a hydrate in that pipeline
10	system. To break the hydrate, common practice
11	is to use methanol. It will break down the
12	hydrate and allow them to continue
13	de-pressuring the pipeline. So methanol was
14	used to break that hydrate. The pipeline was
15	de-pressured, and it was purged out with N2.
16	The pipeline system at the time was not
17	capable of being pigged. It did not have
18	pigging facilities, so operations were not able
19	to pig the methanol that was used out of the
20	system. So that methanol was sitting in the
21	line until the ILI that was performed in 2017.
22	Pigging facilities were installed prior to that
23	ILI, and it would have been pig cleaned prior
24	to that ILI in 2017.
25	So there was methanol introduced into the
26	system in 2017 that wasn't fully removed.

1		That that happened before the failure in
2		November of 2007 on Pipeline Licence 23800
3		Segment 61, so that was a different segment
4		that failed. The understanding of that
5		methanol failure mechanism, how the methanol
6		can permeate the HDPE liner and then cause
7		corrosion under the liner, that was not known
8		in 2007 when the hydrate was being broken on
9		the downstream lines that we're talking about.
10		Shell learned from the the reports and
11		investigation into the failure on the
12		Segment 61 methanol use continuous methanol
13		use is no longer permitted on our HDPE liners.
14		Under very scrutinized circumstances is
15		methanol allowed to be used on our HDPE-lined
16		pipelines, and it has to be signed off by the
17		superintendent and must be pigged out within
18		48 hours of having been used.
19		So Shell and Pieridae have learned from the
20		failure in 2017 and the cause of methanol
21		continuous methanol use on HDPE-lined systems.
22		Practices have been adjusted to prevent the
23		corrosion that caused by methanol use on
24		HDPE-lined pipelines.
25	A	L. SIMON: Just to correct that
26		statement. That was a failure in 2007.
I		

1	А	K. SCHEIRER:	Oh.
2	A	L. SIMON:	Not '17.
3	A	B. DEW:	And, Mr. Sawyer, I'd
4		like to just add in the	information around that
5		hydrate forming due to t	he improper isolation
6		was not provided to Acur	en at the time of us
7		completing the report.	So even though we were
8		not expecting corrosion	to happen with a liner
9		in place under normal op	erations, we did still
10		provide the recommendati	on based on the fact
11		that the pipeline had be	en out of service for
12		20 years at that point t	hat Pieridae should go
13		and ensure that the line	does have integrity
14		either through verificat	ion digs or through
15		inspection. That's a pr	etty standard practice
16		for us to do.	
17		So even if we don't	expect the corrosion,
18		we still request, you kn	ow, kind of from a due
19		diligence standpoint you	ensure the pipeline's
20		safe to operate, which i	s what Pieridae has
21		done.	
22	Q	Thank you for that, sir.	
23	A	D. ARCHIBALD:	But I think it
24		yeah. It's the statemen	t of fitness. Like, if
25		we want to talk the t	he the how we
26		holistically manage our	pipelines, I don't care

1		which pipeline it is, I won't start that
2		pipeline until we've done a proper statement of
3		fitness that we are required to inspect it.
4		We are required to verify it, which we're all
5		discussing. We're asking details on the
6		verification before it's brought into service.
7		We need to test our safeguards, we need to
8		generate our proper operating documentation,
9		and we need to communicate and be transparent
10		with the AER on what we find.
11		So that the however the conditions of
12		our system, we that has to be managed
13		through the appropriate processes, and that's
14		how seriously we take it.
15	Q	Thank you for that.
16		I I want to go back to that statement
17		you made that there is no internal corrosion
18		growth expected since the pipelines are
19		internally coated with HDPE. I mean, based on
20		the evidence, that's clearly not true, is it?
21	A	D. ARCHIBALD: But even then, you
22		don't expect the corrosion. Your due diligence
23		is you have to be a hundred percent confident,
24		so you have to do your verifications. So,
25		like, we don't expect something to happen in an
26		inert atmosphere, but you don't just accept

1		that and go with it. As the energtion
		that and go with it. As the operation
2		superintendent, I wouldn't accept that, Oh,
3		there would be no there's no mechanism for
4		it. We have to prove it. We have to prove
5		what we say, and we have to prove that that
6		that it's safe to operate.
7	Q	Sir, with all due respect, that wasn't my
8		question. My question was that statement
9		that's in the Acuren report that says that
10		no like "no" is a very specific number.
11		Like, zero.
12		So my question was to Mr. Dew, given the
13		evidence we've seen, is it actually true that
14		there's no internal corrosion growth expected?
15	A	B. DEW: So, Mr. Sawyer,
16		you're putting a lot of emphasis on the "no
17		internal corrosion". The statement is that
18		upon resumption, no internal corrosion growth
19		is expected for these indications. That's
20		related to normal operating practices which
21		Shell and Pieridae are following. They've got
22		their monitoring program in place. They've got
23		their whole integrity management program
24		focused around it.
25		So the "no internal corrosion growth is
20		20 0110 1110011101 0011011 510 0011 12
26		expected" is really a statement that when these

1		lines get lined because liner systems are
2		often used for rehabilitation of lines with
3		corrosion it's a way to help mitigate it
4		from happening. There is no guarantee
5		corrosion can't occur.
6		I further go on to say that based on the
7		fact there are these HDPE liners, the threat of
8		internal corrosion is assessed to be low.
9		We're not saying there is no threat. The
10		threat for internal corrosion is low. We're
11		not definitively saying it's not there.
12	Q	No. What you actually said is that there is
13		no no internal corrosion is expected.
14		That's not saying, We're going to manage it or
15		that we're going to manage the you're saying
16		there is no and what I'm trying to say to
17		you, that's just simply not true.
18		And to make the point, sir, if I was to
19		throw out a hypothetical question and say, I'd
20		like to see what your internal inspection
21		results and I'm not asking the question;
22		it's hypothetical results for the Carbondale
23		8-inch pipeline, which is a lined pipeline, and
24		you're running annual surveys, is there no
25		internal corrosion on that pipeline?
26	А	L. SIMON: Can you which
Ī		

1		line are you referencing there?
2		T. MYERS: I've kind of lost
3		the hypothetical, to be honest, but the point
4		or the concern that I wanted to raise is that I
5		think, to Mr. Dew's point, we're placing a lot
6		of emphasis on the word "no". Mr. Dew's not
7		sitting here saying it's impossible or there's
8		zero percent chance. He's saying what his
9		expectation was at the time was that there
10		wouldn't be any internal corrosion, but there's
11		more context to it than that or to the
12		phrase that Mr. Sawyer continues to put to him.
13		Mr. Dew has read from the report. I think the
14		question's been asked and answered.
15		
13	Q	M. SAWYER: Were you gentlemen
16	Q	M. SAWYER: Were you gentlemen prepared to answer that hypothetical question?
	Q A	
16		prepared to answer that hypothetical question?
16 17		prepared to answer that hypothetical question? L. SIMON: I would just like to
16 17 18		prepared to answer that hypothetical question? L. SIMON: I would just like to add to to support Mr. Dew's comments is that
16 17 18 19		prepared to answer that hypothetical question? L. SIMON: I would just like to add to to support Mr. Dew's comments is that we've since the 2007 failure and Shell's
16 17 18 19 20		prepared to answer that hypothetical question? L. SIMON: I would just like to add to to support Mr. Dew's comments is that we've since the 2007 failure and Shell's changes to our operating conditions and
16 17 18 19 20 21		prepared to answer that hypothetical question? L. SIMON: I would just like to add to to support Mr. Dew's comments is that we've since the 2007 failure and Shell's changes to our operating conditions and parameters and procedures and the you know,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		prepared to answer that hypothetical question? L. SIMON: I would just like to add to to support Mr. Dew's comments is that we've since the 2007 failure and Shell's changes to our operating conditions and parameters and procedures and the you know, the discontinuation of using methanol in both
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		prepared to answer that hypothetical question? L. SIMON: I would just like to add to to support Mr. Dew's comments is that we've since the 2007 failure and Shell's changes to our operating conditions and parameters and procedures and the you know, the discontinuation of using methanol in both the upstream wells and also for annulus
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		prepared to answer that hypothetical question? L. SIMON: I would just like to add to to support Mr. Dew's comments is that we've since the 2007 failure and Shell's changes to our operating conditions and parameters and procedures and the you know, the discontinuation of using methanol in both the upstream wells and also for annulus maintenance activities, we've done safely

We've ran 15 years without incident. 1 We've run 2 a maximum of 12 in-line inspections on one 3 particular pipeline to -- as per the commitments with the AER, and we're not seeing 4 corrosion of the extent that we had prior to 5 the 2007 incident. So does that help you? 6 7 0 Thank you. 8 But -- but you are seeing some corrosion? 9 Α We haven't had to do any cutouts or repairs 10 since 2007. 11 I want to turn to emergency response briefly. 12 Can Pieridae confirm that it has prepared 13 and submitted a -- a site-specific ERP for the 14 pipeline Waterton field in accordance with Directive 071? 15 K. SCHEIRER: 16 Yes, we have. Α And you -- can Pieridae confirm that it's 17 prepared and submitted a corporate ERP in 18 accordance with Directive 071? 19 20 Yes, we have. Α 21 And can Pieridae confirm that it has prepared 0 22 and submitted specific ERPs from each sour 23 well, sour production facility, and associated 24 gathering system in the Waterton field in 25 accordance with Directive 071 which would --

would fall into the ERP for the pipeline?

26

1		Because there's multiple overlapping ERPs;
2		correct?
3	A	Sorry. Could you restate your question,
4		possibly clarify it.
5	Q	So I just want to be clear. I'm not asking
б		about the entire Waterton field. I'm asking
7		about the the multiple ERPs from the
8		different facilities, wells, pipelines that are
9		occurring in the Screwdriver Creek that sort of
10		overlap with the pipelines of the ERP. So in
11		the context of those ERPs, can you confirm that
12		you've prepared and submitted specific ERPs for
13		each sour well, sour production facility, and
14		associated gathering system in the Waterton
15		field that would would coincide in space
16		with the subject pipeline.
17	А	So the site-specific ERP that was provided for
18		this subject pipeline was a requirement of the
19		application process. Once the a line or
20		or a well gets drilled, for instance, in any
21		project that may require a site-specific ERP,
22		once that operation construction of a
23		pipeline in this case is completed, that
24		that stand-alone, site-specific ERP gets rolled
25		into the area and corporate ERP. Those are
26		only required for the purpose of the licence

1 application. 2 So to your question, do we have site 3 specific ERPs for every single pipeline segment and/or well? Currently, as of what we use 4 right now, no, we would not. Those would have 5 6 been developed at the time of licencing, but 7 then they get rolled into the corporate and area ERPs that are used to enact a response. 8 9 0 Thank you for that response. Could Pieridae confirm that it has written 10 11 agreements with regional emergency groups that 12 would be needed to assist in the case of the 13 ERP activation? 14 Α B. FOOTE: Are you referring to 15 mutual aid or emergency response? I mean, Alberta Health, the 16 Yeah. 0 17 municipality, fire and -- fire, you know, that type of thing. 18 Okay. There is a -- in section, I believe it 19 Α is under "Mutual Aid" under ERP or Exhibit 20

25 confirmation from those -- each individual

So, sir, I am familiar with that.

My question was do you have written

19.0, Section --

26 group?

Yeah.

21

22

23

24

0

Α

```
So the individual groups that are required
 1
     Α
         under Directive 071 are local authorities to
 2
 3
         establish their roles and responsibilities
         during the time of emergency.
 4
                                         Those are listed
         in the ERP, and the dates of who we -- the date
 5
 6
         that they were consulted with and the
         individuals that confirmed the information --
         And --
 8
     0
 9
         -- are listed.
10
         Okay.
                So my -- my -- my --
11
         D. ARCHIBALD:
                                   And they would
     Α
12
         participate in our major ERP mocks as well.
13
         So my question was:
                              Do you have written
     0
14
         confirmation? Do you have written
         confirmation, sir?
15
         B. FOOTE:
                                   The written
16
     Α
         confirmation would be that during the
17
         consultation process that they confirmed the
18
         roles and responsibilities which is listed
19
         within Section 7. That is the form that's
20
21
         listed indicating the MD of -- MD of Pincher
22
         Creek.
                 That's the form that was gone through
23
         with those local authorities, and it was
         confirmed that that is the information that is
24
25
         correct.
         And that they've signed off on those?
26
     0
```

- 1 A It would have been a -- a verbal consultation
- 2 through --
- 3 Q So the answer is there's no written agreement?
- 4 A There's no written --
- 5 A D. ARCHIBALD: We have a documented
- 6 verbal conversation and acceptance.
- 7 Q That wasn't my question. The question was, do
- 8 you have a written agreement, and what you've
- 9 told me is --
- 10 A It is documented,
- 11 Q -- no, you don't.
- 12 A Verbal. It's written down. It's dated and we
- 13 have a sure --
- 14 Q That wasn't my question.
- 15 Moving on. Have those agreements that were
- 16 verbal in nature been filed as part of this
- 17 proceeding?
- 18 A B. FOOTE: So the verbal
- 19 agreements are basically listed in the ERP as
- 20 confirmed with the roles and responsibilities
- and the people responsible and that Pieridae
- 22 would contact in case of an emergency.
- 23 Q Yeah. My question, sir, was: Have they been
- 24 filed --
- 25 A Yes.
- 26 Q -- in this proceeding?

They're within the Exhibit 190.3, which is the 1 Α 2 Waterton complex core. 3 And what you did there was just list the 0 agencies. You didn't actually have the 4 5 agreement in there, did you? 6 Α The page -- I will just find it here. can bear with me. THE CHAIR: 8 Mr. Sawyer, how is 9 this different from your IR 26 that was asked 10 some time ago and which would have been 11 responded to on the record? 12 M. SAWYER: I would have to 13 look, Madam Chairman. 14 THE CHAIR: Because I would 15 indicate to you that the Panel does not expect 16 that you're going to revisit anything that was asked and answered as an IR. I would say that 17 it's open to you to ask if things have been 18 19 updated since IR responses have been provided, 20 but, frankly, questions were asked and provided They don't need to be revisited 21 beforehand. 22 here in the hearing room. 23 M. SAWYER: Madam Chair, I'm 24 prepared to move on if that would work for you, 25 sir -- ma'am. 26 THE CHAIR: Well, just I'm

1		looking at how is that that's the thing
2		is that I'm puzzling with, is that if it's
3		something that's already been asked and it's
4		already been provided as a response to an IR,
5		then we don't need to go there again here.
6		M. SAWYER: I'll move along,
7		then.
8	Q	M. SAWYER: In its application
9		Pieridae had stated that Pieridae, and I quote:
10		(as read)
11		Pieridae has measures in place to
12		ensure that it is effectively managed
13		through the traffic management plans
14		utilizing the previous
15		Shell-constructed projects in the area
16		such as Waterton 68.
17		And that's in Exhibit 002.02, PDF page 38.
18		Can Pieridae confirm that as part of its
19		traffic management that it requires all of its
20		employees and contractors to operate within the
21		Highway Traffic Act?
22	A	D. ARCHIBALD: Absolutely. If
23		you're asking are we supposed to follow the
24		law? Absolutely.
25	Q	Okay. I had provided an aid to
26		cross-examination. I don't know how to

reference this. I mean, I think Ms. Arruda did 1 2 tell me, but I don't know. 3 M. SAWYER: Can you tell me the reference for that? 4 I don't have a 5 E. ARRUDA: 6 microphone, but I assigned numbers to all your aids to cross. So I do have --8 THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry, Ms. Arruda, I 9 can't hear you. Could you please repeat? 10 THE CHAIR: So I believe that we 11 have a system set up. I'll let Ms. Chijioke 12 speak to it. 13 O. CHIJIOKE: Commissioner 14 Chiasson, Mr. Sawyer's aid to cross was 15 assigned Aid to Cross Number 14 for the 16 photographs. 17 M. SAWYER: I can't hear you. O. CHIJIOKE: Aid to Cross Number 18 19 14. 20 M. SAWYER: O So, gentlemen, has 21 your counsel provided you with a copy of this, 22 which I did provide to counsel? Yeah, here we 23 are. 24 So these are two photographs that I took 25 during the construction of your pipeline in 26 2023. And it was October 31st, 2023, at

1	2:16 PM Mountain Standard Time, and Mr. Judd
2	and myself and a few others attended. And what
3	we found was either one of your employees or
4	your contractor driving an off-road vehicle
5	down the middle of a municipal road.
6	Is that consistent with the Highway Traffic
7	Act?
8	D. NAFFIN: So, Madam Chair, I'm
9	not sure this is the appropriate forum or
10	jurisdiction for questions about compliance
11	with the Highway Traffic Act. There's no legal
12	counsel on the panel. Certainly if Mr. Sawyer
13	wants to ask questions about what we're seeing
14	here and so on and so forth, that's perfectly
15	fine. I don't see how it's relevant to the
16	subject pipeline in any way. Maybe he can
17	establish that, but just asking the witnesses
18	for a legal conclusion as to whether or not
19	it's compliant with the Highway Traffic Act or
20	Traffic Safety Act or the current iteration of
21	it, I don't think is fair for the witness. But
22	certainly asking about what we see in the photo
23	and so on if relevance can be established, I
24	think is fine.
25	THE CHAIR: Yes. We'll be
26	looking for the relevance. And just a
I	

1	correction, Mr. Naffin, tw	o of the three Panel
2	Members are lawyers.	
3	D. NAFFIN:	When I was referring
4	to "the panel", Commission	er Chiasson, I meant
5	this witness panel.	
6	THE CHAIR:	Oh, all right.
7	Thank you for the clarific	ation.
8	D. NAFFIN:	I'm well aware
9	THE CHAIR:	Thank you.
10	D. NAFFIN:	that the Panel
11	has legal expertise, but n	one of these
12	individuals do.	
13	THE CHAIR:	Okay. Thank you
14	D. NAFFIN:	Yeah
15	THE CHAIR:	sir.
16	D. NAFFIN:	I was referring
17	THE CHAIR:	And I've run
18	D. NAFFIN:	to the
19	THE CHAIR:	into
20	D. NAFFIN:	panel.
21	THE CHAIR:	that in hearings
22	before in terms of referen	ces to when one is
23	saying "panel", what panel	. So thank you for
24	that clarification.	
25	So Mr. Sawyer, let's	lead us to relevance.
26	M. SAWYER:	Throughout this

1		application process and this regulatory review,
2		Pieridae has put considerable effort into
3		arguing that their credibility as an operator
4		is, you know, should be trusted. And so the
5		point of bringing this up is to demonstrate
6		that they may speak at great length about how
7		they have this policy and that policy. But, in
8		fact, the reality is that those policies aren't
9		necessarily followed. And this is just one
10		example of that.
11		So if that's sufficient, then I'll just
12		reframe the question.
13	Q	M. SAWYER: Was the management
14		of Pieridae aware of this event when I stopped
15		this vehicle on the highway?
16	A	D. ARCHIBALD: So this event that
17		you're discussing here, that was during the
18		Russell tool run on the pipeline. And the
19		Russell tool contractor required to track the
20		tool through telemetry, and they made the
21		decision that there was a difficult terrain to
22		navigate on the pipeline right-of-way. And
23		what you're looking at is the dead-end road to
24		Mr. Judd's residence up on the hill there.
25		What they had decided because of the
26		condition of the ground was to unfortunately

1		decide to drive on the road. This was
2		documented in our community concern register.
3		It was dated, and so it was communicated. I
4		was aware of it. It was documented through our
5		formal community engagement, and it was dated
6		October 31st I believe Lorne Harty put it in
7		and I think maybe Graham Scherger. And then to
8		your other point, this road that we're driving
9		on, we've reduced the speed limit at Shell to
10		50 kilometres an hour and that requirement is
11		still held by the Pieridae field operators.
12		And all vehicles are GPS'd, and the operators
13		know that if there's a complaint in that area,
14		we could pull all records on their vehicle and
15		make sure they are response responsibly
16		operating their vehicles.
17		So to your question, yes, it was
18		documented, dated, and corrective actions were
19		taken. Thank you.
20	Q	And thank you for that response, then.
21		So you acknowledge that well, can you
22		acknowledge that driving an off-road vehicle on
23		a highway in Alberta is contrary to law? I'm
24		asking if they can.
25	А	I don't know of that if that vehicle that
26		machine wouldn't be licenced for the road, and

```
1
         unfortunately the contractors made that
 2
         decision on the rural road likely because of
 3
         what they were seeing around them, and they did
         it out of safety is what they had discussed,
 4
 5
         and -- but, unfortunately, we had to have the
 6
         conversations with our operators that if these
         contractors aren't comfortable in the operation
 8
         of the equipment, we'll have to do it for them,
 9
         so yes.
10
     Q
         Thank you for that.
11
              Let's turn to the question of pipeline
12
         integrity management.
                                 What is the purpose of
13
         minimum depth of cover standards for sour
14
         service pipelines?
15
         L. SIMON:
                                   Depending on where
     Α
         the crossing is, you could have crossings as
16
17
         well as that. But it's in CSA Z662 of the
         requirements and the AER pipeline regulations
18
19
         as well.
20
         And whatever the circumstances, the specified
     O
21
         depth is required by AER regulations; correct?
22
     Α
         That is correct.
23
         THE CHAIR:
                                     Mr. Sawyer, I note
24
         that we're at noon. Is this a convenient spot
25
         to break?
26
         M. SAWYER:
                                     Yes, it is, madam.
```

1	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank
2	you. We will break for an hour. We will
3	return at 1 PM.
4	As per yesterday, we cannot guarantee the
5	security of anything in the room; so we suggest
6	anything you have concerns about, take with
7	you. And we would remind the witness panel
8	that because you are in the midst of
9	cross-examination, that you are not at liberty
10	to discuss any of this with your counsel.
11	(WITNESSES STAND DOWN)
12	
13	PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED UNTIL 1:00 PM
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	Proceedings taken at Govier	Hall, Calgary,
2	Alberta	
3		
4	November 20, 2024	Afternoon Session
5		
6	C.L.F. Chiasson	Hearing Commissioner
7	H. Robinson	Hearing Commissioner
8	S.F. Mackenzie	Hearing Commissioner
9		
10	B. Kapel Holden	Counsel for the Panel
11	D. Ogunyemi	Counsel for the Panel
12	O. Chijioke	Counsel for the Panel
13	D. Brezina	AER Counsel
14	K. Gibson	AER Counsel
15	A. Lewis	AER Staff
16	T. Wheaton	AER Staff
17	E. Arruda	AER Staff
18	A. Stanislavski	AER Staff
19	N. Hymers	AER Staff
20		
21	D. Naffin	For Pieridae Alberta
22	T. Myers	Production Ltd.
23	T. Machell	
24		
25	M. Sawyer	Representative for
26		Michael Judd

1	A. Vidal, CSR(A), RPR, RMR Official Court Reporter
2	R.M. Johanson, CSR(A) Official Court Reporter
3	
4	(PROCEEDINGS RECOMMENCED AT 1:03 PM)
5	Discussion
6	THE CHAIR: Please be seated.
7	So a few things just before we start. To
8	revisit in relation to exhibit numbers, even if
9	there was a list provided, it's not part of the
10	court reporter's duty or job description or
11	that to go back in and insert exhibit numbers
12	where there's references.
13	So as I said before, we strongly encourage
14	you all to where you're referring to exhibits
15	to make sure you're giving us the exhibit
16	numbers so it will get on the record. And it's
17	far easier reference for us to then, when we go
18	back and read the transcripts, understand what
19	it was that you're referring to because there's
20	a lot of information coming at us here. So
21	that would be appreciated.
22	As well, if I could just remind everyone to
23	speak slowly for our on behalf of our court
24	reporters, speak clearly. Sometimes things are
25	trailing off. And, Mr. Sawyer, when the
26	witness is answering the question, if you can

1 just pause and make sure they're done answering before you start on your next question because 2 3 they're getting a little bit of multiple voices which is hard for them to track, then. 4 So if we can do that and also be mindful 5 6 of -- because I know we've got a lot of putting heads together and checking on things, but being sure to -- try as much as possible to 8 9 make sure that only one person is speaking at a time. 10 So if we can do that, that's 11 particularly helpful. 12 The other thing that came up over our lunch break as well is if -- and we know everyone --13 14 everyone who's been involved in this 15 proceeding, I think, knows things really well, 16 and you're experts in your area, but where 17 you're referring to acronyms, abbreviations, that type of thing, please take the time to 18 also give what it means. 19 The one that came up 20 in our conversation was ASME, I think it was, 21 where when someone said it at lunch time, I 22 thought, Oh, now I know what you're talking 23 So just in terms of that, I think even 24 once we get it -- get it on once, then it's --

then it's easier to grasp. And, as well, our

court reporters, while they come and do the

25

26

1	hearings for us, are not co	onversant in that
2	same terminology that every	yone else can be. So
3	if you can try and keep tha	at in mind, that
4	would be helpful.	
5	So we're just past 1.	Looking at the
6	schedule, we would be look:	ing at a break around
7	3:00 or so.	
8	Mr. Sawyer, do you	can you give me any
9	idea of what you're anticip	pating for time
10	for with this witness pa	anel?
11	M. SAWYER:	I am I I
12	probably have I was a b	it rushed this
13	morning 'cause I thought I	wasn't going to get
14	through my questions.	
15	THE CHAIR:	Yes, I realize.
16	M. SAWYER:	And so I could
17	occupy the full time, but I	I probably would be
18	done a little bit sooner.	
19	THE CHAIR:	Okay. So
20	M. SAWYER:	I mean, I know
21	that's not helpful, but	
22	THE CHAIR:	Okay. So perhaps
23	3-ish; perhaps sooner. Is	that what you're
24	thinking?	
25	M. SAWYER:	Well
26	THE CHAIR:	Because for now 'til

1	3 gives us nearly two hours, really, is
2	M. SAWYER: When I look at the
3	time I was allocated, I have theoretically
4	have an hour and 20 minutes left.
5	THE CHAIR: Yes. And Ms. Arruda
6	and I discussed that over lunch, and
7	recognizing that we did take some time on
8	deliberations and that, we're thinking that
9	sort of in the hour hour forty five or in
10	that neighbourhood and recognizing that there's
11	creeping on that.
12	So what I would suggest is let's go with an
13	aim towards a 3:00 break, and we'll see where
14	you're at. If you're finished earlier than
15	that, then we'll look at what comes up next on
16	the schedule.
17	M. SAWYER: I can assure the
18	Panel I have no interest in dragging it out.
19	THE CHAIR: No. We appreciate
20	that, Mr. Sawyer.
21	M. SAWYER: I'll be done by 3 or
22	a little bit sooner.
23	THE CHAIR: Thank you very much
24	for that.
25	So I would say, Go ahead and proceed, then,
26	Mr. Sawyer.

```
1
         M. SAWYER:
                                     Okay. So following
 2
         up on your advice, Commissioner Chiasson,
 3
         the -- I had the photographs of the ATV on the
         road that I did not enter in as an exhibit, and
 4
         it's
 5
 6
         AQ Number 14, page 1.
              Could we have an exhibit number for that?
                                     All right.
 8
         THE CHAIR:
                                                  We'll
 9
         get -- sorry. We'll get Ms. Chijioke to do
10
         that for us.
                                     Commissioner
11
         O. CHIJIOKE:
12
         Chiasson, the next exhibit number will be
         Exhibit 223.1.
13
14
         THE CHAIR:
                                     All right.
                                                  223.1.
15
              EXHIBIT 223.1 - 2024-11-20 Judd AQ 14
16
              - Photographs
17
         M. SAWYER:
                                     Okay.
                                            On that, I
         will continue.
18
19
         THE CHAIR:
                                     Thank you.
20
         PAUL KUNKEL, ERIN MACZUGA, KEN SCHEIRER,
21
         DARRELL ARCHIBALD, BRIAN DEW, BRAD FOOTE,
22
         JACQUELINE REDBURN, Previously Affirmed.
23
         LUC SIMON, Previously Sworn
24
         M. SAWYER:
                                     Gentlemen, ladies,
25
         did you enjoy your lunch break? Perfect.
26
         qlad.
```

1	Q	M. SAWYER: Now, gentlemen, in
2	V	
		your application, you referenced a Shell
3		pipeline integrity management document filed as
4		Exhibit 002.02, PDF page 394. And in that
5		document, it stated: (as read)
6		Exposed pipelines, water crushing
7		issues, and unstable slopes must also
8		be reported to the regulatory
9		authority. [And then it went on to
10		say] Remedial repair project shall be
11		initiated.
12		Can you tell me what does that sentence "shall
13		be initiated" mean to Pieridae?
14	A	K. SCHEIRER: Sorry. Excuse me.
15		Could you repeat the the PDF page number of
16		that document?
17	Q	I believe it's 394.
18		D. NAFFIN: Sorry.
19		THE CHAIR: Would you like that
20		document brought up?
21		D. NAFFIN: Yeah. It would be
22		helpful to the panel. I think more expeditious
23		to Mr. Sawyer's cross if we could have those
24		brought up. Thank you.
25		THE CHAIR: Okay. Mr. Sawyer,
26		does that look like the right spot? Right

```
1
         document, right spot?
 2
         M. SAWYER:
                                     That -- I -- I must
 3
         have the incorrect reference. Let me just ask
 4
         it as a question, a two-part question.
 5
         M. SAWYER:
                                   Maintaining minimum
     0
 6
         cover depth is a regulatory requirement;
         correct?
                                   Yes.
 8
     Α
         K. SCHEIRER:
 9
         And when you find that you have a crossing that
10
         is not in compliance with that regulatory
11
         requirement, how expeditiously do you -- does
12
         Pieridae think that you need to deal with that
13
         problem?
14
         L. SIMON:
                                   Mr. Sawyer, we
15
         would -- of course, our integrity management
         program would identify that, what our thorough
16
17
         water crossing inventory and inspections that
         we do that are ranked based on risk and threat,
18
         crossings and slopes for that matter.
19
20
         issue that would be identified during those
21
         inspections would be reported to the AER.
22
         have done that in the past with a notification
                   VSD, I think, is the acronym.
23
24
         I can't remember the terminology or how to put
25
         that together, what that means anymore, but
26
         I'll -- I'll get back to you if I need to
```

- 1 clarify that.
- 2 Q Okay. And how long would a -- if you
- 3 identified an issue where a crossing was not in
- 4 compliance with the depth requirement, do you
- 5 have some set company policy in terms of how
- 6 quickly you deal with that, or can they go on
- 7 indefinitely?
- 8 A There are many components that would help us in
- 9 determining the urgency in the matter, I guess.
- 10 There's a risk component that we would assess.
- 11 The crossing itself, what is the impact both
- for environment and for public safety? We
- would identify that through that process to
- 14 them and -- and actually have time to prepare a
- 15 proper engineering assessment, a geotechnical
- 16 evaluation, and provide that as part of our
- 17 disclosure to the AER.
- 18 O Okay. Thank you for that, Mr. Simon.
- 19 Moving on --
- 20 A E. MACZUGA: Just as an addition
- 21 to the record to help Mr. Simon there, his
- 22 acronym there referred to -- DDS refers to
- 23 digital data submission system.
- 24 Q I'm sorry. I did not hear that.
- 25 A Digital data submission system.
- 26 Q Okay. Pieridae submitted a copy of a report,

1		pipeline integrity management program, 2023,
2		which was authored by Mr. Simon, and that is
3		Exhibit 129.08 on November 12th, 2024. Not
4		until after requests by Mr. Judd, Pieridae
5		provided a copy of its 2024 pipeline integrity
6		management program report, Exhibit two
7		thousand or two zero sorry 220.1. And
8		at page 7 of the report, and the report being
9		the 2024 report, it was stated that: (as read)
10		The current inhibition program is
11		being greatly affected by inflation
12		and global supply chain disruptions.
13		What does that statement mean?
14	A	L. SIMON: Yeah. Can you bring
15		that up? I can't recall exactly.
16		THE CHAIR: Is there a
17		particular page number we're looking for on
18		that?
19		M. SAWYER: My apologies. I'm
20		just trying to sort out whether I've given the
21		incorrect reference.
22		THE CHAIR: Mr. Sawyer, is the
23		sentence: (as read)
24		The current inhibition program is
25		being greatly affected by inflation
26		and global supply chain disruptions.
1		

1		That was what you had.	
2		M. SAWYER:	Yes.
3		THE CHAIR:	Okay. On my screen,
4		which is separate from the	e system, I see that
5		as I think PDF page 9 on t	this document.
6		Perhaps you can it	f you have a look at
7		the screen, Mr. Sawyer, I	think you does
8		that look correct to you?	
9		M. SAWYER:	Yeah. I believe so.
10	A	L. SIMON:	So your question
11		exactly to this comment is	s in regards to the
12		supply of the product or	
13	Q	M. SAWYER:	When Pieridae states
14		that your inhibition progr	ram is being disrupted
15		by inflation and global su	upply chains, I just
16		want to understand what do	oes that mean?
17	A	So if we're speaking spec	ifically to the
18		continuous corrosion inhib	oitor that's listed
19		there, we we inject that	at at our wells, and
20		the supply is from the cor	mpany here listed.
21		ChampionX is our supplier	. And, of course,
22		they have product that's k	olended from various
23		locations, from even acros	ss in Europe and
24		Asia.	
25		So there was with	the supply chain
26		issues over the years, we	ve had difficulty

```
1
         getting certain products; so we've had to look
 2
         at other options.
                             So basically they just
 3
         developed another product that was more readily
         available and that we were able to get the
 4
 5
         product delivered at that point in time.
 6
         was no ceasing or delays in our use of that
         chemical.
         And did the cost of those new products go up?
 8
     0
 9
         Like everything else, yeah.
10
         Yeah.
     0
11
         Yes, they did.
     Α
12
         P. KUNKEL:
                                   Mr. Sawyer, if I
     Α
               Just as a general comment, I would say
13
14
         this is a challenge that industry in whole has
         been facing, particularly since the pandemic,
15
         where the ability to source supplies, even
16
17
         services, has been challenged.
                                          But, as
         Mr. Simon has said, there are lots of
18
         alternatives available and -- and industry and
19
20
         Pieridae in particular seeks to supply -- get
21
         supplied with those alternatives.
22
         Thank you for that.
     0
         D. ARCHIBALD:
                                   I think it is
23
24
         important to highlight that at no point were we
25
         not able to source adequate corrosion inhibitor
```

and, like, to Luc's specification of the

26

```
continuous inhibitor where the lined pipelines
 1
 2
         would require a batch inhibitor.
 3
         So I'm just going to turn to Exhibit 129.08,
     0
         which is the 2023 report, and if we could go to
 4
 5
         page 8 of that report. And in that -- well,
 6
         I'll just wait until that comes up.
         E. ARRUDA:
                                     Mr. Sawyer, are you
 8
         referring to the PDF page numbers or the page
 9
         numbers on the bottom of the written page?
10
         M. SAWYER:
                                     Sorry. I'm --
11
         I'm -- I'm referring to this report.
12
         E. ARRUDA:
                                     Okay.
         M. SAWYER:
13
                                     And -- and page 8
14
         or 9.
              You know what? I apologize to the Panel.
15
         I seem to have gotten my references mucked up,
16
17
         and I -- I'll see if I can muddle my way
         through it. I apologize for --
18
                                     We'll work with it.
19
         THE CHAIR:
20
         as best we can, Mr. Sawyer. Thank you.
21
         M. SAWYER:
                                     Sorting this out is
22
         fairly important to my next line of -- can --
         can we take, like, a five-minute break while I
23
24
         sort this out?
25
         THE CHAIR:
                                     Yes.
                                           Let's do that,
26
         Mr. Sawyer. Go ahead.
```

1		(ADJOURNMENT)	
2		THE CHAIR:	Please be seated.
3		So, Mr. Sawyer, I understa	nd we are
4		straightened out now.	
5		M. SAWYER:	You know
6		THE CHAIR:	All right.
7		Please	
8		M. SAWYER:	As
9		THE CHAIR:	go ahead, then.
10		M. SAWYER:	As much as I'd like
11		to blame it on my team mem	bers, unfortunately,
12		I can't do that. My apolo	gies.
13		THE CHAIR:	No. That's quite
14		all right. This the re	cord is quite
15		extensive, and so it can b	e challenging at the
16		best of times to keep trace	k of everything.
17		So please proceed.	
18	Q	M. SAWYER: O	kay. So that
19		the last question was with	reference to
20		Exhibit 129.12, page 8, wh	ich the staff had
21		brought up on the screen f	or us. And under
22		(d), "External Corrosion C	athodic Protection",
23		if we come down to that ta	ble labelled "Major
24		Remedial Reports" "Repa	irs", under the
25		"Description" column, it -	- it reads:
26		(as read)	

1	Eight anode beds are failing.
2	Rectifiers already set to maximum
3	output. A few of them were designed
4	with weeping tiles for water
5	irrigation to help improve soil
6	sensitivity and are captured under
7	minor remediation table below.
8	Successful installation of horizontal
9	anode beds in 2020 and 2022 at a much
10	lower cost, approximately 80,000,
11	which appears to be a cost-effective
12	alternative rather than going with
13	deep semi-deep beds at an average
14	of 150,000 per location.
15	Unfortunately, a deep anode bed is
16	deemed to be required at WT 10-7 at an
17	estimated cost of \$230,000.
18	Going down to the bottom, that that
19	sentence that paragraphs that says:
20	(as read)
21	The anode bed replacement budget was
22	cut in 2003.
23	Why was the budget cut in 2003?
24	Discussion
25	T. MYERS: Commissioner
26	Chiasson, what I don't see on the page that

1	Mr. Sawyer just read is any reference to
2	Pipeline Licence 62559, so I'm wondering if
3	Mr. Sawyer might be able to help us out with
4	the relevance of the question before the
5	witnesses respond.
6	M. SAWYER: Thank you for that
7	question, Mr. Myers. The relevance is this:
8	It's that Pieridae has made the case that they
9	are a capable and competent operator who is
10	fully able to operate their system safely and
11	in compliance with the four scoping issues that
12	have been identified, and this is information
13	that they have filed as part of their
14	application or in support of their
15	application well sorry. Mr. Myers would
16	get up and say it was filed in response to an
17	IR from the Board, from the Panel; correct?
18	So in my attempt to undermine their
19	argument that they are capable of operating
20	the system correctly, I'm cross-examining them
21	on these questions from the material that they
22	submitted, and I believe that's why it's
23	relevant.
24	T. MYERS: The document we're
25	looking at is an annual integrity plan report
26	that includes more than just the subject

```
1
         pipeline.
                    It wasn't provided in support of any
 2
         application.
                       It's a 2023 document.
 3
         subject pipeline licence application was filed
         in 2021, so there is information in here that
 4
         is relevant to integrity monitoring, to the way
 5
         Pieridae operates pipelines, and things that
 6
         I -- I would concede would be relevant to the
         subject pipeline and to the issue in this
 8
 9
                   I don't see any relevance or
10
         connection with what Mr. Sawyer is -- is
11
         referencing here.
12
         THE CHAIR:
                                     So I quess,
13
         Mr. Sawyer, I think the Panel's question would
14
         likely be because we've got their -- the table
         box that's got location right next to -- right
15
         in the descriptor you have read out, and that
16
17
         is are any of those locations directly related
         to the pipeline covered by Licence 62559?
18
19
         if so, then I would say potentially proceed,
20
         but --
21
         L. SIMON:
                                   Yes.
                                         The 10-7 well
     Α
22
         site is associated because it's on the same
         lease as Waterton 61. As far as the -- the
23
24
         anode bed or the cathodic protection
25
         requirement, these were, as you know, all Shell
26
         assets back in the day. There was extensive
```

```
finances to add anode bed, cathodic protection
on the well casings, and there's not a
```

- 3 regulatory requirement. That is an asset-based
- 4 decision based on, you know, the ability to
- 5 maintain production on our wells.
- 6 We've used cathodic protection on our
- 7 pipeline, which is a regulatory requirement.
- 8 There is a significant amount of systems in the
- 9 field that we're able to connect, bond, and
- 10 achieve our target requirements for maintaining
- 11 cathodic protection on our pipelines, including
- 12 the subject pipeline.
- 13 Q M. SAWYER: Thank you for that,
- 14 Mr. Simon.
- 15 What is the -- so you -- you have cathodic
- protection on the new pipeline, and what's the
- 17 source of that cathodic protection?
- 18 A Oh, we have that pipeline sourced from
- Junction 6-12, which is physically connected to
- 20 that location.
- 21 Q Okay. And it's connected --
- 22 A To the pipeline.
- 23 Q -- by the -- the -- the old Shell
- 24 pipeline that you're going to re --
- 25 A Yeah. There's an electrical -- electrical bond
- there.

- 1 Q Okay. So back to my question: Why was the --
- why was the anode replaced with a budget cut?
- 3 A It was like any other business. We have all of
- 4 our items identified, and we risk rank and
- 5 consider where we can spend our money to be a
- 6 profitable organization.
- 7 A D. ARCHIBALD: And I think it's
- 8 important to note discussion around having
- 9 found better ways to install anode beds, and we
- were able to do multiple anode bed
- 11 replacements.
- 12 Q Okay. Following from that on -- on the 2024
- report, which is Exhibit 220.1, at page 9, it
- indicates that the anode beds that were
- required at WT 10-7, WT 9-7, WT 62 have not
- been yet replaced, even though they were
- identified for needing replacement in 2023.
- 18 Why is that?
- 19 A L. SIMON: Can you bring that
- 20 up? Can you bring that up, please?
- 21 Q So that would be Exhibit 220.1, page 9. So in
- the table "Major Remedial Repairs", it lists
- 23 10-7, 9-7 and 22, and there's nowhere in here
- 24 it indicates that those that were listed in '23
- 25 have been repaired.
- 26 A Yeah. As I just stated previously, the

- 1 cathodic protection is maintained from the 2 existing anode beds in that area, and it's 3 listed right there that we have met potential requirements on that pipeline from upgrading 4 that rectifier at Junction 6-12. 5 6 So thank you for that. O But let's just zoom in on this question for So that you've told me that cathodic 8 a bit. 9 protection for the pipeline is coming from the 10 10 -- or it's 6-12, and it's connected to the 11 10-7 well. In your 2023 report, you indicated 12 that needed repairs or replacement; correct? 13 I identified it as a -- potentially, if we're Α 14 not able to maintain those levels. 15 And then -- and then again in the 2024 report, 0 it's also indicated as -- as a need. And so if 16 17 you could confirm for me that anode replacement at 10-7, has it actually happened yet? 18 19 No, it has not. And it is not required. Α 20 And -- and it -- did it -- the reason it hasn't 0 21 happened is because of -- related to the budget 22 cuts?
- 25 Q So you're going to replace that by tying into 26 the -- the -- the 6-12 cathodic protection?

23

24

We were able to achieve our targets by

tying into the existing infrastructure there.

- 1 A We're going to replace -- pardon me. What are
- 2 we going to replace?
- 3 Q So is the 10-7 cathodic protection going to be
- 4 redundant because you're hooking the whole
- 5 system into the 6-12?
- 6 A At this point, yes.
- 7 Q Okay.
- 8 A Unless -- yeah. That's correct.
- 9 Q Moving along. And -- and -- and thank you for
- 10 your patience, gentlemen.
- 11 Can Pieridae confirm that both the 2023 and
- 12 2024 reports that you authored, Mr. Simon,
- identified inadequate depth of cover on
- 14 tributary at Beaver Mines Creek. My question
- is -- and -- and that would be Exhibit 220.1.
- 16 My question is why has Pieridae not repaired
- 17 that stream crossing that was identified in
- 18 those two reports?
- 19 A It's like the rest of our systems. They're all
- 20 assessed, and we disclose that particular
- 21 location to the AER with -- our objective is to
- 22 continue to monitor depth to cover and to
- 23 identify a need for repair not based on any
- 24 disturbance of the existing cover that's there
- 25 now.
- 26 O So -- so the -- the idea that -- did you want

```
1
         to add something to that, Mr. Simon?
 2
     Α
         No.
 3
         So -- so this idea that when we go back to the
     0
         Shell integrity management plan that those --
 4
         those depths of cover issues, you know, shall
 5
 6
         be dealt with -- and I'm paraphrasing there.
         What you're telling us now is that you're below
 8
         the regulatory requirement, but then you
         monitor those and assess how -- how -- which
 9
10
         ones should be repaired sooner or later?
11
                As I mentioned, every -- every pipeline
         Yeah.
12
         has its own criticality. This particular
13
         pipeline that has low cover is inactive,
14
         discontinued pipeline, so the threat of a -- to
         safety or public safety is not there.
15
         So that pipeline across Beaver Mines creek
16
     0
         is -- is discontinued?
17
         That's correct.
18
     Α
19
         Okay.
                That's helpful.
                                 Thank you.
     O
20
              In the same two reports, you made reference
21
         to an inadequate depth of cover on a tributary,
         Yarrow Creek. And, again, the 2024 report
22
23
         indicates that has not been repaired.
24
         tell me why that one has not been repaired?
25
         There's -- yeah. We've -- we've done all the
```

scour assessments according to our pipeline

26

1		data management program using third-party
2		consultants like Matrix or whoever out there is
3		able to supply us with that expertise. Their
4		geotechnical evaluation have determined that
5		the risk of scour is very low, and we continued
6		to monitor that according to our commitments to
7		the AER, which was done with in this case
8		the VSD which is a voluntary self-disclosure
9		back in 2021, I believe.
10	Q	And one of those third-party consultants was
11		Matrix, and they told you it would cost
12		approximately \$350,000 to repair the
13		depth-to-cover issue. That's what you stated
14		in your report.
15	A	Can you bring that up now?
16		Discussion
17		D. NAFFIN: Again, Commissioner
18		Chiasson, I'm struggling with the relevance of
19		Yarrow Creek to the subject pipeline and how
20		any of this relates to the four in-scope
21		hearing issues beyond some sort of broader
22		fishing expedition for every facility in the
23		Waterton area. So, certainly, we have a
24		concern with this line of questioning based on
25		the relevance of same. Thanks.
26		M. SAWYER: I would say in

1	response I think I might be repeating
2	myself, but Pieridae has made the case that
3	they're a good operator and that, you know,
4	they follow all the regulations, and I'm using
5	the information that they've submitted, their
6	documents, to challenge that the credibility
7	that they are actually good operators. And so,
8	you know, does Yarrow Creek have anything
9	directly to do with the subject pipeline? No.
10	Does it have something to do with the
11	credibility of Pieridae's often-repeated claims
12	that they have the capability to manage that
13	pipeline safely or to manage it to protect the
14	environment? Then absolutely their track
15	record is relevant. And and that's why I'm
16	asking the questions. It's not to go back to
17	the subject pipeline, per se. It's to test and
18	challenge Pieridae's credibility that they can
19	meet the four criteria that have been
20	identified as issues in this hearing.
21	THE CHAIR: We recognize that,
22	Mr. Sawyer. That's become clear to us through
23	your cross-examination. I would note that on
24	this we do have Mr. Simon saying that with
25	that, it varies from pipeline to pipeline
26	depending on the particular pipeline, and, as

1		such, I think we would strongly encourage you
2		to move on on this point.
3	0	
	Q	
4		Exhibit 124.04 at page 25, and this is the
5		Pieridae's response to an information request
6		from Mr. Judd, and in that information request
7		Pieridae has indicated that it keeps bell holes
8		locked due to confined space hazards associated
9		with bell hole enclosures. And if you could
10		turn to Aid to Cross-Examination Number 14.
11		Now, gentlemen, have you seen this aid to
12		cross-examination prior to today?
13	A	D. ARCHIBALD: Yes, I have.
14	Q	Okay. So when prior to the construction
14 15	Q	Okay. So when prior to the construction started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023,
	Q	
15	Q	started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023,
15 16	Q	started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023, Mike Judd and I and several others took a tour
15 16 17	Q	started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023, Mike Judd and I and several others took a tour up to the 6-12 site and and while we were on
15 16 17 18	Q	started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023, Mike Judd and I and several others took a tour up to the 6-12 site and and while we were on that tour, we found two different bell hole
15 16 17 18 19	Q	started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023, Mike Judd and I and several others took a tour up to the 6-12 site and and while we were on that tour, we found two different bell hole covers that the locks had been either entirely
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q	started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023, Mike Judd and I and several others took a tour up to the 6-12 site and and while we were on that tour, we found two different bell hole covers that the locks had been either entirely removed or, in the case of this one here, which
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023, Mike Judd and I and several others took a tour up to the 6-12 site and and while we were on that tour, we found two different bell hole covers that the locks had been either entirely removed or, in the case of this one here, which is just upstream of 6-12, the padlock was still
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q	started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023, Mike Judd and I and several others took a tour up to the 6-12 site and and while we were on that tour, we found two different bell hole covers that the locks had been either entirely removed or, in the case of this one here, which is just upstream of 6-12, the padlock was still hanging on the chain but unlocked.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q	started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023, Mike Judd and I and several others took a tour up to the 6-12 site and and while we were on that tour, we found two different bell hole covers that the locks had been either entirely removed or, in the case of this one here, which is just upstream of 6-12, the padlock was still hanging on the chain but unlocked. And you can see if you scroll down on those
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q	started on your pipeline in the fall of 2023, Mike Judd and I and several others took a tour up to the 6-12 site and and while we were on that tour, we found two different bell hole covers that the locks had been either entirely removed or, in the case of this one here, which is just upstream of 6-12, the padlock was still hanging on the chain but unlocked. And you can see if you scroll down on those paragraphs, if you would, to the next page,

1		And if you scroll down one more photograph,
2		you'll see the second bell hole, which is
3		farther down towards Junction J, again, without
4		a lock on the roof. So if it is Pieridae's
5		response to information request that you keep
6		those locked as part of your safety program so
7		that the public are not endangered, then how is
8		it that on a random day when we show up there
9		without any effort whatsoever, we find two of
10		your bell holes completely unsecured?
11	A	So, like as you said, Mr. Sawyer, locks are
12		required. All assets are to be locked into
13		sorry. All assets are required to be locked
14		and secured. We need to maintain security, as
15		you said, for public confined space, but on top
16		of that, support RCMP and their efforts in the
17		area with crime.
18		You know, we're dealing with thefts
19		constantly. And same as the rest of the
20		industry, as we know, batteries, copper thefts.
21		So all securement issues like you've shown are
22		unacceptable, and each one of these would have
23		resulted in a security event.
24		So, like, Shell would've ran a fountain
25		impact management system. We run a maximal
26		event management system. So it's just

1		basically the same type of software reporting
2		for any HSE event such as this. So as an
3		example, through our we had the subject
4		pipeline well site 61 was confirmed locked on a
5		Sunday two weeks ago, and by Wednesday, it had
6		already been the lock had been cut. No
7		copper was stolen; so nothing was reported to
8		the RCMP, but a security event was put in.
9		So any missing securement, our process is
10		once it's found, we have to put in a security
11		event on this. So and we have over a
12		hundred bell holes. And, you know, what was
13		acceptable for securement years ago as far as
14		maintaining, it's not acceptable now because of
15		just the pressure is on us; so we have to be
16		continuously checking. So if they're found
17		locked, that would be a performance issue,
18		unacceptable, and we have processes to deal
19		with it.
20	A	P. KUNKEL: Sorry. I would also
21		like to add that is not a unique Pieridae
22		problem. This is certainly something that's
23		happening more often in the industry all the
24		time, particularly vandalism and theft, and we
25		have actually gone to lengths to communicate
26		with communities in which we work. We have

1		sent out letters that update communities with
2		regards to our activities, and we have included
3		this issue in the community letters asking for
4		their support and their help to watch out for
5		incidents like this and identify them so that
6		we can deal with them as well.
7	Q	So when we when we put in that information
8		request, your response was, you know, Our
9		policy is to always keep these locked. We
10		discovered two instances on one day, which is a
11		very small sample size in terms of your
12		operation and only in the Screwdriver Creek
13		valley, two examples where you didn't comply or
14		you weren't able to comply with your policy.
15		So my question is: In the context of you
16		putting in this pipeline and hooking up the old
17		pipeline up to 6-12, if you can't manage
18		your a simple thing like keeping bell hole
19		covers locked, what comfort does that give to
20		Judd that you can operate your pipeline and its
21		associated facilities according to the
22		regulations and to protect his safety?
23	А	D. ARCHIBALD: I think I have
24		well, I think the team that I have is very
25		focused. I have a very experienced operations
26		team. We have 15 years of excellent operation

```
1
         in the Screwdriver Creek valley. We've had
 2
         good continuity coming from Shell to Pieridae,
 3
         and we have excellent practices and procedures
 4
         in place.
 5
              We have an integrity management program
 6
         that we've carried over and review every year
         for -- to -- to ensure that it's -- it's --
         still nothing were missing. Like -- like,
 8
 9
         really, we have the monitoring practices in
10
         place.
                 We have our preventative maintenance
11
                    We have multiple processes working
12
                    I wouldn't say a cut lock on one and
         together.
         a partially closed lock on the other would be
13
14
         indicative of all the work we've presented
15
         today or on the amount of work we do to keep
         our assets safe.
16
         Moving along. Can Pieridae confirm that the
17
         pipeline is in an area that receives some of
18
19
         the highest precipitation in the province of
20
         Alberta, on average between 500 and 700
         millimetres of precipitation a year?
21
22
         K. SCHEIRER:
                                   I'm sorry.
                                               Is that
     Α
         information on the record, Mr. Sawyer?
23
24
              It's not.
                         I'm just asking are you aware
     0
25
         that this is an area of high snowfall and high
26
         rainfall?
                    I'm assuming if you people live in
```

1 Pincher Creek or Beaver Mines that you would 2 know this. 3 D. ARCHIBALD: So I don't know the Α specific ranking of the area. Was that your 4 5 question? Sorry. 6 M. SAWYER: Okay. So I just O pulled that off a government web page, but can you confirm for me that the -- it's an area 8 9 that has some of the highest precipitation 10 rates in the province of Alberta? I -- I -- in the mountains I would say we 11 I'm sorry. 12 get -- compared to where? I can't 13 speak to if we have the highest. We do get 14 good snowfalls. It depends on the year. We do 15 get higher -- we can get high precipitation, depending on the year, just being in Foothills, 16 17 but I can't say how that compares to Calgary's level of precipitation or snow. 18 I don't -- I 19 wouldn't be able to say. Sorry. 20 Thank you for that. I'm going to pull up Aid O 21 to Cross 15. 22 M. SAWYER: And, Commissioner 23 Chiasson, I neglected again to ask for an 24 exhibit number for AQ LF 14, and if we could 25 have that. 26 All right. THE CHAIR: We'll

1		get Ms. Chijioke to help	us with that.
2		E. ARRUDA:	I think we
3		entered Aid to Cross 14 a	s Exhibit 223.1.
4		THE CHAIR:	Oh, that's right.
5		That was the photos with	the road and the
6		M. SAWYER:	Oh, it's all part of
7		one. Okay.	
8		THE CHAIR:	Yes. Okay. Okay.
9		We're dealt with.	
10		Thank you, Ms. Arrud	a.
11		D. NAFFIN:	My apologies. Just
12		so I understood that, the	bell hole photos were
13		included with the ATV pho	tos
14		E. ARRUDA:	It was all one
15		document, Mr. Naffin.	
16		D. NAFFIN:	into one
17		Exhibit 223.1?	
18		E. ARRUDA:	Yes.
19		D. NAFFIN:	Got it. Thank you.
20		E. ARRUDA:	It's in SharePoint
21		already.	
22	Q	M. SAWYER:	So the Aid to Cross
23		Number 15 is the text of	an email that I
24		received from Melissa Fri	edman Friesen, who
25		at the time was the commu	nity representative
26		for Pieridae in the water	field. And this is

1	dated $2023/10/3$, and it was sent to me, but
2	it's a generic email that was sent to a number
3	of people. And it says: (as read)
4	I wanted to let you know that the
5	company has made a decision that will
6	affect you. The position of community
7	liaison officer [which she was one]
8	has been removed from all sites:
9	Waterton, Jumpingpound, and Caroline.
10	I won't read the whole thing, but it says:
11	(as read)
12	I do not have many answers what this
13	will mean long-term, but from what
14	I've been told, you know where to get
15	ahold of someone to address
16	inquiries in the following ways.
17	And then she gives the emergency number,
18	et cetera.
19	So my question was, you know, there's been
20	a community liaison officer in the Waterton
21	field for 50 years. You know, it's been
22	it's a long-standing practice that people like
23	Mr. Judd would have the ability to reach out
24	directly to that person if they had questions.
25	Why did the company eliminate those three
26	positions?

1	А	P. KUNKEL: Thanks for the
2		question, Mr. Sawyer. This really comes down
3		to an approach on how to communicate
4		effectively with the stakeholders in the area.
5		We made the decision that the CLO was not
6		required in the area because we would rather
7		them communicate with a more senior person, and
8		in this case it would be it would be
9		Darrell. So in getting rid of those CLOs, we
10		actually have taken a strategic approach to say
11		that we want to provide more senior people to
12		be available to answer questions and show
13		our our our concerns and our ability to
14		deal with issues directly when raised.
15	Q	Okay. And and the elimination of those
16		two three positions, it was it had
17		nothing to do with Pieridae's financial
18		situation?
19	A	No. It was more of an approach and a strategy
20		in stakeholder communications and relations.
21	Q	And and since that position was eliminated
22		in the Waterton field slightly over a year ago,
23		have have has has your senior
24		representative had any reason to reach out to
25		Mr. Judd to discuss any projects?
26	А	D. ARCHIBALD: So we maintained the
l		

1 company -- the CLO previous mailbox where we 2 engaged with members of the public on --3 usually social investment comes through there, so we maintain that channel because that's a 4 5 typical operation that they're used to. 6 Mr. Judd, I haven't communicated with directly, but my foreman Lorne Harty has since the termination of the CLO position. 8 9 Q Okay. 10 I can look at the date, if you want. 11 P. KUNKEL: I would also add we Α 12 did conduct an open house down in the Waterton area -- I believe it was in April of this 13 14 year -- and invited the community to come and meet with our CEO and -- and Darrell. 15 I don't 16 believe Mr. Judd had shown up for that, but he 17 certainly was made aware of that -- that meeting as well. 18 19 Okay. 0 20 E. MACZUGA: Just to add to that, Α 21 there was also a group within the Waterton area 22 referred to as the "Waterton advisory committee" -- or group, "WAG" for short. 23 24 so there's been a number of email updates over 25 the last year as it relates to this particular 26 Waterton 61 project; one in April 11th of this

- 1 particular year, and then another one as recent
- 2 as yesterday as it relates to the particular
- 3 matter.
- 4 0 Thanks for that.
- 5 Tell us just -- tell us what WAG is and
- 6 what it's supposed to do.
- 7 A At a high level, the Waterton advisory group is
- 8 a community of stakeholders in the Waterton
- 9 area and as a means by which the community can
- 10 speak to operators in that particular area.
- 11 O Okay.
- 12 A D. ARCHIBALD: And Mr. Judd wasn't
- involved in the last WAG, but there were family
- 14 members from the people from the EPZ on this
- area that -- that -- that would've been.
- 16 So ...
- 17 Q And -- and, you know, the WAG is a continuation
- 18 of the Shell process. Now that Pieridae's
- 19 running WAG, do you compensate people for the
- time they put in for participating in WAG?
- 21 A So WAG is a community group. In -- the last
- 22 WAG we had in town was actually attended by our
- 23 chief executive officer, Darcy Reding, to make
- all in roads in with the community. And if
- 25 people wanted to join the WAG, it's voluntary,
- 26 and I guess the only compensation would be

- 1 coffee and doughnuts.
- 2 Q Okay. So I would put it to you that -- I mean,
- 3 Pieridae's -- as an energy company, your
- 4 objective is to make a profit for your
- 5 shareholders; correct?
- 6 A P. KUNKEL: In a manner that's
- 7 safe and responsible, yes.
- 8 Q Yeah. Accepted.
- 9 So why would a company like Pieridae expect
- 10 members of the public who -- who are not going
- 11 to make a profit from your activities to donate
- their time so that you can facilitate your
- 13 public consultation process?
- 14 A I would say out of interest in what we're doing
- and recognition to what we bring to the areas
- in which we do our business. We do provide
- 17 services, we do provide jobs, we pay taxes, and
- 18 we are contributors in the community. So I --
- 19 I would -- I would assume it would be out of
- interest on those fronts.
- 21 A D. ARCHIBALD: And I also want to
- 22 add the last WAG -- a lot of positive feedback
- on our weed management control. We had
- 24 excellent feedback on our social investment.
- 25 They want to be there to thank us for what we
- do and let us know we're appreciated, and how

```
1
         can they help is usually some of the
 2
         conversations we have with the landowners.
 3
         It's -- they give us feedback on everything
         from the products we use for dust control to if
 4
 5
         they're seeing something that they could use
         help with on fencing or -- or anything.
 6
         just -- it's a good opportunity for everyone to
         get together, and I have never been requested
 8
 9
         for any financial compensation.
                                           They're very
10
         appreciative, and they recognize it as a --
11
         as -- as above and beyond.
12
         And -- and are there other people in WAG who
         participate regularly who file statements of
13
14
         concern and come to hearings about Pieridae's
15
         activities?
                                   As it relates to
16
         E. MACZUGA:
     Α
17
         this original application, there was one other
         stakeholder who submitted a statement of
18
         concern, and so that then was dealt with
19
20
         through the initial process of the AER
21
         application and through multiple SIOs, and they
22
         decided not to join this particular proceeding.
         Have they participated in WAG?
23
     Q
24
         Yes.
     Α
25
         Do you -- gentlemen, do you know the concept
     Q
26
         "talk and drill"? You have never heard that
```

```
1
                   It's -- it's --
         concept?
 2
                               "Talk and drill"?
     Α
         D. ARCHIBALD:
                                                   No.
 3
         Sorry.
         Well, it -- the basic idea is that large
 4
     0
 5
         resource companies use public consultation
 6
         processes to divert members of the public's
         energy away and -- and subvert them while
         you're talking, but in the meantime you're
 8
         still drilling. You're -- you're not aware of
 9
         that concept at all?
10
11
         (NO VERBAL RESPONSE)
12
         MR. SAWYER:
                                             I'm going to
                                     Okay.
13
                      I'd like to bring up
         move along.
14
         Exhibit 182.2, PDF page 23, please, on the
15
         second paragraph.
16
         M. SAWYER:
                                   So before I ask you
17
         a question about this, I -- I have a
         preliminary question.
18
                                 That is:
                                           Can -- can
         Pieridae confirm that its financial statements
19
20
         are public documents?
21
         P. KUNKEL:
     Α
                                   Yes, they are.
22
         You can?
     0
                You can find them on our website.
23
     Α
         Yeah.
         And -- and -- and this is a document that I
24
25
         pulled off of your web page. It is the 2024
26
         annual information form, and at page 23 of that
```

1		document in the second paragraph, it indicates
2		that that Pieridae's abandonment reclamation
3		costs for existing and active and economic
4		wells, pipelines, facilities was 495 million.
5		Do I have any reason to think that's not a
6		correct and accurate number?
7	A	The number is correct and accurate. I'd just
8		put it into context. So that's an estimate of
9		what the reclamation costs would be if we were
10		to inflate them at 2 percent over a long period
11		of time. So, for example, if we have a
12		facility that has a lifespan of 80 years, we
13		would do an assessment of what it would cost
14		today, and then we would inflate it over
15		2 percent for cleanup back then at that time,
16		80 years.
17		So this is really a representative of the
18		cost which would be required to clean it up
19		down the road. If you were to discount that at
20		10 percent, as we do with our independent
21		reserve evaluations, a comparative to that
22		would be 12.3 million in today's dollars.
23	Q	How much?
24	A	12.3 million in today's dollars discounted at
25		10 percent.
26	Q	And was that reported in this form?
l		

- 1 A Yeah. It's the line underneath there as well.
- 2 You can see it discounted at 10 percent is
- 3 approximately \$12.3 million.
- 4 Q Okay.
- 5 A Yeah.
- 6 Q Thank you for that.
- 7 And the -- the amount that you're --
- 8 notwithstanding that there's some discounting
- 9 and -- going on there, are -- are those for
- 10 assets that Pieridae owns?
- 11 A These are for assets in which Pieridae has a
- beneficial ownership in. That's correct.
- 13 O Okay.
- 14 A All of these numbers.
- 15 Q So -- so they would include all of the
- 16 Foothills assets?
- 17 A They include every asset where we have a
- working interest in them, yes.
- 19 Q Okay.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q So it's not necessarily those that you are the
- 22 licencee of record. It's if you have an
- interest -- working interest in it?
- 24 A These are ones where we might not be the
- licencee but do have a working interest in.
- 26 They -- they would be included in these

1 numbers. 2 Q Okay. 3 Α Correct. Which -- which is different from the deemed liability assessment that's done that 4 5 was alluded to by Dr. Finn yesterday. 6 Yes. Okay. O It's a different -- different approach, different number. 8 9 Q So I just wanted to get into the LCA 10 risk assessment just briefly, and -- and there's been a lot of discussion and back and 11 12 forth on the question of Pieridae's financial information and is it relevant or not relevant. 13 14 And my -- my question to you is this: Being that that financial information is public 15 information, why has Pieridae repeatedly 16 17 requested that that information be kept confidential? 18 The -- the shorter answer is if you are looking 19 Α at the \$495 million, that is for Pieridae 20 So as a licence holder under Pieridae 21 22 Alberta Production Limited, those numbers would 23 be included in that number, but that would not be the complete -- that would not be the 24 complete number. We have assets in BC, we have 25 26 assets in Nova Scotia and Quebec, and those are

all consolidated up into that number. 1 2 number that you see in the LCA is not disclosed 3 specifically in our financial statements. And it's a different calculation as well. 4 It's not -- it's not calculated as per the 5 6 495 million as alluded to Mr. Finn yesterday. It is an uninflated, un-discounted number on licenced assets. So it's -- it's a completely 8 different calculation. 9 10 0 So -- so the issue isn't the confidentiality of 11 your financial statements. The issue is, you 12 know, how you interpret that in the context of 13 the various companies that you have. 14 Α The -- the document that you refer to here is 15 on our website. It's --16 Yeah. 0 It's available. 17 Α Yeah. So my -- my next question is: 18 financial information that Pieridae submitted 19 20 to the AER at some point materially different than what you have as public information? 21 22 Submissions by T. Myers Commissioner 23 T. MYERS: 24 Chiasson, we have been through this in a fair 25 amount of detail leading up to the hearing. 26 all relates to the amended motion that was

1	filed, the disclosure that regulatory
2	applications is required to file the redactions
3	to the information that was contained therein.
4	I would note that all that information relating
5	to financials was redacted only because it's
6	when it's submitted to the AER, it is
7	confidential, but, more importantly, because
8	it's not relevant to the issues that we're
9	dealing with in this proceeding, which is the
10	reason it was redacted by regulatory
11	applications and further redacted by the panel
12	before it got released to the parties to the
13	proceedings.
14	So to try to come at it a different way, I
15	think is, again, similar to what I was talking
16	about yesterday, an attempt to revisit issues
17	that have already been decided, a collateral
18	attack on findings the Panel has already made,
19	and I don't think appropriate to be putting to
20	the witnesses here.
21	Submissions by M. Sawyer
22	M. SAWYER: Well, my response to
23	that would be that the Panel made a decision
24	to to release the LCA, and notwithstanding
25	that it was in its redacted form, one of the
26	questions that, you know, we looked in terms

1 of looking at that information was -- and in trying to interpret what that meant to these 2 3 four issues that are -- that are here is, you 4 know, how do we interpret that? 5 And so, you know, our approach is well 6 known to the Panel. We -- we took an existing LCA from another company where we could start to understand the process. We took the 8 financial information. 9 10 So it is relevant to us analyzing and 11 interpreting the -- the LCA information that 12 was -- that was released and then putting that into the context of this pipeline hearing 13 14 and -- and the four issues that are in front of 15 us. 16 So I -- you know, we're -- the truth of the 17 matter is we're not -- what the numbers are really don't matter to us. What we're trying 18 to understand is -- is how this fits into what 19 20 the LCA means in terms of Pieridae's capability at -- to -- to have this licence or operate 21 22 this pipeline. So I would say, you know, to a limited degree, it is relevant. 23 I wouldn't go 24 too far down this rabbit hole. If I -- if I 25 did, I think that that would be inappropriate, 26 but I'm just trying to establish --

1	THE CHAIR:	So, Mr. Sawyer,
2	tying in with that, if you	could help the Panel
3	understand. Because with	this Licence 62559,
4	the licence is issued to P	ieridae Alberta
5	Production Ltd. The mater	ial that we have up
6	on the screen, the materia	l that you provided
7	as Dr. Finn's evidence, sp	eaks to Pieridae
8	Energy.	
9	So what's the what	's the link there?
10	Tell us about help us u	nderstand, then, how
11	Pieridae Energy and that i	nformation is
12	relevant to Pieridae Alber	ta Production Ltd. in
13	Licence 62559.	
14	M. SAWYER:	Well, Commissioner
15	Chiasson, that's a very di	fficult question for
16	me to answer because if al	l of this is cloaked
17	in the veil of confidentia	lity, it's very hard
18	for my client to understan	d what actually is at
19	issue here.	
20	And, you know, the id	ea you know,
21	corporations using multipl	e corporations to
22	hold different elements of	their business is
23	is a well-known strategy is	n the corporate
24	world. And so I don't hav	e a clear answer to
25	you on this question, but	I think that, you
26	know, now that we've been	made aware of the

1 fact that the corporate entities are different, it begs the question -- still begs the question 2 3 if the public information from the corporation has been filed publicly -- there's been an 4 enormous amount of resistance about -- about 5 6 having that conversation about that public information. 8 If earlier in the process we said -- they 9 had said, Oh, no, no. The real issue is that 10 we're -- we -- we want to hide our information 11 for our other corporation, we could've pivoted 12 and taken a different approach, but I can't -now it's too late in the process, but I think 13 14 the idea that this is probably -- is treated as essential is -- is severely disadvantageous of 15 members of the public and Mr. Judd in 16 17 understanding the capability of a company like Pieridae to deal with the four issues that have 18 19 been set up as the issues in this hearing. 20 THE CHAIR: Mr. Sawyer, I would 21 say that that really doesn't tell us how it is 22 that Pieridae Energy is relevant to this licence, which is what we are -- what we are 23 24 considering here in this regulatory appeal, and 25 because Pieridae Energy is not the licencee on 26 this licence. So what we're looking for is to

```
1
         understand -- I hear what you're saying
 2
         about -- we hear what you're saying about
 3
         confidentiality, but we're not understanding
         the link or what the link is that you're
 4
         looking to have us consider Pieridae Energy's
 5
 6
         financial information as relevant to this
         licence.
                                     Well, I -- I don't
 8
         M. SAWYER:
 9
         think I can expand on it more than I have, but
10
         I would go to my last question which raised the
11
         objection, which is a very simple question.
12
              Without asking what the information -- that
13
         they filed with the AER, I'm just saying it's
14
         materially similar to what is publicly
15
         available. And that's a pretty easy question.
16
         Submissions by T. Myers (Reply)
17
         T. MYERS:
                                     And I would suggest
         to you if he's going ask that guestion, he
18
         might as well ask the direct question, which
19
              What is the information that was filed
20
         is:
                        And, again, our view is that
21
         with the AER?
22
         information is not only confidential as far as
23
         the AER's treatment of it goes, but it's also
24
         irrelevant because it's been specifically
25
         scoped out from the issues here in this
26
         proceeding.
```

1	So we've got a number of concerns with the
2	line of questioning, with the documentation
3	that he's trying to rely on. I think what's
4	clear is that the information that Mr. Sawyer
5	and Dr. Finn have relied upon, they haven't
6	done an appropriate analysis of the entity that
7	we're here to talk about, which is the
8	licencee, and they are trying to to fish
9	around for information, which Mr. Sawyer says
10	that this information is putting Mr. Judd at a
11	disadvantage. This isn't new publicly
12	available information. Companies file this
13	information every year all the time. So to
14	suggest that he's at some sort of disadvantage
15	because he's just identified it now doesn't go
16	to the issue of relevance that we're most
17	concerned about.
18	THE CHAIR: Okay. Just a
19	moment, please.
20	(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)
21	Ruling
22	THE CHAIR: So, Mr. Sawyer,
23	we're going to ask you to move on from this.
24	We're not satisfied that we're convinced of the
25	relevance of financial information for Pieridae
26	Energy at this point in vis-à-vis this

1		licence and Pieridae Alberta Production Limited
2		as the licencee.
3		We think we've been abundantly clear in
4		terms of what's in scope and what's not and in
5		our direction vis-à-vis the LCA and that
6		disclosure in which we excluded financial
7		information and directed production of the LCA,
8		particularly in relation to performance
9		measures. So at this point we would like to
10		you move on.
11		M. SAWYER: Thank you for that
12		direction.
13	Q	M. SAWYER: I'd like to ask a
14		couple questions about the environmental
15		assessment work that was done, and I don't
16		think we have to pull up the document because
17		there's quite a bit of it, but when you
18		established the regional study area, you
19		excluded all public lands from that regional
20		study area; is that correct?
21	A	J. REDBURN: That's not correct.
22		The RCA included private and public land as the
23		boundary encompasses.
24	Q	So if we think about the the lands that are
25		off to the north like up in the Lynx Creek Road
26		which are all public or privately owned

1		lands, but those were excluded from the
2		regional study area, were they not?
3	A	They were not excluded. The RCA was
4		established as the area delineated on our maps
5		and that included private and public land.
6	Q	Okay. So that being the case, when you were
7		doing the cumulative effects assessment with
8		respect to land disturbances specifically, I'm
9		referring to logging you only looked at the
10		logging that was occurring on public lands.
11		You did not look at the logging that was
12		happening on private lands. Is that not
13		correct?
14	А	When we were conducting the cumulative effects
15		assessment, we did evaluate activities on
16		private land and private land; however, it is
17		often difficult to assess activities on private
18		land because we are basing that on publicly
19		available information. And so the logging
20		
21		activity that you might be referring to was
		activity that you might be referring to was submitted as an exhibit, 1.26.06, Exhibit E,
22		
		submitted as an exhibit, 1.26.06, Exhibit E,
22		submitted as an exhibit, 1.26.06, Exhibit E, logging. And in that case, the logging
22		submitted as an exhibit, 1.26.06, Exhibit E, logging. And in that case, the logging activity that was presented in that exhibit was
22 23 24		submitted as an exhibit, 1.26.06, Exhibit E, logging. And in that case, the logging activity that was presented in that exhibit was not missed or ignored by Trace as the aerial

1 Google -- and June 2021 in Esri, world imagery 2 did not show the tree clearing noted in that 3 exhibit. So your review of Exhibit E was most likely 4 5 the imagery taken in August 2023, which was not 6 available at the time that we completed our 7 updated environmental assessment dated 8 September 13th, 2023. 9 0 And since you've seen that Google image that I 10 marked up, have you gone back and -- and rethought your conclusions in terms of 11 cumulative effects? 12 13 If the evidence of the clearing had been Α 14 present when we initially did our -- our 15 review, it would not have changed our analysis or conclusions. 16 17 Okay. Follow-up question: In your EA document, you referred to -- I think you 18 referred to it as a "wildlife check" where you 19 20 had a biologist check on grizzly bears' use in the area, and I believe that it -- I mean, you 21 22 could pull it up if you want, but I think it 23 said that he walked up the road and looked 24 around, and he didn't see any sign of grizzly 25 bears. Do you know what I'm referring to? 26 Α I'm just going to pull it up. I am.

1 0 Sure. One second, please. 2 Α 3 Α D. ARCHIBALD: Are you able to pull 4 it up so I can -- so we can all review --5 Sorry? Q 6 Α Are you able to pull the document up so we can all review it? Whichever is the document you're referring to. Sorry. 8 9 D. NAFFIN: Yeah. Once 10 Ms. Redburn has the exhibit reference, maybe we 11 could bring it up. That would be helpful to 12 the Panel and -- or this witness panel. 13 THE CHAIR: Thank you. 14 D. NAFFIN: And maybe even --I think it would 15 THE CHAIR: 16 be --17 D. NAFFIN: -- to the --I think it would be THE CHAIR: 18 19 helpful to the --20 D. NAFFIN: And maybe even to 21 the formal panel. 22 THE CHAIR: -- this Hearing 23 Panel as well. 24 J. REDBURN: The exhibit is 124.02, Α 25 and it's in response to Number 69, and so in 26 that response, we have listed that on

1 December 5th, 2022, a general wildlife sweep and grizzly bear den survey was conducted by 2 3 Chris Fisher, professional biologist, and then the consequent paragraphs just go into his 4 5 training to conduct surveys and the protocol that he followed to survey for potential 6 grizzly bear dens. And in completing 8 M. SAWYER: 0 9 your environmental assessment, did you review 10 the -- the historical EAs that Shell had done 11 at various stages along the Carbondale 12 development area? 13 In the initial EA, that information Α Okay. 14 would've been reviewed, yes. 15 And in that -- earlier EAs, were you 0 Okay. 16 aware that there actually was a grizzly bear 17 den within 1 kilometre from the pipeline? I know from that previous information that a 18 grizzly bear den was discussed in -- in 19 20 previous hearings on Mr. Judd's property. 21 And the biologist that you had do the grizzly 0 22 bear survey by walking up the road, was he a qualified grizzly bear biologist? 23 24 You'll note in that response that I reference 25 to Number 69. Mr. Fisher outlines where he 26 received his training -- most specifically from

Mr. Gordon Stenhouse, who is -- you're familiar 1 2 He's an expert in that area in Alberta, 3 and he is a qualified professional biologist and has conducted many den surveys. 4 5 And you're a qualified professional biologist 0 6 as well; correct? 7 I am. Α 8 0 And you know the road that he walked up? 9 Α Yes, I do. 10 Now, could you see a grizzly bear den from 0 11 walking up that road? 12 If you'll note in the discussion, Mr. Fisher Α discusses the types of features that he was 13 14 looking for. He would've also been using a 15 scope to identify those areas, and he evaluated the potential for grizzly bear dens. 16 if an -- if an area did not have any potential 17 habitat features for that, he wouldn't have 18 been going immediately to confirm that. 19 20 So it's unfortunate he's not here, but -- so O 21 you're going to have to answer this question. 22 But you're -- you're familiar with that -- the terrain there, and the terrain on the south 23 24 side of the pipeline that -- where the grizzly 25 bear den had been found is almost entirely

If you were

covered with conifer forests.

26

- 1 standing on the road looking at that slope with
- a scope, would you be able to see whether there
- 3 was a grizzly bear den there?
- 4 A Well, I can't speak to if I would be able to
- because I'm not qualified to do a grizzly bear
- den survey, but Mr. Fisher is, and he conducted
- 7 that survey. Grizzly bear den surveys are
- 8 conducted with a 750-metre buffer from the area
- 9 that would be impacted by the construction, and
- that's what he completed.
- 11 Q And -- and -- and was the grizzly bear den that
- was identified in a previous hearing within
- that 750-metre buffer?
- 14 A I -- I have not seen a reference to the exact
- 15 location of that grizzly bear den, and so --
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A -- I cannot --
- 18 O So -- so --
- 19 A -- speak to whether it was in that buffer.
- 20 O So notwithstanding that this gentleman purports
- 21 to be a grizzly bear biologist, he walked up
- the middle of a gravel road, looked out at
- 23 forested slope covered in conifers, and claims
- 24 to make the determination whether there was a
- 25 grizzly bear den there or not?
- 26 A If you're familiar with the site, Mr. Sawyer,

1		the pipeline right-of-way provides some
2		proximity to the coniferous forest that you are
3		mentioning. Also, that buffer from the areas
4		cleared is not is not a great distance from
5		that existing pipeline right-of-way, and so
6		from the road and from that existing pipeline
7		right-of-way, our biologist would've been able
8		to evaluate the habitat quality there.
9	Q	One one final question on the environmental
10		impact assessment. In in your mapping of
11		the watercourses and and wetlands, there's
12		one point in your report that you say that
13		within the buffer that you considered, there
14		were no wetlands or or standing water, and I
15		think it was within 800 metres of the crossing.
16		And, yet, if you drive down east off the
17		that access road onto the 7 Gates Road, there's
18		a a probably a 3- or 4-acre wetland
19		that's within the 800-metre buffer. How would
20		you miss that?
21	A	Are you referring to our IR response in
22		Exhibit 124.02 for Response Number 60 where we
23		do discuss your comments? So it was provided
24		an explanation why the water body located
25		NW 8-62-W5 within 720 metres of the proposed
26		pipeline was not identified within the

```
800 metres.
 1
                      Is that the water body you're --
 2
     Q
         Yes.
 3
     Α
         -- referring to?
 4
              And so our response was that we used a
         base 20 layer which did not have any water
 5
 6
         bodies within 800 metres, but it's also
         important to note that the feature that you are
 8
         discussing is actually part of Screwdriver
         Creek that's widening. And so in this case
 9
10
         from a purely technical perspective, that would
11
         be a lotic feature, not a lentic feature.
         so lentic feature is in reference to wetlands;
12
13
         lotic is in reference to watercourses.
14
         statement is an accurate one.
                                         We did not
15
         exclude that impoundment within Screwdriver
         Creek because we didn't view it as a open water
16
17
         water body or wetland.
         So in a normal case, would -- would your
18
         fisheries' biologists view a -- like, a beaver
19
20
         den impoundment as -- as not being standing
21
         water?
22
         They would view it as standing water but not
23
         viewed as a lentic feature as in a wetland
24
         holding water or a lake holding water, and so
25
         it is part of that watercourse system.
26
     0
                So I guess I'm having a hard time
```

1	understanding how your fisheries biologist,
2	when he walked down the road with his scope,
3	why he wouldn't have seen this 4- or 5-acre
4	pond of water. And and and more to the
5	point, it's one thing to come up with a canned
6	response after the fact when you get challenged
7	for missing it, but the real question is why
8	did you miss it?
9	THE CHAIR: Mr. Sawyer, we're
10	talking about a question that was asked in the
11	IRs and an answer was provided in the IRs. Is
12	there something new that you're looking up
13	beyond what was provided? Because based on
14	that, it's been asked and answered.
15	M. SAWYER: Thank you for that.
16	You know, I I have no further questions.
17	THE CHAIR: Thank you,
18	Mr. Sawyer.
19	So, Ms. Brezina, it is time for us to check
20	in with with you. We are interested in
21	hearing whether or not regulatory applications
22	has any questions. I would point out that the
23	Panel is mindful of the practice generally in
24	hearings is that cross-examination is not
25	extended to parties who are not adverse in
26	interest to the party presenting the witness

1		panel.
2		D. BREZINA: We have no
3		questions. Thank you.
4		THE CHAIR: Thank you very much.
5		All right. So I can say that the Panel and
6		staff will have questions for this witness
7		panel, so I would suggest that we take our
8		break now. So we will break now and come back
9		at 2:50.
10		(ADJOURNMENT)
11		THE CHAIR: Please be seated.
12		So thank you, all. Now, we we'll
13		proceed. We'll start with Ms. Kapel Holden.
14		B. KAPEL HOLDEN: Thank you very much.
15		Good afternoon, Panel.
16		B. Kapel Holden Cross-examines the Pieridae
17		Alberta Production Ltd. Witnesses
18		B. KAPEL HOLDEN: My first set of
19		questions are to Mr. Foote in regards to the
20		emergency response plan, but anyone else on the
21		panel can respond, if they like. And I'd ask
22		that we pull up Exhibit 190.3. That is
23		Pieridae's Waterton core emergency plan, and
24		specifically page 74. Thank you.
25	Q	B. KAPEL HOLDEN: So in the core
26	~	emergency response plan, in Section 2.9 it

1		speaks to sheltering in place. And it says:
2		(as read)
3		Shelter in place is the practice of
4		going or remaining safely indoors
5		during an outdoor release of a
6		hazardous substance. Shelter in place
7		has been demonstrated to be an
8		effective response during the first
9		few hours of a substance release where
10		public would be at the highest risk
11		outdoors.
12		Sheltering creates an indoor
13		buffer to protect an individual from
14		high concentrations that may exist
15		outside. [It also states here that]
16		The goal of sheltering is to reduce
17		the movement of air into and out of
18		the building until either the hazard
19		has passed or other appropriate
20		emergency actions can be taken.
21		My question to Mr. Foote is: Is shelter in
22		place still considered considered an
23		effective public protection measure for someone
24		living in a log house like Mr. Judd?
25	A	B. FOOTE: Yes, it is.
26	Q	And can you explain why it would still be an

1		effective response.
2	А	The sheltering in place is I guess in the
3		location of where his his residence is is
4		outside of the existing emergency planning
5		zone, and the predominant winds are typically
6		blowing away from his residence. So sheltering
7		is also conduct recommended if he can't
8		he's he's unable to a resident is unable
9		to actually get out of the emergency planning
10		zone in time or through it, and I believe there
11		was work done on his house previously
12		through through Shell as well to help with
13		his residence and the air infiltration.
14	Α	D. ARCHIBALD: And I think with
14 15	А	D. ARCHIBALD: And I think with Mr. Judd's residence outside of the calculated
	A	
15	А	Mr. Judd's residence outside of the calculated
15 16	A	Mr. Judd's residence outside of the calculated EPZ for the subject pipeline, you know, even
15 16 17	A	Mr. Judd's residence outside of the calculated EPZ for the subject pipeline, you know, even though the H2S leak is highly unlikely, based
15 16 17 18	A	Mr. Judd's residence outside of the calculated EPZ for the subject pipeline, you know, even though the H2S leak is highly unlikely, based on the following design criteria we have
15 16 17 18 19	A	Mr. Judd's residence outside of the calculated EPZ for the subject pipeline, you know, even though the H2S leak is highly unlikely, based on the following design criteria we have discussed through the the the hearing,
15 16 17 18 19 20	A	Mr. Judd's residence outside of the calculated EPZ for the subject pipeline, you know, even though the H2S leak is highly unlikely, based on the following design criteria we have discussed through the the the hearing, you know, like so there is no scenario on an
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A	Mr. Judd's residence outside of the calculated EPZ for the subject pipeline, you know, even though the H2S leak is highly unlikely, based on the following design criteria we have discussed through the the the hearing, you know, like so there is no scenario on an ERP where we'd require him to evacuate. You
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A	Mr. Judd's residence outside of the calculated EPZ for the subject pipeline, you know, even though the H2S leak is highly unlikely, based on the following design criteria we have discussed through the the the hearing, you know, like so there is no scenario on an ERP where we'd require him to evacuate. You know, with the prevailing winds and proximity
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A	Mr. Judd's residence outside of the calculated EPZ for the subject pipeline, you know, even though the H2S leak is highly unlikely, based on the following design criteria we have discussed through the the the hearing, you know, like so there is no scenario on an ERP where we'd require him to evacuate. You know, with the prevailing winds and proximity to the subject pipeline, shelter in place would

1		his the subject pipeline, the EPZ is on the
2		edge of the road and therefore would be at the
3		edge of any H2S release and at at no point
4		do we see him being at any harm from the
5		subject pipeline.
6	A	K. SCHEIRER: I'd like to just
7		provide provide further comment. In
8		Exhibit 2.02, PDF page 482, paragraph 50, this
9		is from the 2013-009 ruling from the ERCB.
10		They state: (as read)
11		The Board recognizes that major
12		benefit of shelter in place is that
13		people indoors, even in a building
14		that is not entirely airtight, are
15		protected from large momentary outdoor
16		peak concentrations of a toxic gas.
17		THE CHAIR: Actually, Ms. Kapel
18		Holden, I just have a follow up. I'm a little
19		confused with the answers because my
20		understanding was you're talking about
21		Mr. Judd's residence being outside of the EPZ
22		and the road, but my understanding is from the
23		evidence on the record that because Mr. Judd's
24		egress is through the EPZ that the EPZ has been
25		expanded to include his residence and his
26		regress.

1	A	K. SCHEIRER: That is correct. We
2		have expanded the EPZ to include his egress. I
3		think, to Mr. Archibald's comment, his
4		residence isn't within the calculated EPZ, I
5		think just to clarify what he was speaking to.
6		But he is within the we have included him in
7		the EPZ for this.
8	Q	B. KAPEL HOLDEN: Okay. My next
9		question and, again, this is to Mr. Foote,
10		but anyone else on Pieridae can answer. Can
11		you explain what Pieridae uses as its decision
12		criteria for emergencies and its response
13		procedures for implementing various types of
14		public protection measures such as
15		notification, shelter in place, evacuation,
16		based on emergency circumstances.
17	A	D. ARCHIBALD: So with any incident
18		like we would have to classify the incident
19		based on the information we had. We have
20		on-call management. So how the structure works
21		is we do have on-call management 365 days a
22		year, 24 hours a day. We have field operations
23		on-call 24 hours per day, and then we have our
24		control room is manned with ICS-trained
25		operators to support.
26		How it would work or how so, I guess, to

```
1
         make sure I'm answering your question fully,
         we -- we have -- in our ERP we have a matrix
 2
 3
         that would actually spell out, based on the
         information we have, how to categorize any
 4
         level of incident. And usually with, like,
 5
 6
         a -- as an example, Level 1 incident, it's
         where you have potentially an uncontained
         release and it's extending the -- on your
 8
 9
         holder's property, and that could impact the
                  So there's very set criteria in how --
10
         public.
11
         and then based on your criteria, it -- it'll
12
         give you direction on notifications that need
         to be made from Alberta Health Services AER --
13
14
         emergency responders.
         E. MACZUGA:
15
                                   Recently, too, I
     Α
         would like to add we are looking at
16
17
         implementing a newly -- notification mechanism
         through our emergency procedures, and perhaps
18
         Mr. Archibald can talk a little bit more about
19
20
         that.
                                   We do have the
21
         D. ARCHIBALD:
     Α
22
         mechanism for early notifications.
                                              So any
         individual who -- in the EPZ who requests early
23
24
         notifications, we would classify them
25
         differently on the notification criteria that
26
         you had asked about, and it's just about -- we
```

1 have a process in place that -- that is robust, and with continuous improvement -- we're 2 3 working with Behr to help make sure we streamline that process and make sure we have 4 5 an -- an automated-type EPZ callout list. 6 We're trying to automate to make sure it's more efficient. 8 0 Okay. Can you clarify when and how you would 9 advise individuals in the area such as Mr. Judd 10 to shelter in place. 11 Like, the physical mechanism of how or when? When and how, yes. 12 Q So the how is we would either have to call him, 13 Α 14 and if we can't reach him, we would go to his 15 residence. All of our ERP responders, rovers would have to leave a note if he's not there. 16 17 We would have to attempt to find him. And then the when -- the when is when, you 18 19 know, we're at a Level 1 emergency, you would 20 start doing your EPZ notifications on shelter 21 in place. And you'd always start in your IIZs, 22 and then you'd go to our PAZ, and he's not in our IIZ or our PAZ or the calculated EPZ, but 23 24 he'd still be contacted the same as if he was 25 in the physical calculated EPZ just because of 26 his egress roots.

1	Q	And can you also just clarify on the record
2		when and how you would advise or assist
3		individuals such as Mr. Judd to actually
4		evacuate when the emergency escalates.
5	A	You have to constantly ground truth, like, the
6		information you have. So if the situation were
7		to change, any notifications, you know, it
8		if the situation changes or, like, the ground
9		information, you would have to reassess the
10		level of emergency, and you have to make sure
11		you're making the appropriate notifications.
12	A	B. FOOTE: And if I could just
13		add something. The new system, the mass
14		notification system that Behr is working on
15		with Pieridae, the message is that will be sent
16		out to residents via email, text, or an actual
17		phone call so that it has three methods of
18		communication to advise they can advise to
19		either shelter in place, evacuate, or just
20		another another message that Pieridae would
21		like to pass on or if they a stand down in
22		the emergency.
23	Q	So just to follow up on that, if if you have
24		someone that doesn't have a cell phone, doesn't
25		have an email address, do you physically go out
26		to where you think they may be, to their

1		residence?
	70.	
2	A	Yeah. If there was no communication if
3		communication was never confirmed through that
4		callout system, then the information that
5		Mr. Archibald was talking about would then
6		apply.
7	Q	I think it was Mr. Archibald. You noted that
8		if the situation changes based on ground
9		information what do you mean by "ground
10		information"? What actually entails that
11		ground information that you're looking at?
12	A	D. ARCHIBALD: So the Screwdriver
13		Creek has well, one piece of information
14		could be we have an air monitoring station in
15		Screwdriver Creek. It measures wind direction.
16		It measures SO2 concentrations, wind speed,
17		H2S. So when we're deciding on roadblock
18		points, any potential PAZs for people, any
19		change in the wind directions, often, you know,
20		we have to make sure we account for that. And
21		that's that our air monitoring trailer,
22		it gives us realtime data.
23		And then on top of that, I would have to
24		to have I would have like I said, I have
25		at minimum two operators on call 24 hours a day
26		who would be supplying rover and roadblock

1		support. They are trained and instructed to
2		understand the responsibility to identify any
3		vehicles parked at gates that could be not just
4		landowners, but transient users, recreational
5		users. They have to document that, report it
6		back to the IC to to incident command.
7		And then they they have their own
8		they can monitor their air quality as well.
9		Our field operators can handle that as well.
10	Q	One moment, please.
11		In a situation where Mr. Judd detects some
12		odour, what have you advised Mr. Judd to do if
13		he does detect an odour?
14	A	If he does detect an odour, the direction is to
14 15	A	If he does detect an odour, the direction is to call in an odour complaint, like, to our
	A	
15	A	call in an odour complaint, like, to our
15 16	A	call in an odour complaint, like, to our emergency response line, and it would be to
15 16 17		call in an odour complaint, like, to our emergency response line, and it would be to shelter in place.
15 16 17 18		call in an odour complaint, like, to our emergency response line, and it would be to shelter in place. E. MACZUGA: Perhaps I can just
15 16 17 18 19		call in an odour complaint, like, to our emergency response line, and it would be to shelter in place. E. MACZUGA: Perhaps I can just also add the when we look at the recorded
15 16 17 18 19 20		call in an odour complaint, like, to our emergency response line, and it would be to shelter in place. E. MACZUGA: Perhaps I can just also add the when we look at the recorded the Proceeding 2.02, which includes the record
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		call in an odour complaint, like, to our emergency response line, and it would be to shelter in place. E. MACZUGA: Perhaps I can just also add the when we look at the recorded the Proceeding 2.02, which includes the record of the decision-maker, it also includes the
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		call in an odour complaint, like, to our emergency response line, and it would be to shelter in place. E. MACZUGA: Perhaps I can just also add the when we look at the recorded the Proceeding 2.02, which includes the record of the decision-maker, it also includes the original application in our information package
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		call in an odour complaint, like, to our emergency response line, and it would be to shelter in place. E. MACZUGA: Perhaps I can just also add the when we look at the recorded the Proceeding 2.02, which includes the record of the decision-maker, it also includes the original application in our information package that was provided to Mr. Judd, and that
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		call in an odour complaint, like, to our emergency response line, and it would be to shelter in place. E. MACZUGA: Perhaps I can just also add the when we look at the recorded the Proceeding 2.02, which includes the record of the decision-maker, it also includes the original application in our information package that was provided to Mr. Judd, and that information package has very clear information

```
1
         this, and it's the best -- advisable.
 2
              Also, every two years we, in accordance
 3
         with the requirements, go and engage with our
         stakeholders in terms of things, and we update
 4
         that contact information but also speak to are
 5
 6
         there any concerns as well as reiterating
         relaying the emergency planning response and
         preparedness activities, and so at that
 8
         particular time would be reinforced that this
 9
10
         is the preferred method and in a circumstance
11
         like this.
12
                 I just -- for a little further
         Sorry.
13
         clarification on that. When you speak to
14
         stakeholders every two years that you're
15
         required to, are you speaking generally, or are
16
         you speaking about people in the EPZ?
17
         Speaking to people in the EPZ.
     Α
         Thank you.
18
     Q
19
              In one of the responses to my question,
         I -- I think -- and I can't recall who said
20
21
         this, but there was no scenario that would
22
         require Mr. Judd to evacuate. My question
23
         there is -- so if he is sheltering and an
24
         emergency escalates, what are the next steps
25
         for Mr. Judd, or what steps will Pieridae take?
26
                                   So evacuation is
     Α
         D. ARCHIBALD:
```

```
1
         always a primary means of protecting public,
 2
         making sure they can safely evacuate.
 3
         subject pipeline, just with the proximity of
         his residence, it -- it is outside of the EPZ
 4
 5
         of this pipeline. But in the broader sense of
 6
         EPZ, we have -- my operators would be able
         to -- if conditions are able, they could assist
         in his evacuation, and we do have other
 8
 9
         resources we can deploy. There is -- obviously
10
         we talked about it in the responses around air
11
         support, if required.
12
              And, you know, in -- in -- but for -- so we
         do have multiple options between air support
13
14
         and ground support.
15
         E. MACZUGA:
                                   Just to add to that
     Α
         there, Mr. Archibald, this pipeline has
16
17
         specifically been designed to keep the product
         in the pipeline.
                           There are a number of
18
19
         emergency safety mechanisms that have been put
20
         in place, and I know Mr. Scheirer can perhaps
         talk to those a little bit more in terms of the
21
22
         circumstances.
                                   Absolutely.
23
         K. SCHEIRER:
                                                So as I
24
         have mentioned previously, you know, we have
25
         quite a conservative design on this pipeline.
26
         We should be operating at approximately
```

1		12 percent of MOP. The key safety devices that
2		we have in place around minimizing a potential
3		release in the very unlikely event that the
4		pipeline were to fail are our ESDVs at the well
5		sites as well as pressure control valves that
6		have very tight operating tolerances set to
7		where we intend to be operating.
8		The low-pressure shutdowns on those devices
9		are set at 1,000 kPa, which is very close to
10		where we would likely be operating; so it would
11		be a very quick trigger. In the event that a
12		line did rupture or leak and started to
13		depressure, those those valves would actuate
14		quite quickly, minimizing the release volume in
15		an event. So, again, I think that's reflected
16		in our EPZ calculations that, you know, we're
17		doing what we can from an operations and safety
18		perspective to minimize the consequences of a
19		release in the event that something were to
20		happen.
21	Q	Thank you.
22		Just moving on to another topic. I'm going
23		to address my question to Ms. Redburn, but,
24		again, anyone on Pieridae can answer this
25		question.
26		It was stated during Pieridae's opening

1		witness statements this morning that a small
2		amount of clearing was needed for a temporary
3		workspace and on top of the right-of-way in
4		early December of 2022.
5		It was further stated by you, Ms. Redburn,
6		that all RAPs, restricted activity periods,
7		were met. I don't think I need to pull this
8		up, but in Exhibit 124.17 and that's the
9		EA EA update 2023, it does state there on
10		PDF 2 page 2: (as read)
11		The project is located within a key
12		wildlife and biodiversity zone which
13		imposes a restricted activity period
14		on industrial activities from
15		December 15th through to April 30th.
16		Now, in Exhibit 134.06 and, again, I don't
17		think we need to raise it unless you have a
18		question about it there is a Table 2
19		entitled "Construction Activities and Schedule"
20		on PDF page 7, but it does not include the
21		December 2022 clearing that was mentioned this
22		morning in the opening statements. Could
23		Pieridae confirm which dates in December that
24		they completed their clearing.
25	А	K. SCHEIRER: I would be happy to.
26		The clearing activities happened on

```
December 11th of 2022, so before the
 1
 2
         December 15th beginning of the -- the
 3
         restricted access period.
 4
     0
         Great.
                 Thank you very much. Those are all my
 5
         questions for you.
 6
         THE CHAIR:
                                     Thank you.
              And so, witness panel, Commissioner
         Mackenzie does have some questions for you as
 8
 9
         well.
10
         The Panel Ouestions the Pieridae Alberta
11
         Production Ltd. Witnesses
12
         COMMISSIONER MACKENZIE:
                                        Hi there.
     0
13
         believe this first question is probably for
14
         Mr. Simon, but, as before, anybody else in the
         panel feel free to jump in and respond as well.
15
              So my first question relates to the Russell
16
         tool. You've talked a little bit about --
17
         today about the uniqueness of the tool and the
18
         recent challenges that you've had with this
19
20
         operation, and I just wondered since the tool
21
         was sort of first developed in the early 2000s
22
         are there any other alternatives on the
23
         marketplace that can do this kind of inspection
24
         in the HDPE pipe?
25
     Α
         L. SIMON:
                                   No.
                                        As -- as we
26
         said today, that technology -- or the tool
```

1		design is made to fit into this specialized
2		inside diameter with the thickness of the liner
3		that are installed in these pipeline gathering
4		systems. So they're the only thing as we
5		speak today, we haven't really gone out looking
б		for other options because this is proven to be
7		working for us with 15 years of, you know
8		or or being able to inspect our pipelines
9		and determine no changes in the conditions of
10		those systems with validation from from the
11		ILI results with verification digs.
12	Q	So at present you're pretty confident, then,
13		that you can I'm putting words into your
14		mouth. Are you confident that you can get this
15		up and running again to meet your requirements
16		for inspection?
17	A	So, yes, this particular tool, the it's a
18		4-inch tool that fits into our 6-inch pipeline.
19		Unfortunately, they only had the one. They've
20		been trying to build the second one for this
21		reason alone. It's to have the contingency in
22		case of an issue coming again. The tool has
23		been repaired, and it's just a matter of of
24		timing on our part for execution now and their
25		availability.
26	Q	Thank you.

1 I think my next one is for you as well, 2 It's sort of a follow-up question 3 from Mr. Sawyer's question regarding the anode beds and the protection that they provide from 4 the 6-12 junction. 5 6 In -- and, again, I don't think we need to pull it up, but in Exhibit 129.02 in response to IR Number 2.5A, you discussed upgrading --8 not you personally, but Pieridae discussed 9 10 upgrading the anode beds and determining if 11 adequate protection could be provided by the 12 rectifier at the 6-12 junction in the interim. 13 Could you maybe elaborate on what that --14 what you discovered from that work and sort of, 15 then, tying into your Exhibit 220.1, which was the pipeline integrity report, where on page 9 16 17 it was stated that the rectifier at 6-12 would require an upgrade. So I'm wondering if you 18 19 could just elaborate on what's going on there and what the status of the work is. 20 21 Certainly. So the upgrade that was required Α 22 for the capacity of the rectifier there, so, of course, the rectifier was designed for the 23 anode bed at Junction 6-12. Once we started to 24 25 look at expanding its capabilities, which is 26 the downstream pipeline and all the buried

1		structures up to that our new facility of
2		the subject pipeline, we determined that an
3		upgrade of the rectifier would meet our goals
4		of obtaining the minimum requirements for
5		cathodic protection mitigation against external
6		corrosion. So that was achieved and completed.
7	Q	Okay. So the work has been done, then
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	to upgrade? Thank you.
10		My next one is it's a bit more of a
11		generic question; so I'm not quite sure who to
12		address it to. It's maybe for Mr. Archibald.
13		We've talked a lot today about downstream
14		connected pipelines and the learnings from
15		or the challenges with using methanol in the
16		lined pipelines, and I'm just wondering are
17		there any other learnings from the broader sour
18		network out here that are being transferred to
19		the operating and integrity monitoring
20		practices of the subject pipeline?
21	A	D. ARCHIBALD: I think one of the
22		biggest learnings that we have implemented on
23		this system is with an aligned pipeline, you
24		typically don't need pigging facilities, but we
25		have pigging facilities to allow for easy
26		inspection.

0	Thank you.
×	And my final one relates to the ERP, which
	is Exhibit 190.03 on page 16, if that is easy
	to pull up. And on that page, it's
	basically it's an ERP activation Shell
	Canada notification.
	And I just wonder if you could talk a
	little bit more while they pull this up,
	could you talk a little bit more about what the
	arrangement with Shell Canada is under you
	know, if an emergency occurs, are they at all
	involved in the chain of command related to the
	incident? Is it just a notification process?
	If you could just elaborate on the process
	around Shell Canada's involvement.
A	D. ARCHIBALD: So Shell Canada, as
	the licencee holder on some facilities, they
	part of an ERP process when we do our other
	notifications would be to be activating or
	notifying them of any ERP at the Level 2 and
	higher in regard to, yeah, any of the assets
	that are licence holders too. But I can't say
	we have good communication. I have worked with
	both the individuals that are redacted from it,
	and we do have discussions. They have
	supported our ERP exercises, so
	Q

```
So for the subject pipeline, because it's
 1
     0
 2
         licenced to Pieridae, this requirement would
 3
         not be involved; is that correct?
                                             Or because
         it's part of the pipeline -- you know, because
 4
 5
         it's part of a segment of the existing
 6
         pipeline, how does that work in practice?
 7
         I hadn't considered that, but I would default
         to -- to notify them of any ERP in the
 8
 9
         Screwdriver Creek or any of my operating
10
         facilities that they're holding licence to or
11
         adjacent to in the -- as per the agreement.
12
         Thank you.
     0
         THE CHAIR:
13
                                     So we --
14
         Commissioner Robinson has a question as well.
15
         COMMISSIONER ROBINSON:
                                   Just a really minor
     0
16
         clarification piece.
17
              I heard that there's -- you recently
         created an early notification list when an
18
         incident is detected. Can anyone tell me if
19
         Mr. Judd is on the list?
20
                                   Based on our
21
     Α
         B. FOOTE:
22
         residence data records, he is not on that list.
23
     0
         Okay.
                Thanks.
                                   The list is
24
         D. ARCHIBALD:
     Α
25
         voluntary. They have to be willing to disclose
26
         that they would like to be on it when
```

1	approached, so, yeah.
2	THE CHAIR: Okay. Thank you for
3	that. That's all the questions from the Panel.
4	Discussion
5	THE CHAIR: So what we would
6	have next for today would be Pieridae has any
7	redirect coming out of cross-examination.
8	Mr. Naffin, Mr. Myers.
9	D. NAFFIN: No redirect.
10	THE CHAIR: No redirect? All
11	right. Thank you. Well, then, that wraps us
12	up for today.
13	Actually so tomorrow we would be
14	scheduled for Regulatory Applications to seat
15	their witness panel and for cross-examination
16	of the panel by Mr. Sawyer and then any
17	questions from the Hearing Panel or our staff.
18	Mr. Sawyer, I'm just and it's more so
19	just so that we understand in relation to how
20	the day might unfold tomorrow. I see we've got
21	three hours allotted for your
22	cross-examination. Can you give us an idea?
23	Do you anticipate your timing in terms of
24	what you might anticipate.
25	M. SAWYER: Thank you for that
26	question. I I think tomorrow's going to be

1	a bit challenging in terms of cross because,
2	you know, we're going to be in areas where we
3	won't want the AER Regulatory Affairs
4	probably won't want to respond to my questions.
5	So I think it's going to be relatively
6	short. Certainly less than the three hours.
7	THE CHAIR: All right. Thank
8	you.
9	And just to confirm with the parties, then,
10	the plan is that tomorrow was predominantly
11	given over to that, and then the potential for
12	any reply evidence after by Mr. Judd, at which
13	point we would anticipate closing the evidence
14	for this hearing and then breaking to allow the
15	parties time to work on final argument and that
16	we would proceed to hear final argument on
17	Friday.
18	And I'm assuming that that's still
19	acceptable to all the parties?
20	D. NAFFIN: Yeah. That's fine
21	with Pieridae. Thank you, Commissioner
22	Chiasson. And I just wanted to confirm that
23	the Pieridae panel can stand down and has been
24	dismissed. I think that's implied, but I just
25	wanted to make sure.
26	THE CHAIR: Yes. No. Thank you

1	for that nudge, Mr. Naffin.	
2	Indeed, Panel, you are	<u> </u>
3	THE CHAIR:	Mr. Sawyer.
4	M. SAWYER:	I agree with that.
5	We can do final argument or	n Friday.
6	THE CHAIR:	All right. Thank
7	you.	
8	And, Ms. Brezina, I kr	now you had indicated
9	in the in the opening of	the possibility.
10	Might I be correct in assum	ning that Regulatory
11	Applications may not want t	co make or a
12	commitment on this until to	omorrow after
13	tomorrow's proceeding?	
14	D. BREZINA:	I think that's fair.
15	Thank you.	
16	THE CHAIR:	All right.
17	D. BREZINA:	And if there's any,
18	it would be extremely brief	- - •
19	THE CHAIR:	Thank you. We'll
20	check in with you at the en	nd of tomorrow
21	morning. Thank you.	
22	All right. So Pierida	ae witness panel, I'm
23	not going to go through	there's a number of
24	you, so I'm not going to go	through you all by
25	name, but thank you all ver	ry much for your
26	time, and that, I know, mak	kes for a long day

1	sitting and answering questions, and we
2	appreciate your time and your attention. You
3	are released. You are safe now to talk to
4	talk to your counsel if if need be.
5	(WITNESSES STAND DOWN)
6	THE CHAIR: So thank you, all, today,
7	for your attendance and your participation. So
8	we will close up for today. A reminder again
9	to take all your materials with you, and we
10	will open again tomorrow morning at 9 AM unless
11	there is some problem with 9 AM that I'm not
12	aware of.
13	No? All right. We will re-open tomorrow
14	again at 9 AM. Thank you, all, very much.
15	
16	PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED UNTIL 9:00 AM, NOVEMBER
17	21, 2024
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

- 1		
	1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPT:
	2	
	3	We, R.M. Johanson and A. Vidal, certify
	4	that the foregoing pages are a complete and
	5	accurate transcript of the proceedings taken
	6	down by us in shorthand and transcribed from
	7	our shorthand notes to the best of our skill
	8	and ability.
	9	Dated at the City of Calgary, Province of
	10	Alberta, this 20th day of November 2024.
	11	
	12	
	13	- All phawan
	14	R.M. Johanson, CSR(A)
	15	Official Court Reporter
	16	Commissioner for Oaths Appointee No. 0693147
	17	ASRA Membership No. 115
	18	
	19	Or Appella
	20	_ Congredy lun
	21	A. Vidal, CSR(A), RPR, RMR
	22	Official Court Reporter
	23	Commissioner for Oaths Appointee No. 0749558
	24	ASRA Membership No. 443
	25	NCRA Membership No. 998452
	26	

	10-02 117:20	129.12 222:20	190.03 285:3	183:10 188:1
\$	10-7 136:23	129.18 122:2	190.2 122:7	223:22,23
Ψ	144:19 223:16	12th 121:8 218:3	190.2 122:7 190.3 122:9 200:1	2007 148:18
\$1.75 169:11	225:21 227:15,23	13,000 136:6	267:22	183:9 184:26
\$12.3 248:3	228:11,18 229:3		191.2 157:10	185:3,8,9,11
\$230,000 223:17	102.02 121:19	134.01 122:5 125:22	199.01 109:1	186:9,14 188:4
\$350,000 231:12	11 170:14,21		122:11	189:2,8,26
\$495 249:20	110 123:10	134.02 108:21	19th 120:25	194:19 195:6,10
ψτρο 247.20	111 123:13	134.03 108:24	145:18	201.02 125:15
	115 291:17	134.04 123:25	1:00 208:13	201.07 125:15
	113 291.17 117 170:5 184:4	134.05 124:2	1:03 210:4	201.4 140:16,26
(d) 222:22		134.06 122:5		155:18
(d) 222.22	11th 122:1 171:25 242:26	124:5 280:16	1:55 106:15	201.7 143:22
0	281:1	135 123:13	1st 110:11 121:21	2013-009 148:15
	12 113:13 117:12	13th 121:24	123:25 124:15 125:14	155:4 156:20
0002.02 141:26	136:12 195:2	123:23 259:8	123.14	167:23 270:9
142:11	279:1	14 202:15,19		2014 112:18
002.02 148:16	12.3 247:22,24	214:6,15 233:10	2	2017 116:9
201:17 215:4	124.02 121:25	238:24 239:3	2 108:22,26	123:21 170:12,18
0693147 291:16	123:17 125:4	14th 123:21	120:26 121:10	172:6 187:16
071 124:25	260:24 264:22	124:1	173:25 175:13	188:21,24,26
130:20 195:15,	124.04 233:4	15 149:25 195:1	176:6 180:3	189:20
19,25 198:2	124.05 126:23	236:26 238:21	247:10,15	2018 112:18,19
0749558 291:23	170:1 175:21	239:23 282:7	280:10,18 285:20	2019 148:25
	124.15 125:9	150 121:3	2,380 142:3	149:5 258:26
1	124.16 125:7	150,000 223:14	2.02 120:26	2019-009 154:6
	124.17 123:24	15th 280:15	121:3,6,9,12,15	2020 223:9
1 108:20 121:7	124:17 123:24 124:13 280:8	281:2	123:11,13 167:24	2021 116:11
123:19 144:1	124.18 121:25	16 116:1 125:11	270:8 276:20	120:25 121:2,5,8,
173:13 178:18	129.01 171:26	285:3	2.4 173:12 175:12	11,13,21 123:10,
208:3 212:5		161 140:19	2.4(c) 175:12	12 125:5 156:4 225:4 231:9
214:6 261:17	129.02 122:2 123:20 125:12	17 190:2	2.5A 283:8	259:1
272:6 273:19	175:11 283:7	174 121:3	2.9 267:26	2022 116:14
1,000 126:9 279:9	129.03 123:22	175 121:5	2.96 142:4,22	121:16,18 123:25
1,500 126:9 136:11	129.03 123.22 129.08 126:25	17th 123:9,12	20 111:8 149:25	125:6 126:23,25,
	170:1 175:21	18 112:13	170:22 173:5	26 133:22 143:2
1.1 123:18	218:3 221:3	181 121:5	174:3 176:19	145:18 156:4
1.26.06 258:21	129.09 127:1	182 121:9	187:13 190:12	170:3 223:9
10 126:6 177:10	170:1,4 175:21	182.2 246:14	209:4 213:4	261:1 280:4,21
179:18 181:20	177:20 178:15	18th 121:13	258:26 265:5	281:1
228:10 247:20,25 248:2	180:3 183:21	125:5 157:10	2000s 281:21	2023 110:11
10-01 117:19	185:17	168:17	2001 114:9	116:14 121:24
10-01 117:19	129.10 178:18	19.0 197:21	2003 146:9,13,22	122:1,4,6,8
			170:5 181:2	123:23 124:1,4,

14,15 133:12,25 147:2 157:26	26 200:9 27 125:3 175:14	3rd 124:14	55 125:3 57 125:1	66 123:15 68 201:16
171:25 172:13	2834 125:1	4	58 123:14	69 260:25 261:25
187:16 202:26 218:1 221:4	2:16 203:1		5th 121:2,4 261:1	
218:1 221:4 225:2 227:17	2:30 138:21,25	4 123:19 160:2		7
228:11 229:11	2:50 267:9	167:25	6	
233:15 259:5,8		4- 266:3		7 198:20 218:8
280:9	3	4-acre 264:18	6 170:4 185:17	264:17 280:20
2023/10/3 240:1		4-inch 282:18	6-12 144:20	7.1 136:7
2024 122:11	3 121:13 140:17,	4.23 178:13,21	226:19 228:5,10,	7.3 124:15
125:14 157:10	26 175:13 178:14	40 136:8 176:19	26 229:5 233:17,	70 123:16 179:11
168:17 209:4	213:1,21	423 121:12	21 236:17 283:5,	700 237:20
218:3,5,9 227:12	3,310 140:20	435 121:14	12,17,24	72 123:16
228:15 229:12	141:9,13 155:21	443 291:24	6-inch 282:18	720 264:25
230:22 246:25	3,500 137:18	45 139:19 175:13	60 126:6 179:5,11	74 267:24
290:17 291:10	3- 264:18	194:25	264:22	750-metre 263:8,
2024-11-20	3-ish 212:23	47 172:7	60-day 169:22	13 13
214:15	3.1 125:9	48 189:18	61 118:13 121:17	78 168:4
20th 122:4	3.2 125:10	480 148:16	125:3 140:10	79 123:15
291:10	3.28 143:11	482 270:8	144:19,24 145:5	7th 121:11,18
21 290:17	30 110:11		189:3,12 225:23	/III 121:11,18
216.2 155:23	30th 126:23	487 168:3	235:4 242:26	
216.3 122:13	280:15	49 125:1	610 137:19 141:15 155:24	8
22 227:23	31 126:26	491 167:24	612 140:19	8 124:14 221:5,13
220.1 218:7	31920 121:1	495 247:4 250:6	142:22	222:20
227:13,21 229:15	31920 121.1 31921 121:4	497 121:14	62 123:16 144:3	8-62-W5 264:25
283:15		4th 126:24	146:4 147:1	8-inch 193:23
223.1 214:13,14,	31st 122:10 170:3 176:4,6 202:26	4X4 131:15	227:15	80 177:8,11
15 239:3,17	206:6		62559 128:2	181:20 247:12,16
23 125:11 227:24	33 125:3	5	133:3 140:4	80,000 223:10
246:14,26	35 129:12		144:1 150:13	800 264:15 265:1,
2380 146:4	36 120:26	5 183:21	154:14 161:26	6
23800 140:11,13		5-acre 266:3	164:7 167:10	800-metre
144:2 145:20	361 121:9	5.08 136:10	224:2 225:18	264:19
157:13 159:13	364 121:11 142:1,	178:13,22	253:3,13	87 123:17
162:14 163:3 166:9 172:2,8,20	11	50 125:21 179:5	63 144:3 146:4	G1 123.17
189:2	365 271:21	206:10 240:21	147:1 173:1,20	9
24 117:11 170:16	37 114:3 123:10	270:8	64 123:14 144:3	
271:22,23 275:25	370 133:26	500 151:22	146:4 147:1	9 129:13 178:14
24th 125:6	38 201:17	153:14 237:20	640-metre 144:9	219:5 221:14
25 181:6,9 182:2,	394 215:4,17	51 125:1	65 140:14 144:3	227:13,21 283:16
7,11,18 233:4	3:00 212:7 213:13	53 125:3	146:5 147:1	290:10,11,14
, , ,				

9-7 227:15,23 90 170:7 176:20 90.02 121:17 90.03 121:19 90.04 124:26 90.05 121:22 998452 291:25 9:00 290:16 9:01 106:4

A

abandonment

247:2 abbreviations 211:17 ability 128:6 132:17 154:20 220:16 226:4 240:23 241:13 291:8 **absence** 160:25 164:11,12 absolutely 151:17 160:24 201:22,24 232:14 278:23 abundantly 257:3 **accept** 191:26 192:2 acceptable 179:12 235:13.14 288:19 acceptance 199:6 accepted 132:11 244:8 access 131:23 135:12 264:17 281:3 accomplish 179:8

accordance 131:19 136:3 183:7,15 195:14, 19,25 277:2 account 275:20 **accounts** 130:21 accreditations 117:20 accurate 122:25 124:17 126:15 127:8 130:17 184:22,23 186:2 247:6,7 265:14 291:5 **achieve** 226:10 228:23 achieved 284:6 acknowledge 163:6,12 166:6 206:21,22 acquisitions 110:20 149:5 **acronym** 216:23 217:22 acronyms 211:17 **Act** 201:21 203:7, 11,19,20 **actions** 206:18 268:20 activating 285:19 activation 197:13 285:5 **active** 247:3 actively 107:4 activities 133:14, 20,24 134:14 135:11 136:19 168:9 194:24 236:2 244:11 245:15 258:15.17 277:8 280:14,19, 26

activity 133:21 147:20 258:20,23 280:6.13 **actual** 126:11 130:15 143:1 274:16 **actuate** 279:13 **Acuren** 108:14 119:8.20 126:21 169:25 170:22 172:26 190:6 192:9 **add** 146:25 158:16 169:16 178:16 190:4 194:18 226:1 230:1 235:21 242:11,20 244:22 272:16 274:13 276:19 278:15 **adding** 144:10 addition 115:11 128:16 130:22 172:25 217:20 additional 137:19 172:16,25 175:8 **Additionally** 117:26 **address** 137:14 158:12 160:19 240:15 274:25 279:23 284:12 addressed 183:3 adequate 220:25 283:11 adjacent 286:11 **ADJOURNED** 208:13 290:16 **ADJOURNME NT** 153:22 166:15 222:1 267:10

126:18 127:11 adverse 266:25 **advice** 113:9 214:2 advisable 277:1 **advise** 273:9 274:2.18 **advised** 106:12 150:8 276:12 **advisor** 107:26 113:7 advisory 242:22 243:7 **AER** 122:1 123:19 125:10,13 136:3 145:19 149:7,12,19 152:22 154:4 158:26 164:17 166:2 173:12 191:10 195:4 207:18.21 209:13,14,15,16, 17.18.19 216:21 217:17 229:21 231:7 245:20 250:20 251:6 255:13,21 272:13 288:3 **AER's** 121:6,10, 13 130:19 255:23 **AER/ERCB** 155:1 aerial 258:24 Affairs 288:3 **affect** 145:11 240:6 affected 131:9 218:11,25 **affirm** 109:3 **Affirmed** 109:7 214:22 afternoon 106:15 209:4 267:15

agencies 200:4 **Agency** 118:7 **agree** 289:4 agreement 199:3,8 200:5 286:11 agreements 197:11 199:15,19 **ahead** 156:9 161:4 213:25 221:26 222:9 **ahold** 240:15 **aid** 197:15.20 201:25 202:14. 15,18 233:10,11 238:20 239:3.22 aids 202:7 **aim** 213:13 air 146:6.18 268:17 269:13 275:14,21 276:8 278:10,13 airtight 270:14 **Alberta** 106:14 109:20 111:11 113:13,16 117:17 118:6 119:9.19 128:14 139:23 140:5 155:11 167:14 178:22 197:16 206:23 209:2,21 237:20 238:10 249:22 253:4,12 257:1 262:2 267:17 272:13 281:10 291:10 alert 106:15,22 **align** 126:10 **aligned** 284:23 alignment 143:1, 5.15 allocated 213:3

adjusted 189:22

adopt 120:17

123:2 124:20

allocation 139:8	272:1 290:1	applications'	198:11 199:5	213:5 221:7,12
allotted 287:21	answers 240:12	128:1	201:22 205:16	239:2,10,14,18,
allowable 136:13	270:19	applied 159:8,11	214:21 220:23	20
	anticipate	apply 275:6	227:7 233:13	as-constructed
allowed 189:15	287:23,24 288:13	1 2 4	236:23 238:3	141:15
alluded 169:2	•	Appointee	241:26 243:12	Asia 219:24
249:5 250:6	anticipating 167:12 212:9	291:16,23	244:21 246:2	
alternative		appreciated	260:3 269:14	asks 133:1
223:12	anticipation	210:21 244:26	271:17 272:19,21	ASME 179:2
alternatives	170:13	appreciating	275:5,7,12	211:20
220:19,21 281:22	anymore 216:25	181:25	277:26 278:16	aspect 111:20
amended 250:26	APEGA 111:7	appreciative	284:12,21 285:16	166:9
amendment	112:13 119:11	245:10	286:24	aspects 111:26
122:12	apologies 152:3	approach 107:7	Archibald's	116:15 163:6
amount 133:15	218:19 222:12	176:14 241:3,10,	271:3	166:6
182:6,16 226:8	239:11	19 249:7 252:5	area 113:20	ASRA 291:17,24
237:15 248:7	apologize	254:12	118:12 122:6	assess 171:7,21
250:25 254:5	221:15,18	approached	131:10 134:2	177:10 217:10
280:2	appeal 122:16	287:1	137:20,25 171:16	230:9 258:17
amphibian 135:5	167:10 254:24	appropriately	177:4 179:12	assessed 193:8
amphibians	appears 223:11	156:17	196:25 197:8	229:20
135:17	applicable 128:8,	approval 159:9,	201:15 206:13	assessing 111:17
	25 183:7,15	12,14	211:16 228:2	116:14 171:2
analysis 153:8 161:8 256:6	application	approvals	231:23 234:17	172:15
259:15	113:23 116:13	149:12	237:18,25 238:4,	assessment
analysts 116:19	120:24 124:25	approved 143:17	8 241:4,6 242:13,	115:2,19 116:10,
	130:25 143:13,	166:2	21 243:9,10,15	11 120:5 123:9,
Analysts' 124:3	14,16 144:9	approximately	257:18,20 258:2, 4 259:21 261:12	21 126:22,24,26
analyzing 252:10	145:18,21,25	113:11 129:11	262:2,17 263:8	153:8 170:20
and/or 154:4	148:14 151:7,8,	133:26 136:8,12	273:9	217:15 247:13
155:1 197:4	11 159:14,19	137:18 173:25	areas 114:23	249:4,10 257:15
annual 118:11	160:21 161:1,10	223:10 231:12	115:2,6,9,15,19	258:7,15 259:7
193:24 224:25	162:5,12,16	248:3 278:26	117:5 119:4	261:9 264:10
246:26	166:1,25 196:19	April 121:2,4,8	128:23 142:6	assessments
annually 149:8	197:1 201:8	126:24 242:13,26	170:17,26 173:26	116:5 119:23,24
annulus 149:9	205:1 215:2	280:15	177:1,3 244:15	129:22 230:26
194:23	224:14,15 225:2,	AQ 214:6,15	262:15 264:3	asset 248:17
anode 223:1,9,15,	3 245:17,21	238:24	288:2	asset-based
21 225:24 226:1	276:22	arbitrary 139:8	arguing 205:3	226:3
227:2,9,10,14	applications		argument 224:19	assets 129:3
228:2,17 283:3,	116:6 129:19,21	Archibald 108:4,	288:15,16 289:5	137:12 148:25
10,24	132:25 133:2	19 109:6 112:8, 11,12 156:3	_	154:13 225:26
anomalies	139:11 163:16	158:15 169:16	arrangement 285:10	234:12,13 237:16
170:16	251:2,11 266:21	179:15 182:19		248:10,11,16
answering	287:14 289:11	190:23 191:21	Arruda 107:7	249:25,26 250:8
210:26 211:1		1,0.20 1,1.21	202:1,5,8 209:17	285:21
	I .	1	1	1

assigned 118:8	authorized	based 129:21	behalf 122:15	bodies 265:6
202:6,15	149:16	130:14 165:12	127:25 210:23	body 264:24
assist 164:5	automate 273:6	176:13 179:12	Behr 108:12	265:1,17
197:12 274:2	automated-type	181:11 184:11	117:10 118:15,18	bond 226:9,25
278:7	273:5	190:10 191:19	124:23 273:3	bore 134:3
Associates	availability	193:6 216:18	274:14	boring 133:19
108:10 115:25	282:25	226:4 229:23 231:24 266:13	belief 122:26	botany 115:26
assume 244:19	average 223:13	269:17 271:16,19	124:18 126:16	bottom 221:9
assuming 237:26	237:20	272:3,11 275:8	127:9	223:18
288:18 289:10	avoid 132:12	286:21	bell 233:7,9,18,26	
assure 213:17	135:6,21	basic 246:4	234:2,10 235:12 236:18 239:12	boundary 257:23 box 225:15
atmosphere	aware 106:25	basically 199:19		Brad 108:11
191:26	157:2 180:17	220:2 235:1	beneficial 248:12	109:6 117:9
attachment	186:8,12,23	285:5	benefit 270:12	214:21
141:22	204:8 205:14	basing 258:18	benefits 129:9	
attack 251:18	206:4 237:24	basis 160:8 166:4	Bennett 122:15	branch 113:19
attempt 172:13	242:17 246:9	batch 143:23	160:14	break 138:13,15 139:21 144:16
224:18 251:16	253:26 261:16	221:2	biggest 284:22	167:12 188:10,
273:17	290:12	batteries 234:20	biodiversity	11,14 207:25
attempted			280:12	208:2 211:13
146:26 157:25	В	BC 117:17 119:19 249:25	biologist 116:2	212:6 213:13
158:2	D21~ 170.2		259:20 261:3,21,	214:25 221:23
attendance	B31g 179:2	bear 120:16	23 262:3,5	267:8
290:7	bachelor 110:22	152:23 200:7 261:2,7,16,19,22,	263:21 264:7	breaking 288:14
attended 203:2	113:4 115:25	23 262:10,16,25	266:1	breathing 131:14
243:22	back 106:9	263:3,5,7,11,15,	biologists 265:19	Brezina 209:13
attention 290:2	112:25 135:24 154:26 165:4	21,25	biophysical	266:19 267:2
attributing	173:18 182:5,14	bears 259:25	116:5	289:8,14,17
185:26	185:11 191:16	bears' 259:20	bit 114:25 142:13	Brian 108:13
ATV 214:3	210:11,18 216:26	beaver 229:14	211:3 212:12,18	109:6 119:7
239:13	225:26 227:1	230:16 238:1	213:22 228:8	214:21
audience 107:5	230:3 231:9	265:19	257:17 272:19	briefly 130:9
August 121:21	232:16 247:15	bed 223:15,21	278:21 281:17	195:11 249:10
123:21 125:5	249:11 259:10	225:24 226:1	284:10 285:8,9 288:1	bring 117:10
126:23 258:26	267:8 276:6	227:10 283:24		142:9,15 160:3
259:5	background	beds 223:1,9,13	blame 222:11	185:1 218:14
authored 218:2	109:26 110:5,14	227:9,14 228:2	blended 219:22	227:19,20 231:15
229:12	111:3 112:9,26	283:4,10	blind 155:26	244:15 246:13
authorities	113:25 114:26	began 113:14	156:5,8,14	260:11
198:2,23	117:18	begin 110:4	blinded 147:5	bringing 184:12
authority 215:9	bare 147:19,22 148:6 182:8	182:24	blowing 269:6	205:5
authorization		beginning 281:2	board 148:17,19,	British 111:11
149:22	base 129:12 265:5	begs 254:2	20 149:17 224:17	broad 163:2
	203.3		270:11	165:19 167:3
		•	•	•

casings 226:2 checking 211:7 **broader** 110:26 **Calgary's** 238:17 215:19,25 231:21 278:5 235:16 218:16,22 219:3 cast 107:2,5 **call** 273:13 284:17 221:19,25 222:2, 274:17 275:25 chemical 220:7 categorize 272:4 6,9,13 225:12 **broadly** 137:11 276:15 **Chiasson** 109:23 cathodic 222:22 232:21 238:26 161:23 callout 273:5 127:20 138:8 225:24 226:1.6. 239:4,8 253:1 **broken** 189:8 275:4 152:3 159:17 11,15,17 228:1,8, 254:20 256:18,22 202:14 204:4 **brought** 140:24 camp 156:21,23, 26 229:3 284:5 260:13,15,18,22 209:6 214:2,12 158:22 173:11,17 25 167:20 168:2, **causal** 150:18 266:9,17 267:4, 223:26 231:18 191:6 215:20.24 14 152:5,17 11 270:17 281:6 238:23 250:24 222:21 **Canada** 116:10 **caused** 189:23 286:13 287:2,5, 253:15 288:22 **budget** 223:21,23 118:7 285:6,10, ceasing 220:6 10 288:7,26 **chief** 108:1 110:8 227:2 228:21 16 289:3,6,16,19 **cell** 274:24 127:22 243:23 **buffer** 263:8,13, **Canada's** 285:15 290:6 **central** 169:20 **Chijioke** 202:11, 19 264:3,13,19 **canned** 266:5 Chairman **CEO** 242:15 13,18 209:12 268:13 capabilities 151:16 154:18 **CERTIFICATE** 214:9,11 239:1 **build** 282:20 175:1 183:17 283:25 291:1 **choose** 269:26 200:13 **building** 268:18 capability certifications **Chris** 261:3 270:13 232:12 252:20 challenge 220:14 117:21 118:5 circumstance 232:6,18 **buried** 283:26 254:17 **certify** 291:3 277:10 **capable** 188:17 challenged **business** 106:11 **cetera** 240:18 circumstances 220:17 266:6 111:16,17 118:1 224:9.19 **CFA** 110:23 189:14 207:20 152:17 227:3 **capacity** 283:22 challenges 271:16 278:22 244:16 253:22 281:19 284:15 **chain** 150:19 captured 107:5 152:5,17 218:12, **City** 291:9 challenging 181:21 223:6 26 219:25 233:22 **claims** 232:11 222:15 288:1 \mathbf{C} Carbondale 285:12 263:23 Championx 148:22 149:1 **chains** 219:15 **C.L.F.** 209:6 clarification 219:21 180:14.17 184:3. **Chair** 106:6 155:15 167:17 calculate 142:6 21 193:22 261:11 **chance** 194:8 173:10 204:7,24 109:9 138:11,23, 177:19 care 174:7 190:26 **change** 274:7 26 139:5,17 277:13 286:16 calculated 250:5 275:19 career 113:14 142:12,17 145:1, clarified 155:22 269:15 271:4 **changed** 149:13 Caroline 128:15 8 149:23 150:10 273:23,25 clarify 162:9 159:1 259:15 240:9 152:1,2 153:18, 171:19 196:4 calculation channel 242:4 **carried** 130:19 24 154:23 155:7 217:1 271:5 112:2 115:7 237:6 160:18 161:20 characterization 273:8 274:1 178:19,20,22 171:20 case 127:26 164:2 166:12,16, 250:4.9 **clarity** 174:13 152:12 196:23 19 174:9 182:22 characterize calculations **classify** 271:18 197:12 199:22 200:8,14,23,26 162:20 117:7 118:16 272:24 224:8 231:7 202:10 203:8,25 characterized 130:14,17 144:12 **clean** 247:18 232:2 233:20 204:6,9,13,15,17, 171:14 151:12 153:1 241:8 258:6,22 19,21 207:23 **cleaned** 188:23 charterholder 163:11 177:26 265:9.18 282:22 208:1 210:6 **cleanup** 247:15 110:24 178:26 279:16 212:15,19,22,26 case-by-case **clear** 132:24 **check** 139:20 Calgary 209:1 213:5,19,23 166:4 147:6 152:13 291:9 259:19,20 266:19 214:8,14,19 161:19,21 196:5 289:20

232:22 253:24	285:12	commodity	competent 224:9	computers
256:4 257:3	commenced	110:20	complaint	106:18
276:24	106:4 133:25	common 188:10	206:13 276:15	concede 225:7
cleared 264:4	commencement	communicate	complete 130:23	concentrations
clearing 133:12,	160:1	158:25 191:9	143:25 157:14	268:14 270:16
15 259:2,13	comment 133:6	235:25 241:3,7	172:3 249:24,25	275:16
280:2,21,24,26	137:7 167:17	communicated	291:4	concept 245:25
client 253:18	173:22 219:11	206:3 242:6	completed 120:7	246:1,10
clients 117:16	220:13 270:7	communicating	133:11,21 157:15	concern 121:1
119:21	271:3	131:9	170:24 172:25	194:4 206:2
CLO 241:5	comments	communication	181:4,12 184:11	231:24 245:14,19
242:1,8	134:18 175:5	149:7,18 274:18	196:23 259:6	concerned
· ·	194:18 264:23	275:2,3 285:23	263:10 280:24	256:17
cloaked 253:16	commerce	communications	284:6	concerns 107:6
CLOS 241:9	110:22	241:20	completely 150:4	208:6 241:13
close 106:12	commercial		154:19 234:10	256:1 277:6
169:11 173:15,16	108:1,3 110:9,19	communities 235:26 236:1	250:8	
279:9 290:8	111:13,18 127:22		completing	conclude 184:6
closed 237:13	commission	community	184:12 190:7	concluded 184:8
closest 107:23,24	118:18 120:16	129:10,14,15,16	261:8	concludes 138:8
108:8	139:16	206:2,5 236:3 239:25 240:6,20	complex 114:8	conclusion
closing 288:13	Commissioner	242:14 243:8,9,	122:8 125:4	130:1,3 132:23
closure 126:5	109:23 127:20	21,24 244:18	128:18 129:7	203:18
coated 185:21	138:7 152:3	companies	152:10 200:2	conclusions
191:19	159:16 202:13	110:15 114:7	complexes	259:11,16
coating 114:12	204:4 209:6,7,8	246:5 250:13	128:14	condition 131:23
code 119:25	214:2,11 223:25	256:12	compliance	150:25 151:3
codes 177:9	231:17 238:22	company 110:25	111:24 113:9,17	161:4 164:15
coffee 244:1	250:23 253:14	111:19 128:10	117:24 128:25	165:6 171:2
	281:7,12 286:14,	217:5 219:20	130:20 164:16	205:26
coincide 196:15	15 288:21	240:5,25 242:1	203:10 216:10	conditions
collateral 251:17	291:16,23	244:3,9 252:7	217:4 224:11	134:12 154:5,11
colleagues	Commissioners	254:17	compliant	155:2 179:25
153:13,21	107:19 114:20	comparative	203:19	191:11 194:20 278:7 282:9
college 114:4,5	138:17	247:21	comply 236:13,	
Columbia	commitment	compared	14	conduct 148:21,
111:11	111:23 128:24	130:24 139:11	component	26 242:12 261:5 269:7
column 222:25	289:12	179:25 238:12	217:10	
combined 142:20	commitments	compares 238:17	components	conducted
143:7,9	156:19 167:24	compelling	217:8	118:15 135:4 149:11 155:26
comfort 236:19	195:4 231:6	152:6	comprehensive	181:2 261:2
comfortable	committed	compensate	129:22	262:4 263:6,8
207:7	128:20 158:25	243:19	compressor	conducting
command	committee	compensation	144:20	116:4 128:20
117:21 276:6	242:23	243:26 245:9		258:14
117.21273.0		2 13.20 2 TJ.)		250.17
	I			

confidence	connected 167:1	137:26 144:15	continued	218:5
132:17	226:19,21 228:10	146:14,16	148:26 187:24	core 122:8 200:2
confident 191:23	284:14	construction	231:5	267:23,25
282:12,14	connecting	112:1 115:4,21	continues 194:12	corporate 110:18
confidential	144:11 145:26	116:22 131:16	continuity 237:2	113:14 118:11
249:18 251:7	152:9 154:13	132:9,14 133:7,	continuous	121:15 195:18
255:22	161:24 164:4	10,13,14,24	143:26 144:18	196:25 197:7
confidentiality	167:4	134:12,14,18,22,	186:16,22	253:23 254:1
250:10 253:17	connection	24 135:2,4,8,20	189:12,21 219:18	corporation
255:3	225:10	196:22 202:25	221:1 273:2	254:3,11
confined 233:8	connects 166:26	233:14 263:9	continuously	corporations
234:15	consequence	280:19	235:16	253:21
confirm 140:2,8,	176:14	construction-	contractor 203:4	correct 124:12
16,21 141:7,10,	consequences	clearing 133:20	205:19	129:19 132:25
20 142:20 146:3	279:18	consult 153:20		140:13 142:7
156:22 157:6		consultants	contractors	140.13 142.7
158:11,20 164:6	consequent 261:4	231:2,10	201:20 207:1,7	148:13 149:18
167:20,21 175:18		consultation	contrary 206:23	155:5,6,14
176:3 178:21	conservative	113:22 118:2	contributions	170:19 175:24
180:12,16	137:2 278:25	198:18 199:1	129:11	180:15,21,24
195:12,17,21	considerable	244:13 246:5	contributors	181:10 186:11,18
196:11 197:10	111:11 205:2	consultations	244:18	189:25 196:2
201:18 228:17	considerations	168:12	control 114:12	198:25 207:21,22
229:11 237:17	168:22,24 169:2,	consulted 198:6	136:24,25 244:23	216:7 219:8
238:8 246:19	15		245:4 271:24	224:17 228:12
262:19 280:23	considered	consulting 110:17 119:20	279:5	229:8 230:18
288:9,22	153:25 177:12		convenient	244:5 247:6,7
confirmation	183:24 184:18	contact 167:26	207:24	248:12 249:3
165:18 197:25	264:13 268:22	199:22 277:5	conversant	257:20,21 258:13
198:14,15,17	286:7	contacted 273:24	212:1	262:6 271:1
confirmed 128:2	consist 144:1	contained 156:19	conversation	284:8 286:3
133:3 178:17	consistent 203:6	251:3	199:6 211:20	289:10
198:7,18,24	consists 120:23	context 137:9	254:6	corrected 143:6
199:20 235:4	123:8 124:24	148:1,17 150:7	conversations	155:22
275:3	126:22	187:9 194:11	207:6 245:2	correction
confirms 163:22	consolidated	196:11 236:15	convinced	124:16 126:14
confused 270:19	250:1	247:8 250:12	256:24	143:14,18 146:12
confusion 146:13	constantly	252:13	coordinator	204:1
conifer 262:26	234:19 274:5	contingency	107:7	corrections
coniferous 264:2	construct 128:6	282:21	copies 164:25	122:22 124:10
conifers 263:23	129:2 131:18	continuation	copper 234:20	125:19 127:5
	145:19	243:17	235:7	corrective
connect 140:13 144:15 163:4	constructed	continue 148:20	copy 148:14	206:18
166:26 226:9	130:2 132:7	167:13 188:12	149:21 159:14	correctly 130:21
100.20 220.3	134:1,5,11	214:18 229:22	202:21 217:26	155:23 224:20

correspondence 122:14 145:24 154:3,26 159:20 165:13 corrosion 114:12 136:17 147:18,20 148:2,9,12 149:9 170:8,15,17,26 176:11,13,16,24 177:1,2,10 178:12 179:1 180:18,22 181:8 182:1,18 183:23, 25 184:2,7,9,17, 19 185:10,16,19, 25 186:3,4,5 187:7,11,15,16, 24 189:7,23 190:8,17 191:17, 22 192:14,17,18, 25 193:3,5,8,10, 13,25 194:10 195:5,8 219:18 220:25 222:22 284:6 corrosion- related 170:5 corrosions 184:5 cost 137:8,22,24 220:8 223:10,17 231:11 247:13,18 cost-effective 223:11 costs 137:15 247:3,9 could've 254:11	court 109:2 175:3 202:8 210:1,2,10, 23 211:26 291:15,22 cover 207:13 216:6 229:13,22, 24 230:5,13,21 covered 154:14 225:18 262:26 263:23 covers 233:19 236:19 created 286:18 creates 268:12 creation 119:21 credibility 205:3 232:6,11,18 creek 129:13 134:3 148:19 196:9 198:22 229:14 230:16,22 231:19 232:8 236:12 237:1 238:1 257:25 265:9,16 275:13, 15 286:9 creeping 213:11 crews 143:3 158:10 crime 234:17 criminal 117:18 criteria 232:19 269:18 271:12 272:10,11,25 critical 111:20	162:6 201:26 208:9 232:23 233:10,12 266:24 287:7,15,22 Cross-examines 139:23 155:11 167:14 267:16 cross-examining 224:20 crossing 134:3 207:16 216:9,17 217:3,11 229:17 264:15 crossings 178:8 207:16 216:19 crushing 215:6 CSA 136:4 177:9 178:19 207:17 CSR(A) 210:1,2 291:14,21 CSV 143:23 cumulative 258:7,14 259:12 current 154:8 203:20 218:10,24 curriculum 108:18 cut 170:8 182:7 223:22,23 227:2 235:6 237:12 cutouts 195:9 cuts 228:22 cutting 181:5 CVS 108:21,24	data 131:23 217:23,25 231:1 275:22 286:22 date 141:4 170:23 174:15,16 198:5 242:10 dated 120:24 121:1,4,7,10,13, 16,18,21 122:1,3, 6,8,10 123:9,12, 21,22,24 124:1,4 125:5,13 126:22, 24,26 157:9 199:12 206:3,5, 18 240:1 259:7 291:9 dates 198:5 280:23 David 124:1 day 106:11 138:20 182:26 225:26 234:8 236:10 271:22,23 275:25 287:20 289:26 291:10 days 271:21 DDS 217:22 de-pressure 188:8 de-pressured 188:15 de-pressuring 188:13 dead-end 205:23 deadline 160:2	December 122:1, 4 124:1 133:21 171:25 261:1 280:4,15,21,23 281:1,2 decide 206:1 decided 205:25 245:22 251:17 deciding 275:17 decision 128:1 129:21 132:25 133:2 148:15,16 155:4 156:20 166:25 169:7 205:21 207:2 226:4 240:5 241:5 251:23 271:11 decision-maker 276:21 decisions 171:8 dedication 132:16 deemed 223:16 249:4 deep 223:13,15 default 125:25 126:3 286:7 defect 177:13 defects 181:5 definition 176:16 definitive 187:8 definitively 193:11
related 170:5 corrosions 184:5 cost 137:8,22,24 220:8 223:10,17 231:11 247:13,18 cost-effective	15 286:9 creeping 213:11 crews 143:3 158:10 crime 234:17 criminal 117:18	curriculum 108:18 cut 170:8 182:7 223:22,23 227:2 235:6 237:12	DDS 217:22 de-pressure 188:8 de-pressured 188:15	deep 223:13,15 default 125:25 126:3 286:7 defect 177:13 defects 181:5
costs 137:15 247:3,9 could've 254:11 counsel 202:21, 22 203:12 208:10 209:10,11,12,13,	269:18 271:12	cutting 181:5	188:13 dead-end 205:23 deadline 160:2 deal 166:4 183:18 216:12 217:6 235:18 236:6	definitively
14 290:4 count 153:13 couple 108:15 162:9 257:14 courses 119:26	202:7,14,15,18 215:23 238:21 239:3,22 288:1 cross- examination 107:13 139:10	Darcy 243:23 Darrell 108:4 109:6 112:11 214:21 241:9 242:15	241:14 254:18 dealing 152:14 234:18 251:9 deals 151:23 dealt 165:7 230:6 239:9 245:19	delayed 169:12 delays 134:13 220:6 deliberations 213:8

directed 257:7 delineated 258:4 **design** 111:21,26 17 128:11 261:12 **discuss** 107:8.10 115:26 135:25 110:25 111:26 **deliver** 127:18 deviate 125:24 direction 122:20 136:6,14 137:1 135:25 208:10 126:2 124:8 125:17 delivered 220:5 178:26 179:11.18 241:25 264:23 127:3 152:14 deviations demonstrate 194:26 269:18 discussed 157:4 160:4 257:5.12 125:26 128:4 151:19 278:25 282:1 272:12 275:15 207:4 213:6 159:4 186:21 **device** 144:17 designed 131:18 276:14 261:19 269:19 205:5 **devices** 106:23 136:3,4 179:23 283:8,9 directional demonstrated 279:1.8 223:3 278:17 132:10 discusses 262:13 268:7 **Dew** 108:13,22 283:23 directions discussing 191:5 demonstrates 109:6 114:21 designing 116:16 275:19 205:17 265:8 135:18 115:8 119:2,6,7 **desire** 154:7 Directive 124:25 discussion 126:21 127:4 **den** 261:2,17,19 **detail** 250:25 130:20 195:15, 166:14 210:5 162:21 175:23,24 262:4.10.25 19,25 198:2 223:24 227:8 **detailed** 129:23 178:24 180:8 263:3,6,7,11,15, 231:16 249:11 131:7 132:21 184:8 190:3 directly 112:6 25 265:20 256:20 262:12 165:11 225:17 153:8 192:12.15 194:13 **dens** 261:7 287:4 214:21 232:9 240:24 **details** 191:5 262:16 discussions 241:14 242:6 **Dew's** 179:24 **detect** 276:13,14 dependent 285:25 **director** 113:17 194:5,6,18 177:16 **detected** 286:19 dismissed 288:24 diameter 282:2 disadvantage depending 178:8 **detects** 276:11 256:11,14 dispensed 152:18 differently 207:15 232:26 determination 272:25 disadvantageous **disrupt** 174:23 238:16 130:11 161:25 254:15 difficult 205:21 disrupted 106:24 depends 174:4 164:5 263:24 disclose 229:20 219:14 253:15 258:17 176:25 238:14 determinations 286:25 difficulty 219:26 disruptions **deploy** 278:9 166:21 disclosed 172:1 218:12,26 **dig** 173:13,15 determine 143:4 depressure 250:2 174:1 175:13 distance 264:4 279:13 177:11 282:9 disclosure digital 217:23,25 distributed **depth** 207:13,21 determined 217:17 251:1 179:3 216:6 217:4 digs 172:4,5,9,11, 143:16 153:26 257:6 229:13,22 230:21 167:2 231:4 21,24,25 173:13, disturbance discontinuation 284:2 18,23 174:2 229:24 depth-to-cover 194:22 175:8,9 190:14 231:13 determining disturbances discontinue 282:11 217:9 283:10 258:8 **depths** 230:5 147:14 diligence 159:4 detrimental **divert** 246:6 describe 110:4 190:19 191:22 discontinued 177:3,12 111:2 112:9,26 document 230:14.17 diligently 184:25 113:25 developed 140:23 142:8,14 **discount** 247:19 dimensions 117:14 131:2 155:18 167:22 describing 130:14 discounted 134:26 138:1 215:3,5,16,20 115:11 157:20 186:18 247:24 248:2 216:1 219:5 **diploma** 114:4 description 197:6 220:3 224:24 225:2 discounting **direct** 107:12 109:26 210:10 281:21 239:15 246:24 248:8 222:25 109:20 138:8 247:1 250:14 development discovered 176:16 181:17 descriptor 257:16 259:18 111:10,18 112:3 255:19 236:10 283:14 225:16 260:6,7 276:5 113:20 118:2,13,

257:14 259:7	197:3,8	118:24 120:12,	17,22 122:7,9,11,	expect 147:17
261:9 264:9	error 141:14,21	18,22 123:3,7	13 123:11,13,17,	148:2,8 190:17
	155:21 181:16	124:21,23	20,22,24,25	191:22,25 200:15
ephemeral 135:7,13		126:19,21 127:12	124:2,5,13,26	244:9
·	escalates 274:4	128:4 130:4	125:4,7,9,12,22	expectation
EPP 123:24	277:24	132:21,22 151:22	126:23,25 127:1	148:6 187:15
134:26 135:19	ESD 126:4,7	165:10 183:13	140:16,26 141:26	194:9
EPZ 126:2	ESDVS 279:4	191:20 192:13	143:22 148:16	
130:20,24 153:1	Esri 259:1	253:7 259:13	155:23 157:10	expected 136:10
156:23 163:11	essential 254:15	270:23 288:12,13	167:24 170:4	185:20 187:7
243:14 269:16	essentially 132:8	evolution 114:14	171:26 174:17	191:18 192:14,
270:1,21,24	161:23 164:21	exact 132:15	175:4 177:20	19,26 193:13
271:2,4,7 272:23	establish 198:3	140:14 175:12	178:15,18 180:3	expecting 186:4
273:5,20,23,25	203:17 252:26	182:6 263:14	185:17 197:20	190:8
277:16,17 278:4,			200:1 201:17	expedition
6 279:16	established	examination	210:8,11,15	231:22
equal 179:21	132:1 203:23	138:9	214:4,7,12,13,15	expeditious
equipment	257:18 258:4	examples 236:13	215:4 218:3,6	215:22
131:12,14 207:8	estimate 149:25	excavation 173:5	221:3 222:20	expeditiously
equipped 136:23	247:8	excellence	227:13,21 229:15	216:11
ERCB 113:15	estimated 223:17	111:24	233:4 238:24	experience
125:7,25 126:11	Europe 219:23	excellent 132:15	239:3,17 246:14	110:12 111:8,12
130:19 141:17	evacuate 269:21,	236:26 237:3	258:21,23 259:3,	112:15 114:13
142:5 143:22	26 274:4,19	244:24	4 260:10,24	115:14 116:2,4,7
148:15 151:12	277:22 278:2	exception 129:4	264:22 267:22	117:11 119:12
154:6 155:3	evacuation	152:25	270:8 280:8,16	experienced
156:20 270:9	271:15 277:26	exclude 265:15	283:7,15 285:3	236:25
Erin 107:25	278:8	excluded 257:6,	exhibits 121:19,	expert 113:9
109:5 113:4	evaluate 258:15	19 258:1,3	25 122:2,5	115:2,5,8,13
214:20	264:8		125:15 170:1	116:7,24 118:23
ERP 108:11	evaluated 262:15	excuse 138:17,19	175:21 210:14	120:11 262:2
117:9 118:9,14		141:2 155:13 177:21 215:14	exist 268:14	expertise 114:26
121:18 122:6,8	evaluating 179:1		existing 133:14	115:18 116:3
124:25 125:4	evaluation	execute 169:21	134:23 137:10	117:4,23 119:3
131:6 138:2	217:16 231:4	executed 134:3	140:11 142:21	204:11 231:3
155:19 156:21,25	evaluations	169:18	146:3,20 177:23	experts 114:22
167:21,26 168:2,	129:24 247:21	execution 282:24	178:7 187:10	129:26 130:13
12 195:13,18,26	event 153:16	executive 110:14	228:2,24 229:24	211:16
196:10,17,21,24,	205:14,16	243:23	247:3 252:6	explain 164:3
25 197:13,20	234:23,26 235:2,	exercise 125:5	264:5,6 269:4	187:17 268:26
198:5,12 199:19	8,11 279:3,11,15,	156:1,8,10	286:5	271:11
269:21 272:2	19	exercises 118:3	expand 255:9	
273:15 285:2,5,	events 150:19	285:26	expanded 136:15	explanation
18,20,26 286:8	eventually 114:8	exhibit 108:21,24	270:25 271:2	130:23 264:24
ERPS 118:18	evidence 107:13	109:1 120:26	expanding	exposed 173:3,
156:4,14 195:22	109:20 116:26	121:3,6,9,12,15,	111:15 283:25	21,25 215:6
196:1,7,11,12		121.3,0,7,12,13,		
	•	•	T.	1

finding 187:16 **extend** 163:16 **failure** 148:18 276:9 283:2 156:2 176:15 extended 266:25 **findings** 251:18 field-proven **Foote** 108:11,22 184:21,23 185:9, 130:14 109:6 114:21 extending 272:8 fine 203:15,24 11 186:9.14 115:5 117:3,8,9 **file** 143:23,25 288:20 extensive 114:13 189:1,5,11,20,26 118:22 124:23 245:13 251:2 **finger** 176:8 118:4 130:4 194:19 125:16,18 197:14 256:12 222:15 225:26 **finished** 213:14 failures 177:6 198:16 199:18 **filed** 120:18 **extent** 139:7 Finn 249:5 250:6 180:5,20,23 214:21 267:19 122:14 162:4,11, 173:4 195:5 256:5 185:8 187:1 268:21,25 271:9 15.17 164:24 external 222:22 Finn's 253:7 274:12 286:21 **fair** 116:25 165:1,2,22 166:1 284:5 **fire** 197:17 118:24 120:12 **Foothill** 137:12 167:6 169:24 extremely 139:3 153:7 firefighter Foothills 148:25 177:25 199:16,24 289:18 162:5 203:21 117:20 215:3 224:13,16 238:16 248:16 250:24 289:14 225:3 251:1 **firm** 110:17 foregoing 291:4 \mathbf{F} fairly 221:22 254:4 255:13,20 **fish** 132:13 foreman 242:7 fall 132:8 195:26 **filing** 160:14 135:16 256:8 **forest** 264:2 **face** 139:13 233:15 **filled** 146:5,6,9, **Fisher** 261:3,25 **forested** 263:23 **faced** 179:26 **familiar** 145:25 20.23 147:7 262:12 263:6 **forests** 262:26 facilitate 244:12 156:24,25 197:22 148:4 170:9 fisheries 266:1 form 124:25 facilitation 118:3 262:1.22 263:26 187:12,17 **fisheries'** 265:19 198:20,22 246:26 facilities 112:22 **family** 243:13 **filling** 147:11 **fishing** 231:22 247:26 251:25 136:17 145:4 farther 234:3 **final** 144:7 **fit** 282:1 **formal** 206:5 188:18,22 196:8 157:11 176:4.7 fast-forward **fitness** 158:19 260:21 236:21 247:4 264:9 285:2 187:15 190:24 191:3 **formally** 114:24 284:24,25 285:17 288:15.16 289:5 **feature** 265:7,11, **fits** 252:19 282:18 286:10 **forming** 190:5 finalization 12,23 five-minute **facility** 136:23 **forty** 213:9 168:25 **features** 170:21, 152:20 153:9 221:23 **forum** 203:9 finalizing 168:20 24 181:21,22 159:21 195:23 **fixed** 158:3 **forward** 114:22 **finally** 108:13 182:16 262:13,18 196:13 231:22 **fixing** 158:6 113:19 115:1.5.8 **February** 120:25 247:12 284:1 **flared** 147:4 **found** 168:8 123:9.12 145:18 **finance** 110:18, **facing** 220:15 flexibility 139:13 170:6,15,16 23 **feedback** 244:22, fact 137:17.23 182:1 188:5,9 finances 226:1 **fluids** 147:3 24 245:3 160:14 163:9 203:3 227:9 **focus** 111:15 **feeds** 128:18 financial 129:15 165:3 185:26 233:18 235:10,16 241:17 245:9 feedstock 129:6 **focused** 128:10 190:10 193:7 262:25 246:19 249:12,15 162:26 167:8 205:8 254:1 **feel** 281:15 **fountain** 234:24 250:3,11,19 192:24 236:25 266:6 fencing 245:6 **Fourth** 132:3 252:9 255:6 **focusing** 117:13 **factor** 178:9 **field** 112:18,23 256:25 257:6 **fraction** 136:12 145:14 **fail** 279:4 137:11 147:4 financials 251:5 frameworks **folks** 108:16 **failed** 157:26 180:10 186:24 117:24 **find** 160:12 183:6 **follow** 201:23 195:14,24 196:6, 158:5 181:3 191:10 200:6 **frankly** 174:20 15 206:11 226:9 232:4 270:18 186:24 189:4 216:9 234:9 200:20 274:23 239:26 240:21 failing 223:1 246:23 273:17 **free** 281:15 241:22 271:22 **follow-up** 259:17

frequency generally 125:26 **GPS'D** 206:12 **habitat** 262:18 **heads** 211:7 149:13,20 154:9 266:23 277:15 264:8 graduate 119:9 **health** 131:11 **Friday** 160:3 generate 191:8 habitats 135:17 171:11 197:16 **Graham** 206:7 288:17 289:5 272:13 generic 240:2 **Hall** 209:1 **grasp** 211:25 Friedman hear 202:9,17 284:11 **hand** 174:17.24 **gravel** 263:22 239:24 217:24 255:1,2 gentleman **handle** 276:9 **great** 144:13 288:16 **friend** 152:19 263:20 205:6 264:4 **hanging** 233:22 **heard** 149:26 **Friesen** 239:24 gentlemen 281:4 **happen** 164:19 153:19.25 163:9. 155:25 194:15 **front** 107:24,25 greater 136:9 190:8 191:25 19 166:13 182:26 202:20 214:24 108:6 113:24 182:11 279:20 183:13 245:26 215:1 229:10 252:14 greatly 218:11,25 **happened** 173:19 286:17 233:11 245:25 **fronts** 244:20 189:1 228:18.21 grizzly 259:20,24 hearing 106:9 geotechnical **fulfill** 116:24 280:26 261:2,7,16,19,21, 107:1,3,4,7 128:5 217:15 231:4 118:22 120:10 23 262:10,16,24 happening 193:4 130:8,10 150:7 **ghost** 164:21 **fulfills** 128:24 235:23 258:12 263:3,5,7,11,15, 153:4 160:2 **Gibson** 209:14 21,25 full 138:5 173:26 **happy** 137:14 163:8 164:1 **give** 132:17 212:17 150:14 280:25 **ground** 205:26 167:8 174:14 145:9,12 176:17 274:5,8 275:8,9, **fully** 188:26 hard 211:4 177:23 182:21 211:19 212:8 11 278:14 224:10 272:1 253:17 265:26 183:12 200:22 236:19 245:3 **group** 197:26 209:6.7.8 225:9 **function** 119:25 **harm** 270:4 272:12 287:22 242:21,23 243:7, 231:21 232:20 **future** 184:17 **Harty** 206:6 **giving** 210:15 250:25 252:13 21 242:7 254:19 260:22 **glad** 106:7 214:26 **groups** 197:11 **hazard** 268:18 \mathbf{G} 263:12 266:21 **global** 110:16 198:1 hazardous 268:6 269:19 287:17 218:12,26 219:15 **growth** 185:20 **game** 162:5 hazards 233:8 288:14 **goal** 268:16 186:3,4,5 187:24 **gas** 110:13,15 **hazmat** 117:22 hearings 204:21 191:18 192:14. **goals** 284:3 111:8,9,12,16 212:1 245:14 **HDD** 133:18.19 18,25 **good** 106:7 112:13,16 114:3 261:20 266:24 134:1,3,23 135:6, guarantee 193:4 107:18 110:7 119:13,17,18 9,21 138:1 **held** 206:11 208.4 111:5 113:3 128:12,14,17 **HDPE** 134:10 **helped** 119:16 115:22 117:8 **guess** 158:15 131:6.14 140:9 136:16 147:24 **helpful** 182:25 119:6 127:20 217:9 225:12 147:4,16,21 157:21 181:1,5 183:12 211:11 138:16,17 139:25 243:26 265:26 148:7 150:19,23 184:13 185:21 212:4.21 215:22 179:24 232:3,7 269:2 271:26 151:13 152:26 186:1,10,25 230:19 260:11,19 237:2 238:14 161:7 169:3 187:1,22 188:1 245:7 267:15 hesitant 150:2 270:16 Н 189:6.13 191:19 285:23 **gates** 264:17 hesitate 144:26 193:7 194:26 **Google** 258:26 **H2s** 125:7,25 276:3 **hide** 254:10 281:24 259:1.9 126:11 130:19 gathering 195:24 **high** 129:3,17 **HDPE-LINED Gordon** 262:1 141:17 142:5 196:14 282:3 153:15 237:25 114:14 189:15, 143:22 151:12 government general 120:8 238:15 243:7 21,24 161:8 180:20 238:7 268:14 129:16 139:26 **head** 179:22 269:17 270:3 161:22 177:1 **Govier** 209:1 high-density **head-on** 160:19 275:17 220:13 261:1 136:15

higher 238:15 importantly includes 110:14 **hours** 189:18 276:2 285:21 251:7 213:1 268:9 117:18 224:26 identifying 131:7 271:22.23 275:25 276:20,21 **highest** 237:19 **imposed** 154:12 **IIZ** 273:23 287:21 288:6 including 118:12 238:9,13 268:10 155:3 **IIZS** 273:21 house 242:12 128:15 129:14 highlight 178:25 **imposes** 280:13 **ILI** 149:10 268:24 269:11 131:14 134:2 179:16 220:24 impossible 194:7 157:18.22.25 138:1 145:4 **HP** 153:1 **highly** 269:17 impoundment 158:4.6.11 171:18 226:11 **HSE** 235:2 **highway** 201:21 265:15.20 170:20 181:2.15 incorporate 188:21,23,24 203:6,11,19 **human** 131:11 **improper** 188:7 131:3 205:15 206:23 282:11 **hundred** 191:23 190:5 incorporates hill 205:24 235:12 **image** 259:9 **improve** 137:24 136:7.14 historical 261:10 **hydrate** 188:9, **imagery** 258:25 223:5 incorrect 142:23 10.12.14 189:8 259:1.5 **history** 147:7 improvement 216:3 218:21 190:5 154:11 183:24 immediately 273:2 increase 135:9 184:21,24 187:10 **hydro** 181:3 262:19 **in-line** 136:19 169:10 **hold** 110:22 hvdrotested **impact** 132:9 146:26 157:12 incremental 134:9 179:21 111:13 113:4 134:4 137:22 172:6 195:2 137:8,15,22 217:11 234:25 115:25 117:20 **Hymers** 209:19 **in-scope** 231:20 indefinitely 177:8 253:22 264:10 272:9 **hyperbole** in-service 180:5 217:7 **Holden** 120:19 **impacted** 135:14 153:15 inactive 230:13 independent 209:10 267:13, 263:9 **hypothetical** inadequate 116:24 118:23 14,16,18,25 **impacts** 115:3,20 193:19,22 194:3, 229:13 230:21 120:11 129:26 270:18 271:8 128:21 132:13 16 inappropriate 247:20 **holder** 140:3,6 133:23 134:2 252:25 indicating 249:21 285:17 135:1,7,16,22 Ι incident 117:21 170:20 198:21 **holder's** 272:9 **implement** 118:20 184:26 indications 116:20 118:20 **holders** 285:22 **IC** 276:6 195:1,6 271:17, 170:14 192:19 131:13 **holding** 178:11 **ICS-TRAINED** 18 272:5,6 276:6 indicative 237:14 implementation 265:24 286:10 271:24 285:13 286:19 119:21 120:3 **indirect** 181:18 **hole** 233:9.18.26 **ID** 157:23 incidents 131:5 128:26 134:25 individual 234:2 236:18 idea 212:9 229:26 236:5 135:19 143:24 178:11 239:12 252:24 230:3 246:4 include 129:8 implemented 197:25 198:1 **holes** 233:7 253:20 254:14 144:13 156:20 284:22 268:13 272:23 234:10 235:12 287:22 168:1 172:16 implementing individuals holistically 174:24 248:15,17 identified 116:16 131:10 131:9 198:7 190:26 270:25 271:2 170:17,26 183:4 271:13 272:17 204:12 273:9 280:20 **honest** 194:3 216:20 217:3 274:3 285:24 **implied** 288:24 224:12 227:4,17 **included** 116:12 hooking 229:4 **indoor** 268:12 **implies** 181:8 228:13 229:13,17 134:22 148:14 236:16 **indoors** 268:4 important 129:9 232:20 256:15 156:3,22 161:8,9 horizontal 263:12 264:26 270:13 171:6 179:16 236:2 239:13 132:10 223:8 220:24 221:22 248:26 249:23 industrial 120:9 **identify** 216:16 **hour** 206:10 227:8 265:7 257:22 258:5 280:14 217:13 229:23 208:2 213:4,9 271:6 236:5 262:15

industry 111:9 114:3 117:13 131:20 132:12 186:15,25 220:14,19 234:20	initial 173:15 245:20 261:13 initially 259:14 initiated 215:11,	119:25 integrated 108:12 117:10 128:9 integrity 108:6,	184:16,17 185:10,15,19 187:7 191:17 192:14,17,18,25 193:8,10,13,20,	irrigation 223:5 IRS 121:26 123:14 125:1,9, 13 165:1 266:11 isolated 188:7
235:23 inert 147:14,16, 21 148:7 191:26 infiltration	initiatives 129:16 inject 219:19 injection 186:17	14 114:7,11,13, 17 115:10 119:5, 8,13,16,22 120:4 121:20 131:25	25 194:10 internally 185:21 191:19 internet 107:2	isolation 188:6 190:5 issue 128:1 133:2
269:13 inflate 247:10,14 inflation 218:11, 25 219:15	inline 149:8 input 125:7 inputs 126:11 inquiries 240:16 insert 210:11	132:1 136:21 137:4 158:12,13, 21 159:2 162:14, 25 163:23 179:24 185:1 190:13	interpret 148:11 250:12 252:2,4 interpreting 252:11	165:19 216:20 217:3 225:8 231:13 235:17 236:3 250:10,11 253:19 254:9 256:16 282:22
information 121:7,23 130:16 149:10 159:20, 24,26 160:5 161:23 162:3,10, 12,17,18 164:3,	inside 282:2 inspect 172:13 191:3 282:8 inspected 158:20	192:23 207:12 215:3 216:15 218:1,5 224:25 225:5 230:4 237:5 283:16	introduce 107:20 165:10 introduced 188:25 inventory 216:17	issued 132:24 253:4 issues 130:9 132:22 134:13 145:15 150:8
24 172:1,17 175:2 181:13 182:25 190:4 198:7,24 210:20 224:12 225:4	170:12 173:23 inspection 114:7, 15 146:26 149:1, 8 157:13 170:18 172:6,16 173:26 181:17 190:15	284:19 integrity-related 183:4 intend 163:20 279:7	investigate 136:20 investigating 181:23 investigation	152:16 153:4,5 160:22 161:18 163:8,13,17,26 164:18 166:8,10 167:8 183:4
232:5 233:5,6 234:5 236:7 237:23 246:26 249:13,15,16,17 250:19,21 251:3, 4 252:1,9,11	193:20 281:23 282:16 284:26 inspections 131:26 136:20 148:21 181:16	intended 126:1 137:3 intending 183:2 interconnect 140:10 145:11	189:11 investment 242:3 244:24 invited 242:14 involved 111:17	184:2 215:7 219:26 224:11 230:5 231:21 232:20 234:21 241:14 251:8,16 252:3,14 254:18,
253:11 254:3,7, 10 255:6,12,20, 22 256:4,9,10,12, 13,25 257:7 258:19 261:13,18	195:2 216:17,21 inspector 108:7 install 227:9 installation	interconnected 142:2 interest 144:23 213:18 244:14,20 248:18,23,25	114:6 177:26 181:5 182:4 211:14 243:13 285:12 286:3 involvement	19 255:25 issuing 129:19 132:26 items 138:5 227:4
271:19 272:4 274:6,9 275:4,9, 10,11,13 276:22, 24 277:5	181:1,4 184:14 223:8 installed 134:6,8, 10 136:18 187:22 188:1,22 282:3	266:26 interested 266:20 interim 283:12	114:10 129:14 285:15 IR 123:18 173:11 175:12 200:9,17, 19 201:4 224:17	iteration 130:18 203:20
informed 156:9 infrastructure 171:16 228:24 inhibition 218:10,24 219:14	instance 126:4,7 196:20 instances 126:3 236:10	interior 233:26 interject 173:9 internal 129:1 130:22 136:15,17 144:18 148:21,26	264:21 283:8 iron 176:8 ironic 160:12 irrelevant	Jacqueline 108:9 109:7 115:23 214:22 job 173:4 210:10
inhibitor 219:18 220:25 221:1,2	instructed 276:1 instructor	170:5 180:18,22 181:8 183:23,25	151:21 255:24	jobs 244:17

Johanson 210:2 291:3,14 join 243:25 245:22 joined 110:10 joining 113:12 Jones 122:15 160:15 Judd 121:24 123:15 125:2 156:26 157:9 159:13 167:26	K Kapel 120:19 209:10 267:13, 14,16,18,25 270:17 271:8 keeping 236:18 Ken 108:2 109:5 111:6 214:20 key 113:15 128:16 138:2	L labelled 109:17 222:23 ladies 214:24 lake 114:6 265:24 land 168:8 257:22 258:5,8, 16,18 landowners 245:2 276:4	learnings 284:14, 17,22 lease 225:23 leave 182:3 273:16 left 181:9 182:2, 17 213:4 legal 203:11,18 204:11 length 141:12,16, 17 142:3,5,20 143:7,9,26	225:3,18 249:21 252:21 253:3,4, 13 254:23,26 255:7 257:1 285:22 286:10 licenced 150:1,5 152:20 153:9 155:23 159:21 206:26 250:8 286:2 licencee 248:22, 25 254:25 256:8 257:2 285:17
214:15 218:4 233:6,16 236:20 240:23 241:25 242:6,16 243:12 254:16 256:10 268:24 269:25 273:9 274:3 276:11,12,23 277:22,25 286:20 288:12 Judd's 156:21,23 160:23 161:16 167:20 168:1 205:24 261:20 269:15 270:21,23 July 143:2 jump 153:3 281:15 Jumpingpound 128:15 240:9 junction 140:19 142:22 161:7 173:16 226:19 228:5 234:3 283:5,12,24 June 121:13 259:1 jurisdiction 203:10 justice 117:19	kilometre 261:17 kilometres 137:18 142:4,22 143:11 194:25 206:10 kilopascals 136:6 kind 157:19 160:19 190:18 194:2 281:23 Kirkland 114:5 knowledge 122:26 124:18 126:16 127:9 kpa 126:9 136:6, 11 279:9 Kunkel 108:1,18 109:5 110:3,7,8 111:1 113:8 120:22 122:17,21 127:15,19,22 133:5 137:7,13 140:5 169:1,17 171:5 214:20 220:12 235:20 241:1 242:11 244:6 246:21	258:1,10,12 large 177:1,3 246:4 270:15 larger 170:15 180:14,15 late 133:11 157:25 254:13 launch 174:10 law 201:24 206:23 laws 113:5 lawyer 151:15 lawyers 204:2 layer 265:5 layperson 148:10 176:17,22 LCA 249:9 250:2 251:24 252:7,11, 20 257:5,7 lead 108:10 115:24 204:25 leadership 110:16 113:8 leading 250:25 leads 132:22 leak 269:17 279:12 leaks 183:24 learned 189:10, 19	173:6 205:6 lengths 235:25 lentic 265:11,12, 23 letter 157:9 159:7 168:17 171:25 174:14 letters 236:1,3 level 185:2 238:18 243:7 272:5,6 273:19 274:10 285:20 levels 228:14 Lewis 209:15 LF 238:24 liability 249:4 liaison 240:7,20 liberty 208:9 licence 120:24 122:12,13 129:20 132:23,26 133:2 140:3,4,6,18 143:17 144:1,2 145:14 147:1 150:13 152:15,22 154:14,15 161:26 162:16 164:7 165:7 166:1 167:9,10 189:2 196:26 224:2	154:12 157:13 licencing 145:3 197:6 lid 233:25 lifespan 247:12 lifetime 136:22 light 109:18,19 184:1,4 likelihood 176:14 limit 206:9 limited 140:6 156:15 249:22 252:23 257:1 lined 119:17 173:1 186:10,21 187:12 193:1,23 194:26 221:1 284:16 liner 130:22 134:10 136:16 147:24 181:1 184:13,14,18 185:13 186:1,10 187:12,22 188:1 189:6,7 190:8 193:1 282:2 liners 186:26 187:2 189:13 193:7

lines 143:1,5 144:2,17 157:18 158:7 187:1,23 189:9 193:1,2 lining 182:8 link 253:9 255:4 liquid 119:18 liquids 128:13 list 122:17 123:6 175:3 200:3 210:9 273:5	269:3 locations 170:6, 7,8 181:26 182:17 184:4 219:23 225:17 lock 234:4 235:6 237:12,13 locked 233:8 234:6,12,13 235:4,17 236:9, 19	low 126:8 169:8, 13 183:24 184:7, 10,18 187:6 193:8,10 230:13 231:5 low-pressure 279:8 lower 179:9 223:10 Luc 108:6 109:8 214:23	242:17 251:18,23 253:26 272:13 282:1 mailbox 242:1 main 106:11 maintain 111:23 128:7 129:2 132:18 138:3 226:5 228:14 234:14 242:4 maintained	166:20 169:11 274:11 278:2 malfunctions 158:1 manage 109:14 114:17 119:16 190:26 193:14,15 232:12,13 236:17 managed 118:10 191:12 201:12 management
286:18,20,22,24 listed 145:26 173:13 175:11 176:2 198:4,9,19, 21 199:19 219:18,20 227:24 228:3 260:26 lists 227:22 live 128:23	locks 233:19 234:11 log 268:24 logging 258:9,10, 11,19,22 long 141:10 167:7 217:2 247:10 289:26 long-standing	Luc's 220:26 lunch 167:12 211:12,21 213:6 214:25 Lynx 257:25 M Machell 209:23	130:2 135:14 158:22 228:1 241:26 maintaining 137:8,16 216:5 226:10 235:14 maintains 137:18 maintenance	110:17,19 114:11 115:10 117:12, 24,25 118:5,7 119:5,22 120:4 121:20 132:2 162:25 185:1 192:23 201:13,19 205:13 207:12 215:3 216:15 218:1,6 230:4
237:26 lives 128:22 174:20 living 268:24 local 198:2,23 localized 170:14 locate 143:4 located 108:20, 22,25 120:25 121:2,5,8,11,14, 16,19,22,25 122:2,4,7,9,11,13 123:10,12,16,19, 21,25 124:2,5,26 125:2,6,8,11,14 126:23,25 127:1 264:24 280:11 locates 143:3 locating 131:8 location 144:19 168:13 170:15 178:9 223:14 225:15 226:20 229:21 263:15	240:22 long-term 240:13 longer 189:13 looked 251:26 258:9 259:23 263:22 Lorne 206:6 242:7 loss 170:6,7,14,21 175:13,14 176:20 177:2,8,11 179:6, 12,13 181:18 losses 173:14 lost 194:2 lot 109:12 164:23 165:25 174:14 192:16 194:5 210:20 211:6 244:22 249:11 284:13 lotic 265:11,13 lots 220:18	machine 206:26 Mackenzie 209:8 281:8,12 Maczuga 107:25 108:19 109:5 112:25 113:3,4 214:20 217:20 242:20 245:16 272:15 276:18 278:15 madam 109:2 145:1 149:23 151:15 152:1,2 154:17 160:18 175:1 182:22 183:17 200:13,23 203:8 207:26 made 126:10 132:25 143:17 159:18 166:22 169:7 171:9 191:17 205:20 207:1 224:8 230:20 232:2 240:5 241:5	131:21 136:19 194:24 237:10 major 125:5 128:14 155:26 198:12 222:23 227:22 270:11 majority 133:13, 25 make 122:23 124:11 125:20 127:6 142:14 143:24 146:11 147:14 152:11 161:22,25 163:5 165:18 167:3,16 174:20 193:18 206:15 210:15 211:1,9 243:23 244:4,11 263:24 272:1 273:3,4,6 274:10 275:20 288:25 289:11 makes 289:26 making 106:20 139:8 164:5	218:1,6 230:4 231:1 234:25,26 237:5 244:23 271:20,21 manager 108:3, 12,13 111:14 112:4,5 117:10 118:9 119:7 managing 114:13 185:5 manned 271:24 manner 128:21 137:22 244:6 manual 121:21 map 157:8 167:21 168:15 mapping 264:10 maps 156:21,23 157:6 258:4 marked 259:10 market 168:21, 23 169:1 marketing 110:21

		20.21.22.104.22		
marketplace 281:23	measures 116:17	20,21,23 194:22 284:15	minimizing 279:2,14	months 148:23
	129:23 131:1,10, 26 134:25		'	149:2
mass 118:20		method 132:11	minimum 136:9	MOP 279:1
274:13	135:15,20 201:11 257:9 271:14	276:26 277:10	177:14,16,25	morning 106:7,8
master's 115:26		methods 134:7	178:6,8,10,25	107:19,20 110:7
matches 157:23	275:15,16	186:20 274:17	207:13 216:5	111:5 113:3
material 165:21	Mechanical	metres 133:26	275:25 284:4	115:22 117:8
224:21 253:5,6	133:24	137:19 140:20	minor 124:12	119:6 127:20
materially	mechanism	141:9,13,15	223:7 286:15	138:16,17
250:20 255:14	189:5 192:3	155:21,24 173:5,	minus 181:19	139:21,25 153:2
materials 114:11	272:17,22 273:11	7,25 174:3	minutes 139:19	160:15 169:10
119:10 122:18,	mechanisms	264:15,25 265:1,	149:25 213:4	212:13 280:1,22
19.10 122.18,	131:4 278:19	6	missed 258:24	289:21 290:10
19,23 123.2	meet 117:16	mic 109:19	missing 235:9	morphology
125:16 126:15,18	232:19 242:15	Michael 209:26	237:8 266:7	176:26
127:2,8,11 167:6	282:15 284:3	microphone		motion 160:4,14,
290:9	meet all 111:21	202:6	mitigate 193:3	16 250:26
	meeting 135:3		mitigation 115:3,	motions 160:3
matrix 231:2,11 272:2	242:18	microphones	20 116:17 129:23	Mountain 203:1
	Melissa 239:24	109:13,14,16	134:25 135:15,20	mountains
matter 110:2		mid-2022 118:8	184:19 284:5	238:11
150:4 167:9	member 109:24	middle 203:5	mocks 198:12	
216:19 217:9	members 107:22	263:22	model 125:26	mouth 282:14
243:3 252:17,18	120:16,17	midst 208:8	130:19 141:18	move 112:25
282:23	127:14,21 130:7	midstream	142:5,25	183:18 200:24
matters 107:9	174:11 204:2	110:15 111:16	modified 179:2	201:6 233:2
113:10 160:3	222:11 242:2	128:10	moment 256:19	246:13 256:23
matting 135:15	243:14 244:10	Mike 233:16	276:10	257:10
maximal 234:25	246:6 254:16	millimetre 136:7	momentary	movement
maximum 136:5,	Membership	millimetres	270:15	268:17
13 170:21 172:7	291:17,24,25			moving 112:8
195:2 223:2	mentioned	136:10 178:13,21	money 227:5	141:25 144:22
Mayhood 124:1	106:11 173:19	237:21	monitor 116:19	145:6 159:7
MD 129:12	230:11 278:24	million 247:4,22,	186:18 229:22	168:16 171:24
198:21	280:21	24 248:3 249:20	230:9 231:6	175:7 199:15
	mentioning	250:6	276:8	217:19 229:9
meaning 148:11	264:3	mind 212:3	monitoring	237:17 279:22
means 109:18	mergers 110:20	mindful 211:5	124:4 131:21	mucked 221:16
171:22 211:19	message 274:15,	266:23	132:6 133:19	muddle 221:17
216:25 243:9	20	Mines 229:14	149:9 154:5,8	multiple 112:16
252:20 278:1		230:16 238:1	155:2 186:19	130:18 161:9
meant 204:4	met 228:3 280:7	minimal 133:12	192:22 225:5	164:25 196:1,7
252:2	metal 170:6	minimize 133:22	237:9 275:14,21	211:3 227:10
meantime 246:8	methanol 186:16	134:1 279:18	284:19	237:11 245:21
measure 268:23	188:11,13,19,20,	minimizes	monitors 131:14	253:21 278:13
	25 189:5,12,15,	128:21	140:25 142:9	
		120.21		

municipal	necessity 110:26	notification	252:17	240:7,20 243:23
129:10 203:5	needed 131:12	216:22 271:15	numerous	Official 210:1,2
municipality	134:26 138:3	272:17,25 274:14	117:21	291:15,22
197:17	188:4 197:12	285:6,13 286:18	NW 264:25	often-repeated
mutual 197:15,	228:12 280:2	notifications		232:11
20	needing 227:17	118:20 272:12,	0	Ogunyemi
Myers 107:16,18	neglected 238:23	22,24 273:20	<u> </u>	209:11
109:9,22 110:3	neighbourhood	274:7,11 285:19	Oaths 291:16,23	oil 110:13,15
114:20 115:16	213:10	notify 286:8	· ·	111:8 114:3
138:7,12 159:15,		notifying 285:20	objection 154:1 165:23 166:22	119:12
16 162:7,8	network 128:17 284:18	notion 144:8	255:11	
165:15,16 182:22		notwithstanding		on-call 271:20, 21,23
194:2 209:22	newly 146:14	248:8 251:24	objections	·
223:25 224:7,15,	272:17	263:20	159:17	on-site 134:26
24 250:22,23	NF 157:18		objective 116:25	one-of-a-kind
255:16,17 287:8	NFPA 117:19	Nova 249:26	118:24 120:12	157:19
	nitrogen 146:5,9,	November	229:21 244:4	ongoing 131:21,
N	13,15,21,22,23	123:25 125:6,14	objects 145:5	24
	147:7,8,12 148:4	133:11 147:2	obtaining 284:4	Ontario 114:6
N2 188:15	170:10 187:13,17	189:2 209:4	occasions 157:1	open 109:14
Naffin 107:16	noise 106:20	218:3 290:16 291:10	occupy 212:17	154:7 167:5
144:26 149:23	non-inspectable		occur 136:20	200:18 233:25
151:26 152:1	114:16	nudge 289:1	148:9 172:9,22	242:12 265:16
153:19 159:18	nonpartisan	number 121:7,	186:5 187:2	290:10
163:9 203:8	116:25 118:24	10,13 128:2	193:5	open-ended
204:1,3,8,10,14,	120:12	129:13 133:3	occurred 180:5	176:12
16,18,20 209:21	noon 167:12	143:12 144:2,7 159:14 167:25	181:2 184:24	opening 106:5
215:18,21 231:17	207:24	174:17 177:6	186:9,15	127:16,17 279:26
239:11,15,16,19	normal 190:9	183:8 192:10	occurring 133:14	280:22 289:9
260:9,14,17,20	192:20 265:18	202:15,18 214:6,	148:12 179:13	operate 118:19
287:8,9 288:20	north 133:16	7,12 215:15	196:9 258:10	128:6 129:2
289:1	257:25	218:17 233:10	occurs 285:11	132:18 136:1
narrow 133:18	northern 114:5	238:24 239:23	October 121:16	138:3 158:7
narrower 162:2	116:18 124:3	240:2,17 242:24	122:6,8,10 124:4	163:21 179:6
national 108:10	note 163:19	247:6,7 249:8,23,	126:26 147:2	183:2 185:2
115:24	167:11 169:9	25 250:1,2,7	157:10 168:17	190:20 192:6
natural 111:9	207:23 227:8	256:1 260:25	169:23 170:3	201:20 224:10
128:12 135:12	232:23 251:4	261:25 264:22	176:4,6 202:26	236:20 252:21
nature 199:16	261:24 262:12	278:18 283:8	206:6	operated 130:2
navigate 205:22	265:7 273:16	289:23	odour 276:12,13,	164:16 165:5
NCRA 291:25	noted 160:1	numbers 144:6	14,15	181:13 183:14
necessarily	259:2 275:7	153:13 175:5	off-road 203:4	184:15 194:25
162:20 205:9	notes 291:7	176:18 178:17	206:22	operates 128:13,
248:21	noticed 153:2	202:6 210:8,11,	officer 108:1	24 137:17 225:6
210.21	1000ccu 155.2	16 221:8,9	110:9 127:23	operating 126:12
		248:14 249:1,22	5	130:15 135:25

136:5,11,13,25	269:24	123:10,13,16,19	paraphrase	pay 244:17
137:3,8,15	options 161:6	125:3,11 151:22	187:21	PAZ 273:22,23
150:26 158:14	171:17,20 220:2	291:4	paraphrasing	
171:8 176:26	278:13 282:6	paid 138:4	230:6	PAZS 275:18
179:9,10,18,25		_		PDF 120:25
191:8 192:20	oral 130:6	pandemic 220:15	pardon 152:2 229:1	121:2,5,8,11,14
194:20 206:16	order 126:10	panel 107:15,20,		123:10,12,16,19
224:19 278:26	organization	22,23 108:9	parked 276:3	124:14 125:2,11
279:6,7,10	227:6	109:25 110:25	part 118:17	140:17,26 142:1
284:19 286:9	original 123:20	115:12 116:8	149:10 165:12	167:24 178:14
operation 112:21	142:25 151:7	120:17 122:1	174:18 180:14,15	201:17 215:4,15
113:19 131:17	245:17 276:22	123:19 125:10,13	199:16 201:18	219:5 221:8
136:22 186:22	originally 154:5	127:14,21,24 130:7 132:17	210:9 217:16	246:14 270:8 280:10,20
192:1 196:22	out-of-service	130:7 132:17 138:9,12 139:10,	224:13 234:6	· ·
207:7 236:12,26	147:11	11,25 150:6,7,11,	239:6 265:8,25	peak 270:16
242:5 281:20	outdoor 268:5	15 153:12,26	282:24 285:18	people 107:1
operational	270:15	154:9 160:1,5,10	286:4,5	109:12 156:15
111:24 112:17	outdoors 268:11	161:11,21 162:18	partially 172:3	169:20 199:21
131:22 133:8	outlined 134:25	164:3 165:1,24	237:13	237:26 240:3,22
150:4 168:22,23	135:19	174:12,19 200:15	participate	241:11 243:14,
169:15 186:6,13		203:12 204:1,4,5,	198:12 245:13	19,25 245:12 270:13 275:18
187:3,5	outlines 261:25	10,20,23 208:7	participated	270:13 275:18 277:16,17
operations	output 223:3	209:10,11,12	245:23	1
108:11 110:19	overlap 196:10	212:10 213:18	participating	percent 129:12
111:10,12	overlapping	215:22 221:15	107:4 243:20	136:8,12 170:7, 22 172:7 175:13,
112:14,23 115:9	196:1	224:17 251:11,	participation	14 176:19,20
117:9,22 119:4	overly 137:2	18,23 252:6	290:7	177:8,11 179:5,
128:21 129:8	overpressure	253:2 260:12,21,	parties 251:12	11,18 181:6,9,20
137:3,10,21	136:26	23 266:23 267:1,	266:25 288:9,15,	182:2,7,11,18
188:4,5,18 190:9	overseeing 112:5	5,7,15,21 281:7,	19	191:23 194:8
236:25 271:22	owned 257:26	10,15 287:3,15,	party 144:22	247:10,15,20,25
279:17	owner-operators	16,17 288:23	266:26	248:2 279:1
operator 205:3	owner-operators 119:15	289:2,22	pass 178:3 274:21	percentage
224:9 232:3		Panel's 172:1	passed 268:19	176:21
operators	ownership 149:14 248:12	225:13	passing 153:5	Perfect 214:25
206:11,12 207:6		paragraph	1	perfectly 203:14
232:7 243:10	owns 128:13	125:21,23 168:4,	past 160:16 212:5 216:22	1 -
271:25 275:25	248:10	5 246:15 247:1		perform 130:13
276:9 278:6		270:8	path 133:18	performance
opinion 137:1	P	paragraphs	patience 229:10	113:19 235:17
opportunities	model of control	223:19 233:24	patient 149:24	257:8
111:18	package 276:22,	261:4	Paul 108:1 109:5	performed
opportunity	24	parameters	110:8 127:22	130:18 162:15
164:10 245:7	padlock 233:21	126:12 130:15	214:20	172:4 183:9
option 161:7	pages 120:26	135:25 194:21	pause 211:1	188:21
171:15 183:6	121:2,5,8,11,14			
				<u> </u>

	100 15 100 0	201 10 202 0	5.7.10.17.10.22	107.10.11.100.2
period 148:9	122:15 123:3	281:10 283:9	5,7,13,17,18,22,	187:10,14 188:2,
169:9,13 247:10	125:24 126:2	286:2 287:6	23,24,25 120:6,	9,13,14,16 189:2
280:13 281:3	127:23,25 128:3,	288:21,23 289:22	24 121:20	190:11 191:1,2
periods 280:6	5,9,13,19,23,24	Pieridae's	122:11,13 125:8	193:23,25 195:3,
permanent	129:1,7,13,17	107:14 108:20,	126:12 128:1,7,	14,26 196:16,18,
135:10	130:3,5,13 131:2,	23,26 109:25	16,17 129:4,5,8,	23 197:3 202:25
permeate 189:6	12,17,22 132:4,	110:25 113:7,22	20 130:1,12,25	203:16 205:18,22
permitted	20,26 133:3	118:8 120:3,22,	131:17,18,21,24,	207:11,18 215:3
189:13	136:1 137:17,26	24,26 121:3,6,9,	25 132:1,4,7,10,	218:1,5 224:2
person 150:23	138:9 139:23	12,15,17,20,22,26	18,23 133:2,8,10,	225:1,3,8,18
151:16 162:22	140:3,5,16,17	122:3,5,7,9	17,26 134:5,8,10,	226:7,12,16,18,
211:9 240:24	144:23 145:5	123:14,17	19,24 135:1,12,	22,24 228:4,9
241:7	148:14,24,26	124:25,26 125:4,	26 136:2,4,14,16,	230:11,13,14,16,
	149:15,20,21	9,12,21 127:24	18,21,25 137:2,9,	26 231:19 232:9,
personally 283:9	151:5 154:4,24	128:17 129:10,	16,20,24 138:1,4	13,17,25,26
perspective	155:1,11,26	18,20 130:7,26	140:9,11,18	233:15 235:4
133:8 134:20	156:22 157:11	131:6 132:9,15,	141:9 142:2,3,21,	236:16,17,20
171:12 265:10	158:19 159:1,7,	17 133:7 134:18	22 143:25,26	237:18 252:13,22
279:18	11,24,25 161:5	137:3,10,12	144:9,10,11	261:17 262:24
pertaining	162:3,11 163:20	138:8 149:4	145:2,19,20	264:1,5,6,26
122:12	166:22 167:7,14	151:22 154:1	146:1,4 147:8,12,	269:16,23 270:1,
phase 116:18	168:17,24 169:24 171:24 172:8	157:9 173:11	14,16,18,19,23,25	5 278:3,5,16,18,
phone 274:17,24		232:11,18 233:5	148:1,3,8,12,18,	25 279:4 282:3,
phones 106:18	177:15,22 181:12,23 183:1	234:4 241:17	22 149:1,3,4,26 150:1,5,9,21,26	18 283:16,26 284:2,20,23
-	186:7 189:19	243:18 244:3	150:1,3,9,21,20	286:1,4,6
photo 203:22	190:12,20 192:21	245:14 247:2	152:8,9,15,20,24	
photograph	195:12,17,21	249:12 252:20	153:6 154:21	pipeline's 190:19
234:1	197:10 199:21	267:23 279:26	155:18 156:1,2,5,	pipeline-specific
photographs	201:9,11,18	pig 188:19,23	6 157:13,23	130:16
202:16,24 214:3,	205:2,14 206:11	pigged 147:3	158:17,21 159:9,	pipelines 112:22
16	209:21 215:13	188:17 189:17	10,22 160:21,25,	114:14,16 137:19
photos 239:5,12,	216:12 217:26	pigging 136:17	26 161:4 162:15,	150:22 151:14
13	218:4 219:13	188:18,22	16,24 163:1,4,16,	157:21 158:16,18
phrase 194:12	220:20 224:8	284:24,25	21,23,25 164:12,	159:1,2 180:6,7,
physical 144:16	225:6 229:11,16	Pincher 129:12	14,15,20,21,26	8,9 183:26
157:22 273:11,25	232:2 233:7	198:21 238:1	165:4,5,7,11	184:10 185:3
physically	235:21 237:2,17	pinhole 177:5	167:1,5 169:26	186:21,23
226:19 274:25	239:26 244:9	pinholes 177:7	170:9,10,12	189:16,24 190:26
	246:19 248:10,11	1 -	171:3 172:2,8,10,	191:18 194:25,26
piece 275:13 286:16	249:16,20,21	pipe 136:7 173:3,	13,15,20,23	196:8,10 207:14
	250:19 253:4,7,	21,22,25 281:24	176:13,25	215:6 221:1
Pieridae 107:21,	11,12 254:18,22,	pipeline 108:13	177:12,15,18,22,	225:6 226:11
26 108:2,4,5,7	25 255:5 256:25	110:26 112:1,5,	24 178:7,19,22	247:4 282:8
109:20 110:9,10	257:1 267:16	22 114:10,17,18	179:6,17 180:2,	284:14,16
111:14 112:4,20	271:10,11	115:4,9,21	20 181:3 183:3	pipelining 185:5
113:10,12 114:21	274:15,20 277:25	116:13,20,22	184:3,7,9,15,21	piping 180:17
118:19 120:3	279:24 280:23	118:14,16 119:4,	185:20 186:9,10	

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				1
pits 178:12	171:6 174:18	107:14 139:20	preparing 116:9,	160:1 181:4
pitting 179:3	181:14 184:15	152:10 179:5	11	187:26 188:22,23
pivoted 254:11	186:3,20 187:26	225:19 228:13	present 127:26	195:5 233:12,14
place 137:4 186:6	190:12 193:18	272:7	183:10 259:14	private 257:22
190:9 192:22	194:3,5 205:5	power 110:13	282:12	258:5,12,16,17
201:11 237:4,10	206:8 220:5,24	PP 138:2	presented 185:4	privately 257:26
268:1,3,6,22	229:6 233:2 250:20 256:26	practice 132:12	237:14 258:23	problem 151:20
269:2,23 270:12	257:9 264:12	188:10 190:15	presenting	216:13 235:22
271:15 273:1,10,	266:5,22 270:3	240:22 266:23	266:26	290:11
21 274:19	288:13	268:3 286:6	pressure 119:13	problems
276:17,25 278:20		practices 120:9	126:8 136:5,11,	180:18,22
279:2	points 275:18	137:4 162:25	13,23,25 147:5	procedure
placing 194:5	policies 205:8	186:7,13 187:3,5	149:10 177:8	147:13
plagued 180:18,	policing 117:19	189:22 192:20	178:11,26 179:9,	procedures
22	policy 110:25	237:3,9 284:20	10,19,22 188:5	129:1 131:7,13
plan 116:12,21	205:7 217:5	pre-application	235:15 279:5	194:21 237:3
121:16 123:12	236:9,14	116:18	pretty 187:8	271:13 272:18
149:13 224:25	polyethylene	precipitation	190:15 255:15	proceed 107:10
230:4 267:20,23,	136:15	237:19,21 238:9,	282:12	138:14 139:22
26 288:10	pond 266:4	15,18	prevailing	154:16 167:13
planning 106:14	portfolio 118:9	predominant	269:22	168:19 169:6
112:2 115:6,7	portion 138:1	269:5	prevent 189:22	213:25 222:17
117:6,14 118:1,	position 110:1,5	predominantly	preventative	225:19 267:13
15 129:24 130:12	111:3,13 112:9	288:10	131:26 237:10	288:16
134:21 135:18	113:1,26 129:18,	preferred 276:26	previous 112:3	proceeding
157:2 165:9	20 160:7 161:2,	277:10	116:6 130:24	107:12 110:2,6
269:4,9 277:7	16 240:6 241:21	prefiled 130:5	155:16 156:4	111:4,25 112:10
plans 117:15	242:8	preliminary	167:17 180:23	113:2,21 114:1,
118:12 131:3	positions 240:26	246:18	201:14 242:1	23 116:26 118:25
149:11 201:13	241:16	preparation	261:18,20 263:12	120:1,13,19,23
plant 112:22	positive 244:22	118:10	previously	122:16 123:3,7
169:19	possess 117:26	prepare 138:15	146:13 171:13	124:21,24 126:19
plate 179:22	possibility	217:14	184:24 214:22,23	127:12 130:6 143:19 145:2,6
play 109:13	106:24 289:9		227:26 269:11	152:11,14 161:15
139:1,6	possibly 196:4	prepared 122:19 123:26 124:7	278:24	163:14,18 165:22
played 113:15	1 -	125:16 127:2,16	price 169:13	166:11 167:7
pleased 127:25	post-hearing	129:25 130:5	prices 169:4,9	199:17,26 211:15
pleases 139:15		138:14,18 162:22	pricing 169:8	245:22 251:9
pleasure 107:19	potential 132:3, 12 134:1 136:26	165:24 166:5	primarily 111:9	255:26 276:20
PM 160:2 203:1	152:25 228:3	194:16 195:12,	primary 111:15	289:13
208:3,13 210:4	261:6 262:16,17	18,21 196:12	162:24 171:22	proceedings
point 135:6 147:6	275:18 279:2	200:24	278:1	106:4 165:14
149:6 151:6	288:11	preparedness	prior 113:12	208:13 209:1
153:3 161:3	potentially	131:1 277:8	135:4 147:8	210:4 251:13
	1		149:4 158:13	290:16 291:5

process 158:19	10,24 219:14	proven 132:5	public's 246:6	115:18
196:19 198:18	231:1 234:6	186:19 282:6	publicly 254:4	qualifications
205:1 217:13	237:5	provide 109:25	255:14 256:11	115:14 117:4
235:9 243:18	programs 114:11	113:8 114:25	258:18	119:3
244:13 245:20	117:15,26 119:22	115:13 129:9	pull 206:14	qualified 114:24
252:8 254:8,13	129:1 237:11	134:17 149:21	238:20 257:16	130:13 261:23
273:1,4 285:13,	project 112:4,5	154:25 155:15	259:22,26 260:3,	262:3,5 263:5
14,18	116:15 117:25	157:14 159:13	6 267:22 280:7	qualify 179:5
processes 191:13	118:9 132:14	166:24 172:16	283:7 285:4,8	1 - "
235:18 237:11	134:21 135:18	173:9 181:18	pulled 238:7	quality 264:8
246:6	169:6,11 196:21	182:23 190:10	246:25	276:8
processing	215:10 242:26	202:22 217:16		Quebec 249:26
111:16 128:11,14	280:11	241:11 244:16,17	purchase 149:5	question 137:14
produced 170:22	projects 111:21	270:7 283:4	purchased	138:18 139:4,6
product 219:12,	112:3 201:15	provided 120:2,8	148:25	141:1,3 142:19
22 220:3,5	241:25	132:20 151:9	purely 265:10	144:5,13 145:17
278:17	proper 186:6,13	157:3 160:6	purge 146:15	146:19 147:26
production	191:2,8 217:15	161:5 168:13	purged 146:12,	148:24 150:17
108:4 109:21	properly 130:2	175:2 178:14	18,22 188:15	151:25 153:10
111:10 128:11,18	132:24 188:7	181:11 190:6	purported 152:5	154:20 160:8,13,
129:6 137:23		196:17 200:19,20	purports 263:20	20 161:16,19
139:24 140:6	property 261:20	201:4,25 202:21	purpose 140:8	170:25 172:7,19,
154:2 155:12	272:9	210:9 218:5	147:11 162:24	20 174:10 176:9,
167:15 195:23	proposed 264:25	225:1 253:6	196:26 207:12	12 178:5 181:7
196:13 209:22	proposition	264:23 266:11,13		184:1 187:20 192:8,12 193:19,
226:5 249:22	160:23	276:23 283:11	purposes 111:25	21 194:16 196:3
253:5,12 257:1,7	proprietary	providing 108:16		197:2,24 198:13
267:17 281:11	118:19	116:25 118:23	pursuing 111:17	197.2,24 198.13
productive	protect 131:10	120:11	pushed 147:3	205:12 206:17
163:25	136:16,26 232:13	province 106:13	put 115:1,5,8	207:11 210:26
products 220:1,8	236:22 268:13	237:19 238:10	168:14 188:3	211:2 216:4
245:4	protected 270:15	291:9	194:12 205:2	219:10 222:19
	protecting 278:1	proximity 264:2	206:6 216:24	224:4,7 225:13
professional 111:7 112:12	protection	269:22 278:3	235:8,10 236:7	227:1 228:7
111:7 112:12	116:12,17,21	public 113:22	243:20 244:2	229:14,16 236:15
261:3 262:3,5	123:11 131:1	118:1,6,7 131:1	247:8 278:19	238:5 240:19
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	132:6 222:22	147:15 159:5	putting 114:21	241:2 246:17,18
professionally	225:24 226:1,6,	180:24 217:12	192:16 211:6	249:12,14 250:18
175:19 176:1	11,16,17 228:1,9,	230:15 234:7,15	236:16 251:19	253:15,25 254:2
profit 244:4,11	26 229:3 268:23	242:2 244:10,13	252:12 256:10	255:10,11,15,18,
profitable 227:6	271:14 283:4,11	246:5,20 249:15	282:13	19 259:17 262:21
program 119:10	284:5	250:21 254:3,6,	puzzling 201:2	264:9 266:7,10
120:4 121:21	protocol 261:5	16 257:19,22,26		268:21 271:9
132:2 149:6,9	proud 129:13	258:5,10 268:10,	Q	272:1 277:19,22
185:1 192:22,23	*	23 271:14 272:10		279:23,25 280:18
216:16 218:1,6,	prove 192:4,5	278:1	qualification	281:13,16 283:2,
				1

2.204.11.205.11	222.10	27.10	200 11 210 15	12412
3 284:11 286:14	rates 238:10	reassess 274:9	200:11 210:16	reference 124:13
287:26	rationale 152:10	recall 156:4	217:21 222:14	145:20 162:10
question's	RCA 257:22	218:15 277:20	232:15 237:23	202:1,4 210:17
194:14	258:3	receive 150:23	248:22 256:20	216:3 218:21
questioning	RCMP 234:16	received 118:4	270:23 274:1 276:20	222:19 224:1 230:20 260:10
138:10 151:19	235:8	159:8,12,24		261:24 263:14
155:16 167:18	re-open 290:13	239:24 261:26	recorded 276:19	265:12,13
231:24 256:2	reach 240:23	receives 237:18	records 154:3	•
questions 120:21	241:24 273:14	receiving 154:21	157:4 206:14	referenced 151:7
140:1 153:7	react 169:25	160:24	286:22	153:14 155:4
165:11,21,25	reactivation	recent 133:7	recreational	167:23 175:4 215:2
167:6 174:25		134:18 143:21	276:4	
175:4,17 200:20	151:10 159:9,12, 19 163:15 164:4,	176:5 243:1	rectifier 228:5	references
203:10,13 212:14	26 165:12 166:1,	281:19	283:12,17,22,23	142:14 161:9
224:21 232:16	24 169:26 170:13	recently 272:15	284:3	174:22 204:22 210:12 221:16
240:24 241:12	175:20 180:2	286:17	Rectifiers 223:2	
251:26 257:14	read 125:23	reclamation	red 109:18	referencing 178:10 194:1
266:16,22 267:3, 6,19 281:5,8,10	140:17 141:14,15	247:2,9	redacted 251:5,	178:10 194:1 225:11
287:3,17 288:4	148:19 167:25	·	10,11,25 285:24	
290:1	168:4,6,18 180:4	recognition 244:15	redactions 251:2	referred 217:22
quick 279:11	183:22 185:18,22		Redburn 108:9,	242:22 259:18,19
_	194:13 201:10	recognize 139:7 145:10 232:21	25 109:7 114:22	referring 159:10
quickly 217:6 279:14	210:18 215:5	245:10	115:1,16,22,23	177:5,21 180:7,9,
	218:9,23 222:26		116:23 123:6	13 197:14 204:3,
quote 201:9	223:20 224:1	recognized 184:19	124:6,9,16	16 210:14,19 211:17 221:8,11
	225:16 240:3,10,		134:17,21 135:23	258:9,20 259:25
R	11 268:2 270:10	recognizes 270:11	214:22 257:21	260:8 264:21
	280:10		260:10,24 279:23	265:3
R.M. 210:2	readily 220:3	recognizing	280:5	refers 217:22
291:3,14	reads 222:25	213:7,10	Reding 243:23	reflected 279:15
rabbit 252:24	ready 139:15	RECOMMENC	redirect 107:14	
rainfall 237:26	158:9	ED 210:4	287:7,9,10	reframe 205:12
raise 160:13	real 254:9 266:7	recommendatio	reduce 126:1	regard 285:21
194:4 280:17	reality 205:8	n 190:10	268:16	regional 197:11
raised 152:16	realize 212:15	recommendatio	reduced 126:6,8	257:18,19 258:2
241:14 255:10	realized 109:10	ns 172:26	206:9	register 206:2
ran 195:1 234:24		recommended	reduces 185:13,	registered 111:6
random 234:8	realtime 131:23 275:22	170:23 269:7	15	112:13 119:11
range 181:16		reconcile 144:7	reduction 130:21	regress 270:26
rank 227:4	reason 144:13 228:20 241:24	record 120:18	169:3 172:5	regular 131:25
ranked 216:18	247:5 251:10	122:16 140:3	redundant 229:4	regularly 245:13
ranking 238:4	282:21	143:18 151:23	refer 142:8 163:9	regulations
O	reasonable	154:26 165:2,14,	174:17 250:14	119:14 164:17
RAPS 280:6	150:23 151:2,16	22 174:13,22		178:23 207:18,21
	130.23 131.2,10	175:15 180:25		232:4 236:22
	•	·	•	•

Regulator	relations 241:20	Remedial 215:10	227:11	represented
113:13,16		222:24 227:22		141:16
· ·	relationships 128:19	remediation	replacing 171:18	
regulatory 107:26 111:22		223:7	reply 162:7 164:8	request 121:7 150:3 153:11
113:7,9,20 116:6	relative 137:11		165:15 255:16	156:24 160:10
117:16,24 119:26	relaying 277:7	remember	288:12	165:26 166:23
122:16 127:26	release 131:6,8	109:15 157:3	report 113:8	174:11,13 190:18
128:8,25 129:18,	251:24 268:5,9	216:24	123:26 124:4	233:5,6 234:5
21 131:19 132:24	270:3 272:8	remind 106:26	140:22 141:11	236:8
133:1 139:11	279:3,14,19	208:7 210:22	151:10 157:15	
145:12 150:21	released 251:12	reminder	164:26 165:13	requested 159:23 167:3 245:8
151:1 167:10	252:12 290:3	106:16,17 109:11	168:3,20,26	249:17
171:12 183:15	releases 180:20	290:8	169:25 170:3	
205:1 215:8	relevance 150:11	reminders	176:4,6 180:3,4,	requesting 154:2
216:6,10 226:3,7	153:5 154:10	106:10	10,11,26 183:21,	160:4
230:8 251:1,10	160:9 163:3,12	removed 188:26	22 184:10 185:12	requests 121:23
254:24 266:21	167:4 203:23,26	233:20 240:8	190:7 192:9 194:13 217:26	162:17,19 163:14
287:14 288:3	204:25 224:4,7	repair 158:2	218:6,8,9 221:4,	172:1 218:4
289:10	225:9 231:18,25	171:7 215:10	5,11 224:25	272:23
rehabilitation	256:16,25	229:23 231:12	227:13 228:11,15	require 158:19
193:2	relevancy 150:18	repaired 170:9,	230:22 231:14	196:21 221:2
reinforced 277:9	relevant 115:18	17,26 171:1,3	264:12 276:5	269:21 277:22
reiterating 277:6	117:4 119:3	187:11,14 227:25	283:16	283:18
o o	151:6,17 152:11,	229:16 230:10,	reported 112:6	required 129:5
relate 115:19	24 159:22,26	23,24 282:23	172:21 183:26	133:12,16 136:9
117:5 119:4	160:21 161:14,	repairing 171:18	215:8 216:21	157:23 184:5
related 111:26	17,24 163:7,26	repairs 158:13	235:7 247:26	191:3,4 196:26
154:12 159:20	164:18 166:7,10	181:3 182:4		198:1 205:19
163:15,16 166:24	203:15 224:23	183:9 184:5,12,	reporter 109:2 175:3 202:8	207:21 223:16
178:11 185:10	225:5,7 232:15	14 188:2 195:9	210:1,2 291:15,	227:15 228:19
186:16,24 187:3	249:13 251:8	222:24 227:22	22	234:12,13 241:6
192:20 225:17	252:10,23 253:12	228:12		247:18 251:2 277:15 278:11
228:21 285:12	254:22 255:6	repeat 202:9	reporter's	
relates 150:8	reliable 132:21	215:15	210:10	283:21
231:20 242:25	relied 256:5		reporters 210:24	requirement
243:2 245:16	relocation 135:5	repeatedly 150:8 249:16	211:26	196:18 206:10
250:26 281:16			reporting 235:1	216:6,11 217:4
285:2	rely 256:3	repeating 232:1	reports 125:5	225:25 226:3,7
relating 113:22	relying 174:19	replace 228:25	157:12 175:20	230:8 286:2
187:5 251:4	remainder 134:5	229:1,2	176:2,5 189:10	requirements
relation 139:21	remaining	replaced 227:2,	222:24 229:12,18	111:23 117:16
154:1,4,10,11	184:16 268:4	16	230:20	128:8,26 131:19
155:2 161:24,26	remark 127:17	replacement	represent 129:11	151:1 152:21
165:21,26 166:25	remarks 106:5	223:21 227:17	representative	183:7,16 207:18
210:8 257:8	127:18	228:12,17	209:25 239:25	226:10 228:4 277:3 282:15
287:19		replacements	241:24 247:17	284:4
				207.7

requires 148:20	162:18 165:1	resumption	239:5 247:19	270:9
201:19	171:26 173:12	120:5 185:19	257:25 259:23	run 106:14
reserve 247:21	175:12 182:24	192:18	261:22 262:8,11	138:25 142:26
	195:11 197:8,9,	rethought	263:1,22 264:6,	157:17,25 158:4,
residence 205:24	15 201:4 206:15,	259:11	17 266:2 270:2,	6,10 195:1
269:3,6,13,15	20 224:16 232:1	return 208:3	22	204:17 205:18
270:21,25 271:4 273:15 275:1	233:5 234:5		roadblock	234:25
278:4 286:22	236:8 246:11	review 120:7	275:17,26	running 193:24
	251:22 260:25,26	150:22 205:1	roads 243:24	243:19 282:15
resident 269:8	261:24 264:21,22	237:6 259:4,15		
residents 274:16	265:4 266:6	260:4,7 261:9	Robinson 209:7	runs 140:18
resistance 254:5	267:20,26 268:8	reviewed 122:18	286:14,15	rupture 279:12
resolution	269:1 271:12	124:6 258:25	robust 131:2	rural 207:2
161:19	276:16 277:7	261:14	273:1	rushed 212:12
resource 116:19	283:7	Revision 176:6	robustly 179:23	Russell 148:23
124:3 246:5	responses	revisions 120:5	role 110:1,5,16	149:2 157:18,20
resources 169:19	121:22,26 123:14	revisit 200:16	111:3,20 112:3,	158:5 205:18,19
278:9	124:26 125:9,12	210:8 251:16	10 113:1,15,26	281:16
respect 110:1,5	162:17 174:25	revisited 200:21	114:16 116:8	
111:3 112:10	200:19 277:19	revoke 164:6	roles 110:14	S
113:1,26 131:5,	278:10	RFT 157:19	112:17 115:11	
20 132:21 139:9	responsibilities	rid 241:9	118:1 198:3,19	S.F. 209:8
146:19 150:25	198:3,19 199:20		199:20	safe 112:21
152:18 154:20	responsibility	right-of-way	rolled 196:24	147:14 171:15
156:1,2 160:22	276:2	133:17 205:22	197:7	179:6 186:21
162:13 167:4	responsible	264:1,5,7 280:3	roof 234:4	190:20 192:6
192:7 258:8	112:20 175:19	right-of-ways	room 107:3	237:16 244:7
respectfully	176:1 199:21	133:15	200:22 208:5	269:24 290:3
133:1	244:7	rights-of-way	271:24	safeguards
respond 224:5	responsibly	134:23	roots 273:26	158:23 191:7
267:21 281:15	206:15	rigorous 129:24	roughly 174:3	safely 128:6
288:4	rest 229:19	ring 176:8	rounding 108:5	132:18 136:1
responded	234:19	rise 144:26 150:2	113:24	138:3 163:22
200:11	restate 196:3	risk 110:18	rover 275:26	164:16 165:5
responders	restricted 280:6,	119:23 176:14		183:15 185:2
272:14 273:15	13 281:3	184:16 185:13,	rovers 273:15	194:24 224:10
responding	result 116:9	14,15,22 187:6	row 107:24,25	232:13 268:4
174:12,16	135:5,16	216:18 217:10	108:6,8 113:24	278:2
response 115:6	1	227:4 231:5	RPR 210:1	safety 111:21
117:5,14,15,25	resulted 180:19 234:23	249:10 268:10	291:21	118:6,7 131:11
117.3,14,13,23		RMR 210:1	rule 151:21	159:5 168:11
121:1,4,6,10,12,	results 130:17	291:21	Rules 136:4	171:12 203:20
16 123:18,26	149:8 151:14 157:12 158:26	road 151:18	ruling 153:23	207:4 217:12
131:3,4 142:6	170:20 175:9,10	178:8 203:5	161:13,22 163:2,	230:15 234:6
150:26 152:4	193:21,22 282:11	205:23 206:1,8,	5 165:19 166:18	236:22 278:19
157:2 159:25	175.21,22 202.11	26 207:2 214:4	167:3,23 256:21	279:1,17
	I	l	I	l .

salvage 135:5 sample 236:11 Saskatchewan 117:17 119:19 satisfaction 128:7 satisfied 256:24 save 120:19 Sawyer 138:14, 16,24 139:2,3,14,	239:6,22 241:2 246:12,16 251:21,22 253:1, 14 254:20 255:8 256:4,9,22 257:11,13 261:8 263:26 266:9,15, 18 287:16,18,25 289:3,4 Sawyer's 152:10	scoped 255:25 scoping 152:13 160:22 161:18 164:18 224:11 Scotia 249:26 scour 230:26 231:5 screen 219:3,7 222:21 253:6 Screwdriver	segments 110:13 143:24 144:3,14 151:13 163:4 172:3 180:13 187:9 selections 114:12 self-disclosure 231:8 semi-deep 223:13	settings 125:25 126:3 severely 254:15 shade 153:15 shareholders 244:5 Sharepoint 239:20 sheet 178:20 Shell 112:20
23,25 142:10,12, 16,18 145:8,16, 17 150:10,14,16, 17 152:19,25 153:14,18 154:7, 17,23 155:6,10, 11,25 159:23 160:11,12 161:21 162:1,13,19 164:2,8,9 165:17 167:3,5,14,19 168:16 171:5 174:9 175:1,7,23 176:12 178:25 183:17,20 187:19 190:3 192:15 194:12,15 200:8, 12,23 201:6,8 202:3,17,20 203:12 204:25,26 205:13 207:23,26 209:25 210:25 212:8,11,16,20, 25 213:2,17,20, 21,26 214:1,17, 24 215:1,25 216:2,5,14 218:19,22 219:2, 7,9,13 220:12 221:7,10,13,20, 21,26 222:3,5,8, 10,18 224:1,3,6 225:10,13 226:13 231:26 232:22 233:3 234:11 237:23 238:6,22	166:23 202:14 215:23 283:3 scenario 156:2 269:20 277:21 schedule 134:11 138:22 139:9 212:6 213:16 280:19 scheduled 138:19,21 287:14 Scheirer 108:2, 25 109:5 111:2,5, 6 112:7 133:6,9 135:24 136:2 140:12 142:18,23 145:22 153:2 155:13 157:16 163:20 167:16 173:8 175:10 178:3,16 187:19 190:1 195:16 214:20 215:14 216:8 237:22 270:6 271:1 278:20,23 280:25 Scherger 206:7 science 113:5 115:25 116:1 sciences 108:10 115:24 scope 130:10 145:6 153:4,9 156:16 163:8,13, 18 164:1 257:4 262:15 263:2 266:2	148:18 196:9 236:12 237:1 265:8,15 275:12, 15 286:9 scroll 233:23 234:1 scrutinized 189:14 scrutiny 152:22 seat 287:14 seated 106:6 153:24 166:17 210:6 222:2 267:11 seconds 126:6 section 113:15 124:15 133:18 197:19,21 198:20 267:26 sector 110:12 secured 234:14 securement 234:21 235:9,13 security 208:5 234:14,23 235:8, 10 seeking 114:24 seeks 220:20 segment 140:13 144:1 146:4 173:1,17,20 177:17 189:3,12 197:3 286:5	senior 107:25 113:7 241:7,11, 23 sense 185:24 278:5 sensitivity 223:6 sentence 168:5 215:12 218:23 223:19 separate 144:17 150:1,4 152:20 153:8 219:4 separately 159:21 September 110:11 121:18,24 123:23 124:14,15 133:25 169:23 259:8 service 148:3 158:22,23 173:18 176:15 188:3 190:11 191:6 207:14 services 108:14 117:12 119:8 220:17 244:17 272:13 Session 209:4 set 145:15 154:5 160:23 170:16 172:2 202:11 217:5 223:2 254:19 267:18 272:10 279:6,9	116:10 140:11 142:21 145:20 146:3 148:20 149:19 154:3,21 155:1 157:20 159:3,10 164:11 167:25,26 168:10 177:23 178:7 180:14 181:13 184:11,25 186:7, 17 188:5 189:10, 19 192:21 206:9 215:2 225:25 226:23 230:4 234:24 237:2 243:18 261:10 269:12 285:5,10, 15,16 Shell's 130:24 149:6,14 181:25 194:19 Shell- constructed 201:15 Shell-licenced 149:4 shelter 268:3,6, 21 269:23 270:12 271:15 273:10,20 274:19 276:17 sheltering 268:1, 12,16 269:2,6 276:25 277:23 short 138:15 242:23 288:6

shorter 123:6	Simon's 182:23	slopes 215:7	space 145:9,13	156:8 209:15,16,
249:19	simple 236:18	216:19	196:15 233:8	17,18,19 222:20
shorthand 291:6,	255:11	slow 142:13	234:15	267:6 287:17
7	simply 152:12	slowly 210:23	speak 113:21	stage 145:13
shortly 106:24	193:17	small 133:15	161:11 162:23,24	stages 261:11
show 234:8	single 197:3	135:10 137:21	165:24 202:12	stakeholder
241:12 259:2	SIOS 245:21	169:13 177:4	205:6 210:23,24	241:20 245:18
shown 128:3	sir 112:24 120:10	236:11 280:1	238:13 243:10	stakeholders
155:18 172:5	121:10,13 126:13	smaller 130:23	263:4,19 277:5,	128:20 145:24
234:21 242:16	134:16 137:6	177:2	13 282:5	241:4 243:8
shut-in 179:21	138:6 141:19	snow 238:18	speaking 211:9	277:4,14
shutdown 136:24	143:8 144:4	snowfall 237:25	219:17 271:5	stand 138:20
shutdowns 279:8	147:24 156:7	snowfalls 238:14	277:15,16,17	208:11 274:21
side 173:26	159:6 176:3,8	snowing 106:8	speaks 253:7	288:23 290:5
262:24	177:21 180:12,16	SO2 275:16	268:1	stand-alone
sign 259:24	184:1 186:23		specialization	196:24
o .	190:22 192:7	SOC 121:4	110:23	standard 129:3
signed 189:16 198:26	193:18 197:22	social 242:3	specialize 110:17	147:13 181:19
	198:15 199:23 200:25 204:15	244:24	specialized 282:1	190:15 203:1
significant 137:17,21		software 118:19	specific 114:10	standards
169:18,19	site 144:20 169:20 173:5	235:1	131:5 135:26	111:22 131:20
176:10,13,16,23	174:1 197:2	soil 223:5	145:3 183:8	207:13
226:8	225:22 233:17	Solutions 108:12	192:10 195:22 196:12 197:3	standing 113:16
silent 106:21,23	235:4 263:26	117:10	238:4	263:1 264:14
similar 251:15	site-specific	sooner 212:18,23	specifically	265:20,22
255:14	118:13 121:18	213:22 230:10	117:13 151:24	standpoint
Simon 108:6,19	195:13 196:17,	sort 185:23 196:9	157:21 161:11	190:19
109:8 113:24	21,24	213:9 218:20	219:17 250:3	Stanislavski
114:2 146:25	sites 112:16 240:8	221:24 231:21 256:14 281:21	255:24 258:8	209:18
147:6,22 148:10	279:5	283:2,14	261:26 267:24	start 107:1,23
149:15 170:19,25	sitting 188:20	Sorting 221:21	278:17	115:17 138:24
172:12,18	194:7 290:1		specification	139:18,26 171:10 176:23 191:1
173:19,21,24	situation 241:18	sound 128:26	220:26	210:7 211:2
178:4 182:3,5,15	274:6,8 275:8	sounds 153:14	spectrum 176:23	252:7 267:13
189:25 190:2	276:11,26	sour 111:12	speed 206:9	273:20,21
193:26 194:17 207:15 214:23	size 126:1 130:24	112:13,16 119:17	275:16	started 106:10
216:14 217:18,21	236:11	128:12,14,17	spell 272:3	169:10 233:15
218:2,14 219:10	sizing 176:26	131:6 195:22,23 196:13 207:13	spend 227:5	279:12 283:24
220:18 225:21	skill 291:7	284:17	spoke 153:2	state 270:10
226:14 227:19	skills 117:26	source 131:8	spot 207:24	280:9
229:12 230:1	skip 178:5	220:16,25 226:17	215:26 216:1	stated 140:22
232:24 281:14,25	slightly 241:22	sourced 226:18	spring 169:4	141:25 142:1
283:2	slope 263:1,23	south 262:23	staff 142:15	148:17,19 151:5
	Stope 203.1,23	SUUII 202.23	34411 174.1J	168:18 170:4
	I	1	1	1

180:26 201:9	strongly 210:13	submitted	supervisor	sweeping 165:19
215:5 218:9	233:1	121:23,26	112:18	sweet 128:12
227:26 231:13	structure 137:25	123:15,18 125:2,	supervisory	Sworn 109:8
279:26 280:5	271:20	10,13 151:23	118:1	214:23
283:17	structures 284:1	195:13,18,22	supplemental	
statement 121:1	struggling	196:12 217:26	108:26 121:7	system 106:15 109:13 117:22
127:17 141:8	231:18	224:22 232:5	122:10	120:6 137:24
158:18 181:7		245:18 250:19	supplied 157:5	148:22 149:1
184:22,23 186:2	study 257:18,20	251:6 258:21	220:21	157:24 158:17
189:26 190:24	258:2	subsequent		179:23 180:14,
191:2,16 192:8,	subject 110:2,26	157:17 170:18	supplier 219:21	15,17,24 184:18,
17,26 218:13	112:21 114:18	subsequently	supplies 220:16	22 185:5 186:6,
245:18 265:14	116:20,22	158:4 170:10	supply 150:20	17,19 188:2,10,
statements 187:8	118:14,16 122:12	187:12	218:12,26	16,20,26 191:12
245:13 246:19	125:8 128:7,16	substance 268:6,	219:12,15,20,25	195:24 196:14
250:3,11 280:1,	129:3 130:1,12	9	220:20 231:3	202:11 217:23,25
22	133:7 134:19	subvert 246:7	supplying 275:26	219:4 224:10,20
states 125:23	135:26 137:9 142:21 145:2	success 135:18	support 108:16	229:5 234:25,26
140:17 141:11	142:21 143:2		120:2,8 129:15,	265:25 274:13,14
155:23 180:4	152:8,15,21,24	Successful	16,26 162:4,11,	275:4 284:23
183:22 219:13	152:6,15,21,24	132:14 223:8	15 169:20 194:18	systems 119:17,
268:15	158:17 159:22	successfully	224:14 225:1	18,19 189:21
station 275:14	162:26 163:4,21	132:7 133:11	234:16 236:4	193:1 226:8
statistical 185:24	164:20 165:6	134:4,8 157:17	271:25 276:1	229:19 282:4,10
status 283:20	167:1,9 172:10,	169:21 185:6,7	278:11,13,14	·
	23 177:22 178:20	sufficient 205:11	supported	
steel 147:19,22	179:17 180:9,11	suggest 152:17	119:20 130:4	
148:6 182:8	182:20 183:3,11	208:5 213:12	285:26	Tab 108:20,22,26
Stenhouse 262:1	184:10 196:16,18	255:17 256:14	supporting	table 178:14
step 166:13	203:16 224:26	267:7	116:5	222:23 223:7
steps 145:12	225:3,8 226:12	suggesting	supposed 201:23	225:14 227:22
158:8 277:24,25	231:19 232:9,17	165:20	243:6	280:18
stolen 235:7	235:3 269:16,23	suitable 183:6	survey 143:4	tables 155:19
stop 161:14	270:1,5 278:3	summarize	261:2,6,22 263:6,	
stopped 205:14	284:2,20 286:1	115:17 117:3	7	tabletops 156:6
straightened	submission	119:2 132:20	surveying 143:3	tailored 131:4
222:4	108:21,23,26	summary 115:13	surveys 193:24	taking 139:21
	118:10 122:3,10	summer 169:5,8	261:5 262:4	talk 145:1,3
strategic 241:10	125:22 162:7,26		263:7	152:26 190:25
strategy 110:18	217:23,25	Sunday 235:5		245:26 246:2
241:19 253:23	submissions	superintendant	suspend 164:6	256:7 272:19
stream 151:4	122:14 150:16	108:5 112:19	suspended 146:8	278:21 285:7,9
229:17	151:26 159:15	superintendent	170:11 187:13	290:3,4
streamline 273:4	160:11 164:8	189:17 192:2	swear 109:3	talked 278:10
stresses 179:3	165:15 250:22	supervision	sweep 135:3	281:17 284:13
	251:21 255:16	120:7	261:1	

talking 162:13	181:3 191:7	tight 279:6	tomorrow's	transcript
176:21 179:17	232:17	til 212:26	287:26 289:13	174:19 291:1,5
189:9 211:22	tested 179:20	tiles 223:4	tool 148:23 149:2	transcripts
246:8 251:15	testimony 108:17	time 106:25	157:19,22,26	210:18
266:10 270:20	130:6 179:24	109:14 122:23	158:3,4,6,9	transferred
275:5	testing 172:2	124:11 125:20	172:14 205:18,	284:18
target 226:10	text 239:23	126:5 127:6	19,20 281:17,18,	transient 276:4
targets 228:23	274:16	139:10 142:25	20,26 282:17,18,	transition 159:3
tax 129:10,12	theft 235:24	146:10 148:9	22	transparent
taxes 244:17	thefts 234:18,20	149:7,14 156:9	top 234:15 275:23	191:9
team 108:9		157:16 168:21	280:3	transport 129:5
115:23,24 156:16	theoretically 213:3	169:13 171:22	topic 279:22	140:9
222:11 236:24,26		181:15,20	total 140:20	transported
technical 112:14,	thickness 136:8,	184:12,13 188:4,	142:3 143:7,9,26	137:23
17 116:3 120:8	10 177:16 178:19	8,16 190:6 194:9	170:14 172:10,22	
129:23 265:10	282:2	197:6 198:4	173:6,21	treat 171:17
technology	thicknesses	200:10 203:1 211:10,18,21	totals 143:23	treated 254:14
114:5,15 157:20	172:6	211:10,18,21 212:9,17 213:3,7	touch 130:9	treatment
281:26	thing 106:26	217:14 220:5	tour 233:16,18	255:23
telemetry 205:20	109:10 162:9 164:23 197:18	235:24 239:25	town 243:22	tree 259:2
telling 230:7	201:1 211:12,18	243:20 244:12	toxic 270:16	trenching 134:6
temporary	236:18 240:10	247:11,15 256:13	Trace 108:10	tributary 229:14
133:16 280:2	266:5 282:4	259:6 265:26	115:24 116:9	230:21
ten 119:12	things 132:16	266:19 269:10	123:7 258:24	trigger 126:8
	152:4 164:17	277:9 288:15	Trace's 123:8,11,	279:11
tent 156:21,23,25 167:20 168:1,14	200:18 210:7,24	289:26 290:2	20,22,24,26	triggered 126:5
	211:7,15 225:6	times 162:10	track 205:19	true 191:20
termination 242:8	277:4	222:16	211:4 222:16	192:13 193:17
	thinking 139:18	timing 134:22	232:14	trusted 205:4
terminology	212:24 213:8	282:24 287:23	tracking 133:19	truth 252:16
212:2 216:24	third-party	today 107:12	trading 110:21	274:5
terms 147:17	111:15 231:1,10	108:17 110:24	traditional 134:6	tubing 179:22
148:2 161:6 163:2 176:20	thought 158:3	233:12 237:15		turbidity 135:10
204:22 211:23	211:22 212:13	247:14 281:18,26	traditionally	turn 107:16
217:5 236:11	thousand 218:7	282:5 284:13	114:15	109:16 127:15
251:26 252:20	threat 183:23	287:6,12 290:6,8	traffic 201:13,19,	195:11 207:11
257:4 259:11	184:6,9 193:7,9,	today's 247:22,	21 203:6,11,19, 20	221:3 233:3,10
276:26 277:4	10 216:18 230:14	24		turnaround
278:21 287:23	throw 193:19	told 199:9 228:8	trailer 275:21	169:18,22
288:1	thrown 176:18	231:11 240:14	trailing 210:25	turned 106:20
terrain 205:21	tie-in 120:6	tolerances 279:6	trained 276:1	157:26
262:23		tomorrow	training 118:4	two-part 216:4
test 106:13,14,22	tied 106:16	287:13,20 288:10	261:5,26	tying 228:24,25
156:16 158:23	107.11	289:12,20	transcribed	253:2 283:15
		290:10,13	291:6	255.2 265.15
	<u> </u>			

type 171:6 197:18 undertake **upgrade** 283:18, verification wait 221:6 170:23 172:4,5,9, 211:18 235:1 149:21 159:13 21 284:3.9 **walked** 259:23 11,21,23 175:8 upgrading 228:4 **types** 131:5 undertaken 262:8 263:21 190:14 191:6 262:13 271:13 283:8,10 135:6 266:2 282:11 **typical** 181:19 undertaking **uphold** 154:1 **walking** 261:22 verifications 242:5 166:21 150:3,6 153:11 262:11 191.24 154:2,25 155:9 typically 147:19 **upstream** 110:15 **wall** 136:7.9 verify 158:21 160:10 165:26 179:1 269:5 111:9 119:12 170:14,21 172:6 166:23 182:20 191:4 284:24 128:9 150:19 173:14 175:13.14 undertakings version 176:7 152:7 164:13 176:20 177:2,8, 163:15 194:23 233:21 11 178:18 179:5, versions 151:9 \mathbf{U} 11,13 181:18 unexpected 169:24 urgency 217:9 134:13 **wanted** 106:26 ultimately 129:6 users 276:4,5 **vessel** 119:13 114:25 171:19 **unfold** 287:20 un-discounted utilizing 201:14 Victoria 113:5 194:4 240:4 250:7 unfortunate Vidal 210:1 243:25 249:9 262:20 **unable** 168:14 291:3.21 \mathbf{V} 288:22,25 269:8 uninflated 250:7 **video** 107:2,5 **watch** 181:26 validation unacceptable **unique** 235:21 view 151:5 236:4 234:22 235:18 282:10 uniqueness 159:18.25 163:14 water 135:10 uncertainty 281:18 validity 154:15 186:3 187:5 215:6 216:17 142:26 255:21 265:16, **valley** 236:13 University 113:6 223:4 239:26 19.22 uncontained 119.9 237:1 264:14,24 265:1, 272:7 **values** 128:19 **viewed** 265:23 unlocked 233:22 5,16,17,21,22,24 undermine 152:26 virtually 135:1 unreasonable 266:4 224:18 **valve** 126:4.7 168:10 vis-à-vis 256:26 watercourse underneath 257:5 valves 136:24 unrepaired 135:8.11 265:25 248:1 181:9 182:2 144:16 279:5.13 **vitae** 108:18 watercourses understand vandalism **voices** 211:3 unsecured 135:13 264:11 127:16 139:5 235:24 234:10 265:13 **volition** 162:4.21 153:17 156:7,15 **varied** 142:2 Waterton unstable 215:7 **volume** 130:21 187:4 210:18 **varies** 232:25 112:18,23 114:8 unsuccessful 144:18 151:13 219:16 222:3 118:11.13 121:17 172:14 279:14 variety 131:3 252:8,19 253:3, 122:6.7 125:4 **update** 118:17 **volumes** 163:10 **vary** 164:6 10,18 255:1 128:15,18 129:7 123:22 157:14 voluntary 231:8 276:2 287:19 vegetation 116:3 137:10 140:10,19 158:24 168:1 243:25 286:25 understanding vehicle 203:4 144:19,24 145:5 236:1 277:4 **VSD** 216:23 142:24 143:15 205:15 206:14, 151:9 152:9 280:9 231:8 154:24 156:11.12 22.25 164:13,25 **updated** 108:24 158:11 166:19 vehicles 131:15 169:19,26 175:20 123:24 200:19 189:4 254:17 206:12,16 276:3 W 180:2,10 186:24 259:7 255:3 266:1 195:14,24 196:6, veil 253:17 **updates** 116:13 270:20,22 **WAG** 242:23 14 200:2 201:16 **vein** 167:13 118:11 168:13 understood 225:23 231:23 243:5.13.17.19. verbal 199:1,6, 242:24 154:19 239:12 240:9,20 241:22 20,21,22,25 12,16,18 246:11 244:22 245:12.23 242:12,21,22,26

	1			
243:7,8 267:23	wind 275:15,16,	234:24 243:15		
ways 186:18	19	261:14 262:14	\mathbf{z}	
227:9 240:16	winds 269:5,22	264:7		
web 238:7 246:25	witnesses 109:3,	wrap 138:19,21	Z662 136:4 177:9	
website 246:23	21 115:13 127:24	wraps 287:11	178:19,21 207:17	
250:15	139:24 155:12	written 108:20,	zone 112:2 115:7	
Wednesday	161:10 167:15	23 120:22 122:3	117:6 118:16	
235:5	183:1 203:17	123:2,7 124:23	130:12 269:5,10	
weed 244:23	208:11 224:5	125:21 126:21	280:12	
weeds 163:24	251:20 267:17	128:3 130:4	zoom 228:7	
	281:11 290:5	175:3 197:10,24		
weeks 235:5	wondered 182:9	198:13,14,16		
weeping 223:4	281:20	199:3,4,8,12		
welding 114:4	wondering 224:2	221:9		
well-known	283:18 284:16	wrong 138:20		
253:23	word 156:11	wrote 185:18		
Wellington	194:6	WT 223:16		
113:6	words 282:13	227:15		
wells 140:10	work 128:23			
144:19,24 150:20	159:2 161:12	Y		
152:8 164:13	162:14,22 171:7			
194:23 196:8	184:11 200:24	Yarrow 230:22		
219:19 226:5	221:19 235:26	231:19 232:8		
247:4	237:14,15 257:15	year 110:10		
wetland 116:4	269:11 271:26	169:17 237:6,21		
264:18 265:17,23	283:14,20 284:7	238:14,16 241:22		
wetlands 264:11,	286:6 288:15	242:14,25 243:1		
14 265:12	worked 110:12	256:13 271:22		
whatsoever	112:16 113:12,20	year's 119:12		
234:9	119:15,16 184:25	years 110:11		
Wheaton 209:16	186:17 285:23	111:8 112:13		
Whichever	working 116:2,	113:11,13 114:3		
260:7	18 144:23 158:5 186:20 237:11	116:1 117:11,12		
wide 233:25	248:18,23,25	132:19 187:13		
widely 132:11	273:3 274:14	190:12 195:1		
widening 265:9	282:7	219:26 235:13		
widespread	works 271:20	236:26 240:21		
184:2		247:12,16 277:2, 14 282:7		
wildlife 133:22	workspace 133:16 280:3			
135:3,7 259:19		yesterday 106:12		
261:1 280:12	world 253:24	169:3 177:6		
willingness	259:1	208:4 243:2 249:5 250:6		
182:23	worth 187:26	251:16		
102.23	would've 141:16	231.10		
	177:25 181:1			
		•	. '	